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## Summary

This is the sixth national monitoring report for the BreastScreen Australia Program. The report presents statistics on BreastScreen Australia screening activity and outcomes for 20012002. A reporting interval of two years is used because it corresponds with the recommended interval between screens for asymptomatic women in the target age group of 50-69 years.

## Participation

- A total of 1,611,262 women participated in BreastScreen Australia screening in 2001-2002. Of these women, $1,102,227$ ( $68 \%$ ) were in the screening program target age group of 50-69 years.
- The proportion of women in the target population participating in the BreastScreen Australia Program has been steadily increasing, from $52.3 \%$ in 1996-1997 to $57.1 \%$ in 2001-2002.
- Although there was some variation in participation rates among different socioeconomic groups in the target population, the difference between the most and the least disadvantaged groups was only marginally significant, and all groups had participation rates above $55 \%$.
- The participation rates for Indigenous women and women from a non-English speaking background in the target population, $34.8 \%$ and $47.4 \%$ respectively, were significantly lower than the national rate of $57.1 \%$.


## Detection of cancer

- In order to reduce morbidity and mortality resulting from breast cancer, BreastScreen Australia aims to maximise the early detection of small-diameter ( 15 mm or less) invasive breast cancers. In 2002, $63 \%$ of all invasive breast cancers detected by BreastScreen Australia were small-diameter cancers. This proportion reduced to $54.0 \%$ for women who were attending for their first screen and increased to $65.8 \%$ for women who had previously been screened.
- For women in the target age group, the age-standardised rate of small-diameter invasive cancer detection was 29.1 per 10,000 women screened in 2002. This was not significantly different from the 2001 rate of 29.0 per 10,000 women screened.
- Data on interval cancers (that is, an invasive cancer detected between two screening rounds) were not available for New South Wales for 24 -month follow-up. For other jurisdictions, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group in the 24 months after their first screen ranged from 7.0 per 10,000 women-years in the Northern Territory to 15.6 per 10,000 women-years in Tasmania.
- 'Program sensitivity' is the proportion of invasive breast cancers that are detected within the BreastScreen Australia Program out of all invasive breast cancers (interval cancers plus screen-detected cancers) diagnosed in Program-screened women in the screening interval. The Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group in the 24 months after their first screen ranged from $69.1 \%$ in Tasmania to $85.1 \%$ in Western Australia.
- In 2002, 849 cases of ductal carcinoma in situ (see page 26) were detected in women participating in the BreastScreen Australia Program. The age-standardised detection rate
for this condition was 10.5 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group and 10.0 per 10,000 women screened for all women aged 40 and over.


## Recall for assessment

- In 2002, the proportion of women recalled for assessment because of an abnormal mammogram result was significantly higher for women being screened for the first time compared with women who had previously been screened. While $8.7 \%$ of women attending their first round of screening were recalled for further testing, only $4.2 \%$ of women attending for a subsequent round of screening were recalled.


## Rescreening

- The proportion of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 2000 and returning for rescreening within the recommended 27-month interval increased with the number of previous screens. The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 2000 for the first time was $61.8 \%$. The rescreen rate increased to $72.0 \%$ for women attending for their second screen and $80.6 \%$ for women attending for a third or subsequent screen.


## Breast cancer incidence

- With some fluctuations, there was a notable increase between 1987 and 2001 in the agestandardised breast cancer incidence rates for women in the target age group. Incidence increased in the target age group from 196.9 new cancers per 100,000 women in 1987 to 305.4 per 100,000 women in 2001.
- Of the 11,791 new cases of breast cancer in 2001, 5,802 (49\%) occurred in women in the target age group. Only $6 \%$ of cases were women aged under 40 years. Age-specific incidence rates in 2001 ranged from 123.1 new cancers per 100,000 women in the $40-44$ age group to 352.7 new cases per 100,000 women in the $60-64$ age group.
- Between 1996 and 2001, the national age-standardised incidence rate of ductal carcinoma in situ for women aged 50-69 years was 37.5 per 100,000 women. Across states and territories, the rate ranged from 17.4 cases per 100,000 women in the Northern Territory to 50.0 cases per 100,000 women in Western Australia.


## Breast cancer mortality

- From 1993 onwards, a steady decline is evident in the age-standardised mortality rates for women in the target age group. The mortality rate for these women was 68.3 deaths per 100,000 women in 1988; in 2002, the corresponding figure was 56.7. A similar pattern of decline in mortality rates can be observed in women aged 70 and over. Mortality rates for women aged under 50 years remained the lowest and most consistent, staying below 8 deaths per 100,000 women for the period 1987 to 2002.


## Indicator 1: Participation

## Participation rate

The participation rate is the percentage of women in the population screened through the BreastScreen Australia Program in a 24 -month period by 5 -year age groups (40-44, 45-49, $50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## The participation indicator

The participation rate is a population-based indicator that measures the proportion of the eligible population attending the screening program within the recommended screening interval. All women who are Australian citizens and those with permanent residency status are eligible for breast screening. It is important that a high proportion of women in the target age group attend for screening if BreastScreen Australia is to realise the anticipated reductions in overall mortality from breast cancer (DHSH 1994). The participation rate is a direct measure of this attendance. The indicator also provides information to assist in assessing the effectiveness of the program's communication and education strategies, and can be used to assess whether the target age group is well represented in the screening population.
The focus of this report is on women who have had a mammogram in the BreastScreen Australia Program. However, other mammography for screening and diagnosis (that is, investigating breast symptoms) is conducted outside the program. To some extent, therefore, the results presented in this report are an underestimation of screening on a national basis. This chapter reports on the participation rates for the BreastScreen Australia Program for 2001 and 2002.

One of the objectives of the BreastScreen Australia Program is 'To achieve, after five years, a 70 per cent participation in the National Program by women in the target group (50-69)...' (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000). The age-standardised national participation rate for women in the target group in 2001-2002 was $57.1 \%$. This rate has been steadily increasing since 1996-1997, when it was $52.3 \%$.

Age-standardised participation rates for women in the target age group (50-69 years), Australia, 1996-1997 to 2001-2002

|  | Objective $^{(\mathbf{a})}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6 - 1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7 - 1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8 - 1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9 - 2 0 0 0}$ | 2000-2001 | 2001-2002 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 70.0 | 52.3 | 54.3 | 55.6 | 55.9 | 56.9 | 57.1 |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C I}$ | $\ldots$ | $52.1-52.3$ | $54.1-54.4$ | $55.5-55.8$ | $55.8-56.0$ | $56.8-57.0$ | $57.0-57.2$ |

[^0]Note: Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Another BreastScreen Australia objective relating to participation is 'To achieve patterns of participation in the Program which are representative of the socioeconomic, ethnic and cultural profiles of the target population' (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000). This chapter reports national participation rates by region, socioeconomic status, Indigenous status and main language spoken at home. Below are some key points on each of these variables.

## Region

Participation rates in 'Major cities' and 'Very remote' areas were significantly lower than those in other regions.
The lower participation rates in 'Major cities' may reflect greater access to, and use of, private radiology services. Or there may be a group of women in the target age group who are working women and cannot easily access BreastScreen Australia services. For some women, proximity to services could create over-familiarity and lead to postponement of screening in order to accommodate other priorities.
Lower rates in 'Very remote' areas may reflect a larger proportion of Indigenous women in the target age group who may not find services culturally accessible. The lower rates may also reflect the unavailability of BreastSceen Australia services in some remote areas of the Northern Territory. However, there are no data to test these hypotheses. Participation in rural areas is encouraged through the use of mobile mammography units.

## Socioeconomic status

Breast cancer incidence and mortality are highest among women with the highest socioeconomic status (Tracey et al. 2004; Dunn et al. 2002). There was some variation in the participation rates among different socioeconomic groups, but there was only a marginally significant difference between the most and the least disadvantaged groups. This demonstrates the success of the program in reaching women at all socioeconomic levels, since there is no decline in participation with decreasing socioeconomic status.

## Indigenous status and main language spoken at home

Participation among Indigenous women was significantly lower than that of non-Indigenous women. Similarly, participation among women who speak a language other than English at home was significantly lower than that of women who speak English at home. These results should, however, be treated with caution because of the data issues discussed in the report.

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia, 2001-2002


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 57.1 | $53.0^{*}$ | $59.4^{*}$ | $58.9^{*}$ | $55.3^{*}$ | $64.9^{*}$ | $59.1^{*}$ | $59.2^{*}$ | $44.7^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $57.0-57.2$ | $52.8-53.2$ | $59.2-59.6$ | $58.6-59.1$ | $55.0-55.7$ | $64.5-65.3$ | $58.5-59.8$ | $58.3-60.1$ | $43.5-45.9$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.

- Of the $1,611,262$ women screened during 2001 and 2002 as part of the BreastScreen Australia Program, 1,102,227 ( $68 \%$ ) were in the target age group ( $50-69$ years).
- In 2001-2002, 57.1\% (age-standardised) of women in the target age group attended a BreastScreen Australia service. The corresponding participation rate for all women aged 40 and over was $37.5 \%$.
- Across states and territories, the age-standardised participation rate for women in the target age group ranged from $44.7 \%$ in the Northern Territory to $64.9 \%$ in South Australia. It should be noted that BreastScreen Australia services are not provided in some remote areas of the Northern Territory and this may lower the participation rate for this jurisdiction.

For more information, see:
Tables 1 and 2

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia, 2000-2001 and 2001-2002


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2000-2001 | 56.9 | 53.0 | 59.2 | 58.4 | 55.4 | 64.3 | 60.0 | 57.0 | 46.3 |
| 95\% CI | $56.8-57.0$ | $52.9-53.2$ | $59.0-59.4$ | $58.1-58.6$ | $55.1-55.7$ | $63.9-64.7$ | $59.3-60.6$ | $56.0-57.8$ | $45.1-47.5$ |
| 2001-2002 | 57.1 | 53.0 | 59.4 | 58.9 | 55.3 | 64.9 | 59.1 | $59.2^{*}$ | 44.7 |
| $\mathbf{9 5 \% ~ C l ~}$ | $57.0-57.2$ | $52.8-53.2$ | $59.2-59.6$ | $58.6-59.1$ | $55.0-55.7$ | $64.5-65.3$ | $58.5-59.8$ | $58.3-60.1$ | $43.5-45.9$ |

* Significantly different from the 2000-2001 rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Periods cover 1 January 2000 to 31 December 2001 and 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.

- Participation in BreastScreen Australia among women in the target age group rose from $56.9 \%$ (age-standardised) in 2000-2001 to $57.1 \%$ in 2001-2002. However, this difference was not significant.
- The Australian Capital Territory was the only jurisdiction to show a significant increase in participation for women in the target age group in 2001-2002. In the Australian Capital Territory, the age-standardised participation rate rose from 57.0\% in 2000-2001 to $59.2 \%$ in 2001-2002.


## For more information, see:

Tables 1 and 2

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by region, 2001-2002


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | Major cities | Inner regional | Outer regional | Remote | Very remote |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 57.1 | $55.6^{*}$ | $59.7^{*}$ | $60.7^{*}$ | $60.9^{*}$ | $47.9^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $57.0-57.2$ | $55.5-55.7$ | $59.5-60.0$ | $60.3-61.0$ | $59.9-61.8$ | $46.6-49.2$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.


## Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.
3. The Australian Standard Geographical Classification (ASGC) was used to create the above categories (ABS 2001).

- Participation in BreastScreen Australia varied significantly between regions in 2001-2002.
- Age-standardised participation rates for women in the target age group in 'Major cities' ( $55.6 \%$ ) and 'Very remote' areas ( $47.9 \%$ ) were significantly lower than the national rate of $57.1 \%$. Significantly higher than the national rate were 'Inner regional' areas at 59.7\%, 'Outer regional' areas at $60.7 \%$ and 'Remote' areas at $60.9 \%$.


## For more information, see:

Table 3

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by socioeconomic status, 2001-2002


|  | Australia | 1st quintile | 2nd quintile | 3rd quintile | 4th quintile | 5th quintile |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 57.1 | 57.0 | $55.9^{*}$ | $58.4^{*}$ | $58.4^{*}$ | $55.7^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $57.0-57.2$ | $56.7-57.2$ | $55.6-56.1$ | $58.2-58.6$ | $58.1-58.6$ | $55.5-56.0$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.
3. The first quintile corresponds to the highest socioeconomic status and the fifth to the lowest socioeconomic status.

- Women in the target age group living in postcodes with the lowest socioeconomic status had the lowest age-standardised participation rate (55.7\%) in 2001-2002. The socioeconomic groups with the highest participation rates were the third and fourth quintiles, both $58.4 \%$.
- The participation rate for women living in postcodes with the highest socioeconomic status ( $57.0 \%$ ) was significantly higher than women in the lowest socioeconomic group.


## For more information, see:

Table 4

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by Indigenous status, 2001-2002


|  | Australia | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 57.1 | $34.8^{*}$ | 53.9 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $57.0-57.2$ | $34.0-35.6$ | $53.8-54.0$ |

* Significantly different from the non-Indigenous rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.
3. Women whose Indigenous status was recorded as 'not stated' were included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by Indigenous status.

- At the 2001 national population Census, $1.1 \%$ of the female population aged 50-69 years were Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people.
- Of the 1,611,262 women aged 40 and over participating in screening through the BreastScreen Australia Program in 2001-2002, there were 11,542 (0.7\%) who identified themselves as Indigenous. While 85,037 women were classified as not stating their Indigenous status, the true figure is higher because some jurisdictions classified these women as 'non-Indigenous' (see Appendix A for coding of Indigenous status). The comparison of participation rates between Indigenous and non-Indigenous women should therefore be treated with caution.
- The age-standardised participation rate for Indigenous women (34.8\%) was significantly lower than both the non-Indigenous rate (53.9\%) and the national rate (57.1\%).


## For more information, see:

Table 5

Participation of women aged 50-69 years in BreastScreen Australia by language spoken at home, 2001-2002


|  | Australia | English speaking | Non-English speaking |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 57.1 | $58.9^{\star}$ | $47.4^{\star}$ |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $57.0-57.2$ | $58.8-59.0$ | $47.2-47.7$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.
3. Women who were recorded as not stating their language spoken at home are included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by language.

- Of the 1,611,262 women aged 40 and over participating in screening through the BreastScreen Australia Program in 2001-2002, there were 214,986 (13\%) who identified as non-English speaking. While 3,944 women were classified as not stating the language they spoke at home, the true figure may be higher as some jurisdictions did not use the 'not stated' category. Women in these jurisdictions who did not state the language they spoke at home were allocated to one of the other two categories (Appendix A). Participation rates between English speaking and non-English speaking women should therefore be treated with caution.
- There was a significantly lower age-standardised participation rate for women in the target age group from a non-English speaking background (47.4\%) than for English speaking women (58.9\%). English speaking women had a significantly higher participation rate, at $58.9 \%$, than the national rate of $57.1 \%$ (age-standardised).

For more information, see:
Table 6

## Age distribution of women aged 40 years and over screened by BreastScreen Australia, 2001-2002



| Age | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\%$ | 17.9 | 68.4 | 13.7 |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of all women aged 40 or over screened by BreastScreen Australia.
2. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.

- Two-thirds ( $64.4 \%$ ) of women participating in the BreastScreen Australia Program in 2001-2002 were in the target age group (50-69 years). Of all women screened, $17.9 \%$ were aged $40-49$ years, and $13.7 \%$ were aged 70 years and over.

For more information, see:
Tables 1 and 2

## Indicator 2: Detection of small invasive cancers

## Small invasive cancer detection rate

The detection rate for small invasive cancers is the rate of women with small diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened by five-year age groups (40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64, 65-69, 70-74, 75-79, 80-84, 85+ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years). Detection rates for all invasive cancers are also provided by screening round (that is, first round and subsequent rounds), five-year age groups and for the target age group.

## The small invasive cancer detection indicator

The small invasive cancer detection indicator measures the rate of women with invasive breast cancers that are 15 mm or less in size detected at a BreastScreen Australia service. This is expressed as the number of women with small cancers detected for every 10,000 women screened.
A greater rate of detection of small cancers within the BreastScreen Australia Program increases the likelihood that the desired reductions in morbidity and mortality from breast cancer will be achieved. One of BreastScreen Australia's aims is to maximise the early detection of breast cancers (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000). Finding breast cancer early often means that the cancer is small, can be more effectively treated and is less likely to have spread to other parts of the body. As a result, women who have cancers detected early may suffer less morbidity from breast cancer (Day 1991).
In 2002, $63 \%$ of all invasive breast cancers detected by BreastScreen Australia in women aged 40 and over were small-diameter cancers.

The table below shows the percentage of all invasive cancers detected that were small diameter invasive breast cancers, by screening round, for women screened in 2002.

Percentage of invasive cancers detected that were small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in diameter, 2002

| Age group | First screening round | Subsequent screening rounds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ years | 55.7 | 65.2 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ years and over | 54.0 | 65.8 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.
It is evident that a higher proportion of women attending the program for the first time have tumours larger than 15 mm compared with those who have been screened previously. This is because regular, biennial mammography provides the best chance for the detection of early-stage small cancers (AHMAC 1990).

## Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, first screening round, 2002



Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 40.5 | 35.5 | 36.4 | 42.5 | 45.2 | $99.7^{*}$ | 24.0 | 38.0 | 50.3 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $35.1-46.4$ | $27.9-44.5$ | $25.5-50.0$ | $31.2-56.4$ | $27.2-68.6$ | $54.8-159.8$ | $2.9-86.8$ | $10.2-93.0$ | $1.3-280.0$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women with small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- In 2002, small-diameter invasive cancers were found in 394 women aged 40 and over attending a BreastScreen Australia service for their first screen. Of these women, 256 were in the target age group (50-69 years). The age-standardised detection rate was 40.5 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group and 39.1 per 10,000 women screened for all women aged 40 and over.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised detection rate for small invasive cancers in women in the target age group ranged from 24.0 per 10,000 women screened in Tasmania to 99.7 per 10,000 women screened in South Australia. Large confidence intervals can be observed in the smaller states and territories due to the small number of cases detected in these jurisdictions.

For more information, see:
Tables 7 and 8

Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, subsequent screening rounds, 2002


Note: Bars on columns represent $95 \%$ confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 27.8 | 28.2 | 24.5 | 27.4 | 28.5 | 33.2 | 34.1 | 27.8 | 12.2 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $26.3-29.3$ | $25.6-31.0$ | $21.9-27.4$ | $24.3-30.9$ | $23.9-33.8$ | $28.2-38.7$ | $25.3-44.8$ | $17.7-41.6$ | $2.3-36.0$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women with small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- In 2002, small-diameter invasive cancers were found in 1,963 women aged 40 and over attending a BreastScreen Australia service for their second or subsequent screen. Of these women, 1,408 were in the target age group (50-69 years). The age-standardised detection rate was 27.8 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age and 26.2 for all women aged 40 and over. In both age categories, the small cancer detection rates for women attending their second or subsequent screen were significantly lower than the rates for women attending their first screen (tables 8 and 10).
- The age-standardised detection rate of small invasive cancers by state and territory ranged from 12.2 per 10,000 women screened in the Northern Territory to 34.1 in Tasmania. However, this difference is not statistically significant. The large confidence intervals observed in the smaller states and territories are due to the small number of cases detected in these jurisdictions.

For more information, see:

Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69, all screening rounds, 2001 and 2002


Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2001 rate | 29.0 | 28.5 | 27.0 | 30.5 | 29.7 | 30.5 | 33.9 | 36.1 | 28.9 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $27.7-30.4$ | $26.0-30.9$ | $24.3-29.7$ | $27.1-33.9$ | $25.0-34.3$ | $26.0-35.3$ | $24.1-43.7$ | $23.8-50.2$ | $8.3-53.5$ |
| 2002 rate | 29.1 | 29.1 | 25.6 | 29.1 | 31.2 | 35.7 | 33.1 | 30.1 | 14.6 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $27.7-30.5$ | $26.6-31.7$ | $23.0-28.3$ | $26.0-32.4$ | $26.6-36.3$ | $30.7-41.2$ | $24.8-43.3$ | $20.0-43.5$ | $3.6-38.1$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of women with small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. None of the 2002 rates was significantly different from the 2001 rates.

- There was no significant change in the detection rate of small invasive cancers from 2001 to 2002. The national age-standardised detection rate was 29.0 per 10,000 women screened in 2001 and 29.1 per 10,000 women screened in 2002.
- In 2002, small invasive cancers were detected in 2,357 women. Of these women, 1,664 ( $71 \%$ ) were in the target age group. Small invasive cancers made up $64 \%$ of all cancers detected in 2002. For women in the target age group, the proportion of small invasive cancers out of all cancers was also $64 \%$.


## For more information, see:

Tables 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12

Small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive breast cancer detection by age, 2002


| Age-specific rate | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| First screening round | 11.9 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 32.3 | 53.1 | 62.8 | 70.5 |
| Subsequent screening rounds | 7.6 | 14.1 | 18.1 | 27.8 | 31.8 | 39.2 | 42.0 |

Note: Rates are the number of women with small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened.

- The steady increase in the detection of small ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter) invasive cancers with age reflects the greater incidence of breast cancer with age (Table 43). The detection rate for women aged 40-44 years making a first round attendance at a BreastScreen Australia service in 2002 was 11.9 per 10,000 women screened. This rate increased to 70.5 per 10,000 women screened for women aged 70 and over. A similar pattern occurred for women making a second or subsequent round attendance, although the rate of increase with age was not as great.


## For more information, see:

Tables 8, 10 and 43

## All-size invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69 years, first screening round, 2002



Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 72.2 | 64.4 | 71.7 | 71.2 | 81.6 | $137.5^{*}$ | 67.1 | 49.5 | 60.4 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $65.0-80.0$ | $54.1-76.0$ | $56.0-89.8$ | $56.2-88.9$ | $56.7-112.1$ | $87.0-200.6$ | $25.8-140.5$ | $15.8-112.1$ | $0.0-249.9$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women with invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- In 2002, the age-standardised invasive cancer detection rate for women attending a BreastScreen Australia Service for the first time was 72.2 per 10,000 women screened. Across the states and territories, the Australian Capital Territory had the lowest agestandardised detection rate, at 49.5 per 10,000 women screened, and South Australia had the highest rate, at 137.5 per 10,000 women screened.
- The invasive cancer detection rate for all women aged 40 and over (70.6 per 10,000 women screened) was not significantly different from the rate for women in the target age group ( 72.2 per 10,000 women screened).

For more information, see:

[^1]All-size invasive breast cancer detection in women aged 50-69 years, subsequent screening rounds, 2002


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 42.7 | 43.1 | $36.9^{*}$ | 44.9 | 44.2 | 50.0 | 47.9 | 39.5 | 20.4 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $40.9-44.5$ | $39.9-46.5$ | $33.7-40.4$ | $40.9-49.3$ | $38.3-50.7$ | $43.9-56.7$ | $37.4-60.5$ | $27.2-55.5$ | $4.5-54.5$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes: Rates are the number of women with invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998

- In 2002, the age-standardised invasive cancer detection rate for women in the target age group attending a BreastScreen Australia service for their second or subsequent screen was 42.7 per 10,000 women screened. This is significantly lower than the detection rate for first round attendances ( 72.2 per 10,000 women screened).
- The age-standardised invasive cancer detection rate for all women aged 40 and over, attending for their second or subsequent screen, was 40.1 per 10,000 women screened. This is not significantly different from the rate for women in the target group ( 42.7 per 10,000 women screened).
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised invasive cancer detection rate for women in the target age group ranged from 20.4 per 10,000 women screened in the Northern Territory to 50.0 per 10,000 women screened in South Australia.

For more information, see:
Tables 15 and 16

## Indicator 3: Sensitivity

## 3a. Interval cancer rate

The interval cancer rate is the rate of invasive breast cancers detected during an interval between two screening rounds per 10,000 women-years. It is stratified by 10 -year age groups (40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+ years), time since screen ( $0-12$ months, $13-24$ months, and $0-24$ months) and screening round (first or subsequent).

## 3b. Program sensitivity

The program sensitivity rate is the percentage of women with screen-detected invasive breast cancer among all Program-screened women diagnosed with invasive breast cancer during the screening interval (screen-detected and interval cancers). It is stratified by 10 -year age groups (40-49, 50-59, 60-69, 70+ years), time since screen ( $0-12$ months, $0-24$ months) and screening round (first or subsequent).

## The sensitivity indicator

An interval cancer is an invasive breast cancer that is diagnosed after a screening episode that detected no cancer and before the next scheduled screening episode. The interval cancer rate is expressed per 10,000 women-years at risk (see the glossary for definitions of 'womenyears at risk' and 'interval cancer'). It measures how effective the BreastScreen Australia Program is at detecting the presence of breast cancer in well women. A low interval cancer rate is one measure of the effectiveness of the screening process.
Program sensitivity measures the ability of the Program to detect invasive breast cancers in women attending for screening. The Program needs to achieve a high sensitivity in order to be effective. Program sensitivity is the proportion of invasive breast cancers that are detected within the BreastScreen Australia Program out of all invasive breast cancers (interval cancers plus screen-detected cancers) diagnosed in Program-screened women in the screening interval.
In this chapter data for the years 1998, 1999 and 2000 are combined. This aggregation improves the stability of rates, especially those of the small states and territories.

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1998, 1999 and 2000, first screening round, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 7.1 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 8.8 | 11.8 | 7.8 | 5.6 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $6.1-8.2$ | $5.1-8.7$ | $4.8-9.3$ | $5.2-9.2$ | $2.4-11.0$ | $5.1-13.9$ | $4.0-26.3$ | $0.2-30.4$ | $0.7-20.1$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- The age-standardised interval cancer rate for women in the target age group $0-12$ months after their first screen was $7.1 \%$.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer ranged from 5.6 per 10,000 women-years in the Northern Territory and Western Australia to 11.8 per 10,000 women-years in Tasmania.

For more information, see:
Table 17

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1998, 1999 and 2000, first screening round, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | n.a. | 11.2 | 11.3 | 8.1 | 10.6 | 15.6 | 13.0 | 7.0 |
| $95 \%$ CI | n.a. | n.a. | $9.2-13.5$ | $9.6-13.2$ | $5.1-12.1$ | $7.6-14.3$ | $8.6-25.8$ | $5.0-26.3$ | $2.3-16.4$ |

n.a. Not available

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories with data available, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group (50-69 years) 0-24 months after their first screen ranged from 7.0 per 10,000 women-years in the Northern Territory to 15.6 per 10,000 women-years in Tasmania.

For more information, see:
Table 19

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1998, 1999 and 2000, subsequent screening round, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 8.0 | $18.1^{*}$ | 4.6 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $7.4-8.4$ | $7.0-8.7$ | $6.7-8.6$ | $6.8-9.4$ | $5.3-8.3$ | $6.4-9.8$ | $5.4-11.4$ | $12.7-25.0$ | $0.4-17.1$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.

- The age-standardised interval cancer rate for women in the target age group $0-12$ months after their second or subsequent screen was $7.9 \%$.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer ranged from 4.6 per 10,000 women-years in the Northern Territory to 18.1 per 10,000 womenyears in the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:
Table 20

Interval cancer rate for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1998, 1999 and 2000, subsequent screening round, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | n.a. | n.a. | 10.2 | 11.9 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 14.8 | 9.4 |
| $95 \%$ CI | n.a. | n.a. | $9.5-11.1$ | $10.9-13.0$ | $7.9-10.5$ | $9.1-11.8$ | $7.8-12.6$ | $11.3-19.0$ | $4.0-18.2$ |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories with data available, the age-standardised rates of interval cancer for women in the target age group (50-69 years) 0-24 months after their second or subsequent screen ranged from 9.1 per 10,000 women-years in Western Australia to 14.8 per 10,000 women-years in the Australian Capital Territory.

Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1998, 1999 and 2000, first screening round, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 89.4 | 89.6 | 89.7 | 89.2 | 91.5 | 88.7 | 84.7 | 92.8 | 90.3 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $86.1-92.9$ | $83.7-96.0$ | $82.0-97.9$ | $83.3-95.5$ | $77.9-100.0$ | $77.2-100.0$ | $64.7-100.0$ | $63.6-100.0$ | $44.1-100.0$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- The age-standardised Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group $0-12$ months after their first screen was $89.4 \%$.
- Across the states and territories, the Program sensitivity rate ranged from $84.7 \%$ in Tasmania to $92.8 \%$ in the Australian Capital Territory.

For more information, see:

Table 23

Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1998, 1999 and 2000, first screening round, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | n.a. | n.a. | 73.9 | 72.7 | 85.1 | 77.3 | 69.1 | 81.2 | 80.5 |
| $95 \%$ CI | n.a. | n.a. | $67.3-81.0$ | $67.6-78.1$ | $72.2-100.0$ | $66.4-89.6$ | $52.2-91.0$ | $54.9-100.0$ | $34.4-100.0$ |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories with data available, the Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group 0-24 months after their first screen ranged from $69.1 \%$ in Tasmania to $85.1 \%$ in Western Australia.

For more information, see:
Table 24

Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1998, 1999 and 2000, subsequent screening round, 0-12 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 83.2 | 82.2 | 83.7 | 83.2 | 86.3 | 84.1 | 82.2 | 72.6 | 90.2 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $81.6-84.8$ | $79.5-85.0$ | $80.7-86.8$ | $79.4-87.2$ | $80.3-92.6$ | $78.4-90.2$ | $71.6-94.2$ | $59.1-88.2$ | $61.0-100.0$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- The Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group 0-12 months after their second or subsequent screen was $83.2 \%$.
- Across the states and territories, the Program sensitivity rate ranged from $72.6 \%$ in the Australian Capital Territory to $90.2 \%$ in the Northern Territory.

For more information, see:
Table 25

Program sensitivity for women aged 50-69 years, screened during years 1998, 1999 and 2000, subsequent screening round, 0-24 months follow-up


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | QId | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | n.a. | n.a. | 65.9 | 63.4 | 80.5 | 67.8 | 69.2 | 81.3 | 90.2 |
| $95 \%$ CI | n.a. | n.a. | $63.4-68.5$ | $60.3-66.6$ | $74.9-86.5$ | $63.0-72.9$ | $59.5-80.1$ | $66.3-98.7$ | $61.0-100.0$ |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. The data include both symptomatic and asymptomatic women.
3. New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

- Across the states and territories with data available, the Program sensitivity rate for women in the target age group 0-24 months after their second or subsequent screen ranged from $63.4 \%$ in Queensland to $90.2 \%$ in the Northern Territory.

For more information, see:
Table 26

## Indicator 4: Detection of ductal carcinoma in situ

## Ductal carcinoma in situ detection rate

The ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) detection rate is the rate of women with DCIS per 10,000 women screened by 10 -year age groups ( $40-49,50-59,60-69,70+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## The DCIS detection indicator

The DCIS indicator measures the rate of DCIS diagnosed in women attending a BreastScreen Australia service. This is expressed as the number of women with DCIS detected for every 10,000 women screened. DCIS is a disease that involves changes in the cells in the lining of the ducts of the breast. Although the changes are like those seen in breast cancer, DCIS has not spread beyond the ducts (NBCC et al. 2000). The natural history of DCIS is still not well understood, although women with the condition are at increased risk of subsequent development of invasive breast cancer (NQMC unpublished).
DCIS is asymptomatic in the majority of cases and is usually detected as a change on a mammogram or as a chance finding on a breast biopsy for another condition. Before the introduction of nationwide mammographic screening in Australia in 1991, DCIS was rarely found. Since then, screening mammography has increased the detection rate for DCIS (NBCC et al. 2000).
Early detection of high-grade DCIS through screening, and its subsequent treatment, is likely to prevent deaths from breast cancer (NQMC unpublished). The ability to detect DCIS can also be seen as an indicator of the quality of the screening process, since it reflects good-quality imaging and screen-film reading.
In 2002, the national age-standardised rate of DCIS detection was 10.0 women with DCIS per 10,000 women aged 40 and over. This is slightly lower than the detection rate for 2001, at 10.5 per 10,000 women screened, but the difference is not statistically significant.

Ductal carcinoma in situ detection in women aged 50-69 years, 2002


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 10.5 | 9.8 | 9.3 | 9.8 | $17.7^{*}$ | 10.6 | 8.8 | 14.2 | 8.2 |
| $95 \% \mathbf{C l}$ | $9.7-11.4$ | $8.4-11.3$ | $7.8-11.0$ | $8.0-11.8$ | $14.3-21.6$ | $8.0-13.8$ | $4.8-14.8$ | $7.7-24.0$ | $1.7-24.0$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of cases of DCIS per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- DCIS was detected in 849 women participating in the BreastScreen Australia Program in 2002, including 603 cases in women in the target age group. The age-standardised DCIS detection rate was 10.5 per 10,000 women screened for women in the target age group, and 10.0 per 10,000 women screened for all women aged 40 and over.
- The age-standardised rate of DCIS detection for women in the target age group ranged from 8.2 per 10,000 women screened in the Northern Territory to 17.7 per 10,000 women screened in Western Australia.


## For more information, see:

Tables 27 and 28

## Indicator 5: Recall to assessment

## Recall to assessment rate

The recall to assessment rate is the proportion of all women screened in the calendar year 2002 who were recalled for assessment by five-year age groups (40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, $60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84$, and $85+$ years) and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years).

## The recall to assessment indicator

The recall to assessment indicator measures the rate of women who are recalled for assessment following attendance for a routine screening at a BreastScreen Australia service. In most cases, the recall is made because a woman's screening mammogram shows signs that there may be breast cancer. Women may also be recalled for other non-mammographic reasons. During assessment, a woman might undergo further tests, such as additional mammography, physical examination, ultrasound and, if required, a fine needle aspiration or a core biopsy.
BreastScreen Australia aims to maximise the number of cancers detected - in particular, the number of small cancers - while minimising the number of unnecessary investigations. Most women recalled to assessment are found not to have breast cancer (BreastScreen SA 1999; BreastScreen Queensland 2000).
Women attending the program for the first time have a higher all-size cancer detection rate than those who have previously been screened. This is reflected in a higher recall to assessment rate for women who attend for their first screening round compared with those who attend for a subsequent round. The table below shows recall to assessment rates by screening round for 2001 and 2002.

Age-standardised recall to assessment rates for women aged 40 and over, 2001 and 2002

|  | First screening round | Subsequent screening rounds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 2001 rate (\%) | 8.3 | 4.0 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $8.1-8.5$ | $4.0-4.1$ |
| 2002 rate (\%) | 8.7 | 4.2 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $8.5-8.9$ | $4.1-4.2$ |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Recall to assessment rate for women aged 50-69 years, mammographic reasons, first screening round, 2002


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 8.9 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 9.7 | $10.3^{*}$ | $6.5^{*}$ | $12.1^{*}$ | 7.3 | $5.2^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $8.6-9.1$ | $8.3-9.0$ | $7.9-9.0$ | $9.1-10.2$ | $9.4-11.2$ | $5.5-7.6$ | $10.0-14.5$ | $5.5-9.4$ | $2.9-8.4$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998

- In 2002, $8.9 \%$ (age-standardised) of women in the target age group attending their first screen were recalled for assessment due to an abnormal mammogram result.
- Age-standardised rates of recall for assessment for women in the target age group ranged from $5.2 \%$ in the Northern Territory to $12.1 \%$ in Tasmania. South Australia, at $6.5 \%$, and the Northern Territory, at $5.2 \%$, both had recall rates significantly lower than the national rate. Western Australia, at $10.3 \%$, and Tasmania, $12.1 \%$, had recall rates significantly higher than the national rate.

For more information, see:

Recall to assessment rate for women aged 50-69 years, mammographic reasons, subsequent screening round, 2002


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 4.1 | 4.2 | 3.9 | $4.8^{*}$ | $3.8^{*}$ | $2.5^{*}$ | $5.3^{*}$ | $4.9^{*}$ | 3.5 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $4.0-4.1$ | $4.1-4.3$ | $3.8-4.0$ | $4.7-4.9$ | $3.6-3.9$ | $2.4-2.7$ | $4.9-5.7$ | $4.4-5.4$ | $2.7-4.4$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- Of women in the target age group who were screened for a second or subsequent time in 2002, $4.1 \%$ (age-standardised) were recalled for assessment due to an abnormal mammogram result. This is significantly lower than the rate for women attending for their first screen (Table 30).
- Age-standardised rates of recall for assessment for women in the target age group screened for a second or subsequent time ranged from $2.5 \%$ in the South Australia to $5.3 \%$ in Tasmania.


## Indicator 6: Rescreening

## Rescreen rate

The rescreen rate is the proportion of all women screened in 2000 whose screening outcome was a recommendation to return for screening in two years who returned for a screen within 27 months. This rate is reported by five-year age groups (40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64, $65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84$, and $85+$ years) and for the target age group ( $50-67$ years). Although the BreastScreen Australia target age group is 50-69 years, only women aged $50-67$ years are reported for the rescreen indicator. This is because women aged 68-69 years in the index year were outside the target age group 27 months after their index screen and, therefore, were not expected to return for screening.

## The rescreen indicator

The rescreen indicator measures the proportion of women who return for screening in the program within the recommended screening interval. The interval between screens is an important factor influencing the level of detection of cancers within the program. Intervals that are too long may allow tumours to grow to the point where symptoms become evident, thus eliminating the advantage of screening. A high rescreen rate is also important for maintaining the participation rate. The anticipated reductions in mortality can be achieved only if a high proportion of women in the target age group attend for screening every two years. By having a mammogram every two years, a woman can reduce her chance of dying from breast cancer by up to $40 \%$ (Duffy et al. 1991; Fletcher et al. 1993; Feig 1998). The recommended interval of 27 months includes an additional 3 months to allow for potential delays in screening availability.
Women in the target age group are re-invited biennially. Some states and territories have a policy of re-inviting a proportion of women annually, for example, women with a strong family history of breast cancer. The data for this indicator include women who are recommended for annual screening as well as those screened biennially.
The proportion of women who returned for screening within the recommended screening interval increased with the number of screens a woman had previously attended. As can be seen in the table below, the rescreen rate is greater for women who have attended for two previous screens than for women who have been screened only once before, and greater still for women who have previously attended three or more screening episodes.

One of the objectives of the BreastScreen Australia Program is 'To rescreen all women in the Program at two-yearly intervals' (BSANAC \& DHAC 2000).

Age-standardised rescreen rates for women aged 40 years and over, screened during 2000, Australia

|  | First screening round | Second screening round | Subsequent screening <br> rounds |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 61.8 | 72.0 | 80.6 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $61.3-62.3$ | $71.6-72.4$ | $80.3-81.0$ |

Rescreen rate for women aged 50-67 years, screened during 2000, first screening round


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 66.3 | $62.7^{*}$ | 67.7 | $71.1^{*}$ | $60.3^{*}$ | 66.5 | 68.5 | $56.1^{*}$ | $49.6^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $65.5-67.1$ | $61.4-64.0$ | $65.8-69.7$ | $69.6-72.6$ | $57.0-63.8$ | $62.7-70.4$ | $63.1-74.1$ | $48.9-63.7$ | $39.2-60.8$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women aged 50-67 years returning for screening within 27 months of attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 2000 for the first time was $66.3 \%$. Of all women aged 40 and over, $61.8 \%$ returned for screening.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rescreen rates for women in the target age group ranged from $49.6 \%$ in the Northern Territory to $71.1 \%$ in Queensland. The rate for Queensland was significantly higher than the national rate.


## For more information, see:

Rescreen rate for women aged 50-67 years, screened during 2000, second screening round


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 75.7 | $72.2^{*}$ | 75.4 | $80.3^{*}$ | $69.6^{*}$ | 78.0 | 76.8 | $62.4^{*}$ | $64.3^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $75.1-76.3$ | $71.1-73.3$ | $73.5-77.3$ | $79.2-81.3$ | $67.4-71.9$ | $75.4-80.7$ | $72.7-81.0$ | $56.1-69.1$ | $57.6-71.3$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women aged 50-67 years returning for screening within 27 months of attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 2000 for the second time was $75.7 \%$. This is significantly higher than the rate for women attending for their first visit.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rescreen rates for the target age group ranged from $62.4 \%$ in the Australian Capital Territory to $80.3 \%$ in Queensland. The rate for Queensland was significantly higher than the national rate.

For more information, see:
Tables 39 and 40

Rescreen rate for women aged 50-67 years, screened during 2000, third and subsequent screening rounds


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate (\%) | 84.2 | $81.8^{*}$ | $85.3^{*}$ | $87.0^{*}$ | $81.9^{*}$ | $88.4^{*}$ | 85.2 | $75.7^{*}$ | 80.3 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $83.9-84.4$ | $81.3-82.3$ | $84.8-85.7$ | $86.2-87.8$ | $81.1-82.7$ | $87.6-89.3$ | $83.6-86.8$ | $73.5-78.0$ | $74.1-86.8$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

- The age-standardised national rescreen rate for women aged 50-67 years returning for screening within 27 months of attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 2000 for their third or subsequent visit was $84.2 \%$. This is significantly higher than the rate for women attending for their second visit.
- Across the states and territories, the age-standardised rescreen rates for the target age group ranged from $75.7 \%$ in the Australian Capital Territory to $88.4 \%$ in South Australia. Rescreen rates in Victoria, Queensland and South Australia were significantly higher than the national rate.


## For more information, see:

Tables 41 and 42

## Indicator 7: Incidence

## 7a. Incidence of breast cancer

The incidence of breast cancer is calculated per 100,000 estimated resident female population in a 12-month period by five-year age groups (0-4, 5-9, 10-14, 15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, $35-39,40-44,45-49,50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## 7b. Incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ

The incidence of DCIS is calculated per 100,000 estimated resident female population in a six-year period by ten-year age groups ( $0-19,20-29,30-39,40-49,50-59,60-69,70+$ years) and for the target age group (50-69 years).

## The incidence indicator

Registration of cancer cases is required by law in each of the states and territories. The data are collected by state and territory cancer registries and compiled in a national database, the National Cancer Statistics Clearing House, which is held by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW). The data include clinical and demographic information about people with newly diagnosed cancer. The incidence indicator measures the number of new cases of breast cancer in the community each year. It does not distinguish between screendetected cancers and other detection methods.
Incidence data provide information about the underlying level of breast cancer in the Australian community. This knowledge can be used to assist in developing policies on breast cancer screening. For example, examining the trends in breast cancer incidence in different age groups helps to identify the ages at which women are most at risk of developing breast cancer. Incidence data can also be used to set performance standards for breast cancer detection.
This chapter reports the rates of breast cancer from 1987 to 2001, the latest national data available. This chapter also reports on breast cancer incidence by state and territory, and by geographical region.
Similarly, data on the incidence of DCIS provide information about the underlying level of the condition among Australian women. Data are required to build more knowledge about DCIS, which was rarely detected before screening was introduced. Since the introduction of screening mammography, the detection of DCIS has increased (NBCC et al. 2000). More information is given on DCIS in the chapter headed 'Indicator 4'.

Incidence of breast cancer in women, Australia, 1987-2001


|  | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All ages | 91.2 | 89.6 | 93.4 | 94.8 | 100.4 | 98.2 | 105.4 | 114.0 | 115.7 | 109.2 | 111.3 | 114.6 | 111.3 | 115.6 | 117.2 |
| $<50$ | 38.2 | 36.7 | 37.2 | 38.1 | 38.8 | 39.8 | 40.5 | 41.3 | 41.6 | 39.9 | 39.4 | 40.2 | 39.1 | 40.7 | 40.4 |
| 50-69 | 196.9 | 194.5 | 208.1 | 209.7 | 230.3 | 222.0 | 250.8 | 282.0 | 285.3 | 269.6 | 276.7 | 289.2 | 287.7 | 296.2 | 305.4 |
| 70+ | 279.4 | 279.6 | 287.6 | 292.4 | 304.5 | 290.5 | 301.7 | 323.1 | 331.4 | 307.6 | 319.7 | 322.1 | 298.1 | 314.7 | 314.7 |

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

- With some fluctuations, a notable increase over the period 1987 to 2001 can be seen in the age-standardised breast cancer incidence rates for women in the target age group. Incidence has increased in this group from 196.9 new cancers per 100,000 women in 1987 to 305.4 per 100,000 women in 2001. A similar pattern of increase in incidence rates is apparent in the 70 and over age group. Incidence rates have remained more consistent over time in the 'all ages' category and in women under 50 years of age.
- The increase in the rate of new cancers, especially in the target age group, corresponds with the introduction in 1991 of BreastScreen Australia (then known as the National Program for the Early Detection of Breast Cancer). Although the underlying rate for breast cancer is increasing, the sharp increase between 1992 and 1994 is likely to be, at least partly, the result of the early detection of cancers in women who may otherwise have gone undiagnosed for some years.


## For more information, see:

Incidence of breast cancer in women aged 50-69 years, 1998-2001


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 294.9 | 286.3 | 290.9 | 305.0 | 299.3 | $316.2^{*}$ | 278.9 | $341.3^{\star}$ | 242.9 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $290.9-298.9$ | $279.7-293.0$ | $283.1-298.8$ | $295.6-314.6$ | $286.4-312.7$ | $302.3-330.7$ | $255.6-303.7$ | $307.2-378.1$ | $197.4-295.5$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

- The national age-standardised incidence rate for 1998 to 2001 was 294.9 new cancers per 100,000 women. Across the states and territories, incidence rates ranged from 242.9 new cancers per 100,000 women in the Northern Territory to 341.3 new cases per 100,000 women in the Australian Capital Territory. The rates for the Australian Capital Territory and South Australian ( 316.2 per 100,000 women) were significantly above the national rate.


## For more information, see:

Tables 45 and 46

## Age-specific incidence rates for breast cancer in women, Australia, 2001



Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

| Age | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 - 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 - 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{- 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 123.1 | 178.9 | 254.4 | 310.3 | 352.7 | 338.7 | 332.1 | 305.8 | 302.8 | $\mathbf{3 0 4 . 9}$ |

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women.

- All women aged 40 and over are able to attend for screening with BreastScreen Australia, although the Program is specifically aimed at women aged 50-69 years of age who are without symptoms. Of the 11,791 new cases of breast cancer in 2001, 5,802 (49\%) occurred in women in the target age group. Only $6 \%$ of cases were women aged under 40 years.
- Age-specific incidence rates in 2001 ranged from 123.1 new cancers per 100,000 women in the 40-44 age group to 352.7 new cases per 100,000 women in the 60-64 age group.
- The mean age at diagnosis for women diagnosed with breast cancer in 2001 was 60 years. The median age at diagnosis was 59 years.

For more information, see:
Table 44

## Incidence of breast cancer in women by region, 1997-2001



|  | Australia | Major cities | Inner regional | Outer regional | Remote | Very remote |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 114.1 | $117.2^{*}$ | 111.3 | $102.3^{*}$ | $101.9^{*}$ | $91.2^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $113.1-115.0$ | $116.0-118.4$ | $109.3-113.4$ | $99.5-105.2$ | $94.1-110.2$ | $79.2-104.3$ |
| Ages 50-69 | 291.4 | 299.4 | 286.7 | $260.9^{*}$ | $249.9^{*}$ | $209.8^{*}$ |
| $95 \%$ CI | $287.9-295.0$ | $295.0-303.9$ | $279.3-294.3$ | $250.7-271.4$ | $222.8-279.4$ | $171.0-253.0$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australian rate.

Note: Rates are the number of breast cancers detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

- For the period 1997 to 2001, the age-standardised incidence rate was 291.4 cases of breast cancer per 100,000 women for women in the target age group, and 114.1 cases per 100,000 women for all women aged 40 and over. Breast cancer incidence rates for women in the target age group ranged from 209.8 cases per 100,000 women in very remote areas to 299.4 cases per 100,000 women in major cities.

For more information, see:
Tables 47 and 48

Incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ in women aged 50-69 years, 1996-2001


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 37.5 | 35.3 | 38.2 | 34.5 | $50.0^{*}$ | 38.6 | 37.3 | 41.9 | 17.4 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $35.6-39.5$ | $32.0-38.6$ | $34.3-42.1$ | $30.2-39.1$ | $43.0-57.6$ | $32.3-45.4$ | $26.5-49.7$ | $25.6-61.5$ | $2.1-41.6$ |

* Significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

Notes: Rates are the number of DCIS detected per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

- For the period 1996 to 2001, the national age-standardised incidence rate of DCIS for women aged 50-69 years was 37.5 per 100,000 women. Western Australia was the only state or territory to have a DCIS incidence rate significantly different from the national rate, with 50 cases per 100,000, well above the national rate.
- For the period 1996 to 2001, the age-standardised incidence of DCIS ranged from 17.4 cases per 100,000 women in the Northern Territory to 50.0 cases per 100,000 women in Western Australia.


## For more information, see:

Tables 49 and 50

## Indicator 8: Mortality

## Mortality rate

The mortality rate from breast cancer is calculated per 100,000 estimated resident female population in a 12 -month period by 5 -year age groups ( $0-4,5-9,10-14,15-19,20-24,25-29$, $30-34,35-39,40-44,45-49,50-54,55-59,60-64,65-69,70-74,75-79,80-84,85+$ years) and for the target age group ( $50-69$ years).

## The mortality indicator

Mortality statistics are one of the most comprehensively collected national data sets. Registration of death is a legal requirement in Australia and, as a result, compliance is virtually complete. Registration of deaths is the responsibility of the Registrar of Births, Deaths and Marriages in each state and territory. The Registrars provide the mortality data to the Australian Bureau of Statistics (ABS) for coding the cause of death and compilation into national statistics. The AIHW also holds these data in a national mortality database. The data presented here are from the AIHW National Mortality Database and are based on the year of registration of the death. Note that about $5 \%$ of deaths are not registered until the year following the death (ABS 2002).
Breast cancer is the most common cause of cancer death in Australian women. The number of deaths from breast cancer in recent years has remained fairly stable, with 2,609 women dying from the disease in 1997 and 2,698 women in 2002. However, over this period the rates of deaths caused by breast cancer have steadily fallen.
In the longer term, mortality rates from breast cancer are an important indicator of the effectiveness of the screening program. A particularly important indication of the effectiveness of a screening program is the change in mortality rates over time in the target age group for screening. There are, however, two difficulties with using these mortality rates as an indicator of screening effectiveness. The first is that changes in mortality over time may reflect factors additional to screening, such as new and more effective treatments. The second is that changes in the mortality rates may not be apparent for a number of years following the commencement of a screening program. Accordingly, this is a measure that needs to be viewed over the long term and interpreted with caution.
The mortality rates presented in this chapter are for the total female population of Australia, not only for those women who participated in the BreastScreen Australia Program.
This chapter shows the trend in breast cancer mortality from 1988 to 2002, the latest national data available. It also reports on breast cancer mortality by state and territory, by age, by region and by Indigenous status.

Some changes have been made to the coding and processing of mortality data. These are described in Appendix A.

## Mortality from breast cancer, females, Australia, 1988-2002



Source: AIHW National Mortality Database

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 30.5 | 30.8 | 30.4 | 30.5 | 28.9 | 30.5 | 30.0 | 28.9 | 28.1 | 27.8 | 26.5 | 25.4 | 24.7 | 24.7 |
| $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 7.3 | 7.9 | 7.5 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 5.9 | 5.7 |
| $\mathbf{5 0 - 6 9}$ | 68.3 | 66.7 | 68.5 | 66.5 | 60.6 | 67.9 | 65.5 | 64.6 | 61.5 | 60.6 | 57.3 | 55.0 | 52.5 | 51.8 |
| 70+ | 131.2 | 134.1 | 127.9 | 130.9 | 127.4 | 133.9 | 131.3 | 128.0 | 122.9 | 119.7 | 117.3 | 111.4 | 112.7 | 115.9 |

[^2]- Since 1993 the age-standardised mortality rates for women in the target age group have declined steadily. The mortality rate for these women was 68.3 deaths per 100,000 women in 1988; in 2001 the corresponding figure was 51.8 deaths per 100,000 women. There was a small increase in the mortality rate in 2002, rising to 56.7 deaths per 100,000 women. However, this increase was not statistically significant. A similar pattern of decline in mortality rates can be observed in women aged 70 and over. Mortality rates for women aged under 50 years remained the lowest and most consistent, staying below 8 deaths per 100,000 women for the period 1988 to 2002.


## For more information, see:

Tables 51 and 52

Mortality from breast cancer in women aged 50-69, 1999-2002


Note: Bars on columns represent 95\% confidence intervals.
Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

|  | Australia | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 54.0 | 53.6 | 54.9 | 50.3 | 51.1 | 59.2 | 62.1 | 61.2 | 64.2 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $52.3-55.7$ | $50.8-56.5$ | $51.6-58.4$ | $46.6-54.2$ | $46.0-56.7$ | $53.3-65.5$ | $51.6-74.2$ | $47.3-77.9$ | $42.1-93.3$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- The national age-standardised mortality rate was 54.0 deaths per 100,000 women for the period 1999 to 2002. Across the states and territories, the mortality rate ranged from 50.3 deaths per 100,000 women in Queensland to 64.2 deaths per 100,000 women in the Northern Territory, despite the incidence rate in the Northern Territory being the lowest of any state or territory (Tables 45 and 46).


## For more information, see:

Tables 53 and 54

## Age-specific mortality rates for breast cancer, females, Australia,

 2002

| Age | $\mathbf{4 0 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 - 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 - 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 - 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 - 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 - 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{- 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rate | 14.7 | 25.0 | 45.4 | 54.0 | 65.0 | 72.0 | 73.6 | $\mathbf{1 0 5 . 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 2 . 6}$ |

Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women.

- In 2002, age-specific mortality rates increased consistently with age. For women aged $40-44$ years, the rate was 14.7 deaths per 100,000 women. The rate increased to 192.6 deaths per 100,000 women for women aged 85 and over.
- The pattern of breast cancer mortality by age group has remained the same over the period 1988 to 2002 (Table 51).
- The mean age at death for women dying from breast cancer in 2002 was 67 years. The median age at death was also 67 years.


Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

|  | Australia | Major cities | Inner regional | Outer regional | Remote | Very remote |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.4 | 22.6 | 22.6 |
| $95 \%$ CI | $24.8-25.7$ | $24.8-25.9$ | $24.4-26.3$ | $24.0-26.8$ | $18.9-26.7$ | $16.8-29.5$ |
| Ages 50-69 | 54.6 | 55.6 | 52.8 | 54.1 | 45.9 | 51.8 |
| $95 \% ~ C l ~$ | $53.1-56.1$ | $53.8-57.5$ | $49.6-56.0$ | $49.6-59.0$ | $34.9-59.3$ | $33.8-75.9$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. The Australian Standard Geographical Classification (ASGC) was used to create the above categories (ABS 2001).
3. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- Across all regions, mortality rates were significantly higher for women in the target age group than the 'all ages' group.
- For women in the target age group, mortality rates were highest in major cities with 55.6 deaths per 100,000 women, and lowest in remote areas, with 45.9 deaths per 100,000 women. These differences were not statistically significant because the relatively small number of deaths in remote areas have wide confidence intervals. The actual number of deaths by region can be seen in Table 55.

For more information, see:
Tables 55 and 56

## Mortality from breast cancer by Indigenous status, females, 1998-2002



Source: AIHW National Mortality Database

|  | Australia | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| All ages | 25.4 | 30.9 | 24.8 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $25.0-25.9$ | $23.1-40.4$ | $24.1-25.6$ |
| Ages 50-69 | 54.6 | 46.4 | 53.0 |
| $95 \%$ Cl | $53.1-56.1$ | $28.9-70.5$ | $50.5-55.5$ |

Notes

1. Only Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia and the Northern Territory had Indigenous death registration data considered to be of a publishable standard at the time this report was prepared. Therefore data from these jurisdictions only are included in the analysis by Indigenous status
2. 'Australia' includes all states and territories.
3. Women whose Indigenous status was recorded as 'not stated' are included in the analysis for all women but excluded from the analysis by Indigenous status.
4. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001 .
5. None of the rates was significantly different from the all-Australia rate.

- In the target age group, the age-standardised mortality rate for Indigenous women (46.4 deaths per 100,000 women) was lower than that for non-Indigenous women ( 53.0 deaths per 100,000 women). However, this difference was not significant.
- Nationally and among non-Indigenous women, the mortality rate was significantly higher for women in the target age group than for all women. There was no significant difference between age groups for Indigenous women.


## For more information, see:

[^3]
## Tables

## Indicator 1: Participation

Table 1: Number of women participating in BreastScreen Australia by age, states and territories, 2001-2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 43,630 | 11,142 | 33,786 | 7,118 | 6,319 | 2,716 | 1,125 | 735 | 106,571 |
| 45-49 | 73,009 | 20,464 | 49,513 | 15,581 | 12,819 | 5,571 | 3,053 | 1,364 | 181,374 |
| 50-54 | 105,512 | 90,654 | 68,239 | 33,319 | 33,533 | 8,881 | 6,441 | 2,374 | 348,953 |
| 55-59 | 96,035 | 78,822 | 59,039 | 27,343 | 28,384 | 8,417 | 5,166 | 1,749 | 304,955 |
| 60-64 | 79,426 | 63,975 | 46,513 | 22,305 | 23,000 | 6,795 | 3,576 | 1,010 | 246,600 |
| 65-69 | 66,111 | 52,843 | 36,907 | 18,032 | 19,120 | 5,575 | 2,593 | 538 | 201,719 |
| 70-74 | 53,087 | 42,364 | 28,590 | 5,096 | 6,949 | 2,615 | 588 | 312 | 139,601 |
| 75-79 | 32,771 | 11,343 | 10,136 | 2,049 | 3,158 | 682 | 268 | 126 | 60,533 |
| 80-84 | 11,057 | 1,707 | 2,886 | 522 | 735 | 158 | 77 | 66 | 17,208 |
| 85+ | 2,445 | 364 | 675 | 115 | 106 | 25 | 11 | 7 | 3,748 |
| Ages 40+ | 563,083 | 373,678 | 336,284 | 131,480 | 134,123 | 41,435 | 22,898 | 8,281 | 1,611,262 |
| Ages 50-69 | 347,084 | 286,294 | 210,698 | 100,999 | 104,037 | 29,668 | 17,776 | 5,671 | 1,102,227 |

Note: Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 2: Percentage of women participating in BreastScreen Australia, states and territories, 2001-2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 17.3 | 6.0 | 23.9 | 9.5 | 10.8 | 14.7 | 8.7 | 9.8 | 14.2 |
| 45-49 | 31.9 | 12.1 | 38.5 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 32.5 | 24.9 | 20.9 | 26.4 |
| 50-54 | 49.0 | 56.4 | 55.7 | 51.9 | 63.3 | 54.5 | 54.7 | 42.5 | 53.7 |
| 55-59 | 55.1 | 61.9 | 60.3 | 56.8 | 66.4 | 63.1 | 62.1 | 49.6 | 59.1 |
| 60-64 | 56.0 | 61.6 | 61.2 | 57.7 | 66.8 | 61.2 | 62.5 | 45.5 | 59.6 |
| 65-69 | 53.9 | 58.6 | 60.0 | 56.7 | 63.7 | 59.6 | 59.5 | 40.5 | 57.4 |
| 70-74 | 44.9 | 49.1 | 49.9 | 17.8 | 23.1 | 29.8 | 15.8 | 32.9 | 41.8 |
| 75-79 | 31.5 | 14.8 | 20.6 | 8.5 | 11.4 | 8.8 | 8.0 | 20.7 | 20.6 |
| 80-84 | 15.0 | 3.2 | 8.2 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 3.5 | 15.8 | 8.3 |
| 85+ | 3.7 | 0.7 | 2.2 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 37.6 | 33.9 | 42.0 | 31.8 | 36.4 | 36.7 | 34.5 | 28.6 | 36.7 |
| ASR(A) | 38.3 | 34.8 | 42.6 | 32.4 | 37.8 | 37.6 | 34.3 | 29.8 | 37.5 |
| 95\% CI | 38.2-38.4 | 34.6-34.9 | 42.5-42.7 | 32.2-32.6 | 37.6-38.0 | 37.2-38.0 | 33.8-34.7 | 29.1-30.6 | 37.4-37.6 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 53.1 | 59.4 | 58.9 | 55.3 | 64.9 | 59.2 | 58.9 | 44.8 | 57.1 |
| ASR(A) | 53.0 | 59.4 | 58.9 | 55.3 | 64.9 | 59.1 | 59.2 | 44.7 | 57.1 |
| 95\% CI | 52.8-53.2 | 59.2-59.6 | 58.6-59.1 | 55.0-55.7 | 64.5-65.3 | 58.5-59.8 | 58.3-60.1 | 43.5-45.9 | 57.0-57.2 |

Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
3. BreastScreen Australia services are not provided in some remote areas of the Northern Territory. This may affect the Northern Territory's participation rate.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 3: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and region, 2001-2002

| Age group | Numberl rate | Major cities | Inner regional | Outer regional | Remote | Very remote | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 65,739 | 22,939 | 14,351 | 2,422 | 1,120 | 106,571 |
|  | Rate | 13.2 | 14.4 | 18.5 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 14.2 |
| 45-49 | Number | 112,870 | 40,380 | 22,886 | 3,738 | 1,500 | 181,374 |
|  | Rate | 24.6 | 27.8 | 32.9 | 35.8 | 30.4 | 26.4 |
| 50-54 | Number | 228,708 | 75,496 | 37,450 | 5,362 | 1,938 | 348,953 |
|  | Rate | 52.7 | 55.5 | 57.1 | 56.6 | 44.7 | 53.7 |
| 55-59 | Number | 193,629 | 70,867 | 34,177 | 4,706 | 1,576 | 304,955 |
|  | Rate | 57.6 | 62.1 | 62.4 | 63.6 | 50.7 | 59.1 |
| 60-64 | Number | 152,732 | 60,332 | 28,846 | 3,526 | 1,163 | 246,600 |
|  | Rate | 57.8 | 62.8 | 63.1 | 63.0 | 50.9 | 59.6 |
| 65-69 | Number | 124,953 | 50,202 | 23,135 | 2,680 | 749 | 201,719 |
|  | Rate | 55.5 | 60.7 | 61.9 | 62.3 | 46.3 | 57.4 |
| 70-74 | Number | 87,232 | 35,341 | 15,015 | 1,576 | 437 | 139,601 |
|  | Rate | 40.0 | 45.6 | 44.5 | 45.0 | 35.2 | 41.8 |
| 75-79 | Number | 38,866 | 14,621 | 6,197 | 647 | 202 | 60,533 |
|  | Rate | 19.8 | 22.2 | 22.3 | 24.2 | 22.9 | 20.6 |
| 80-84 | Number | 11,035 | 4,150 | 1,754 | 213 | 56 | 17,208 |
|  | Rate | 7.9 | 9.0 | 9.1 | 11.5 | 9.3 | 8.3 |
| 85+ | Number | 2,360 | 913 | 405 | 51 | 19 | 3,748 |
|  | Rate | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.8 | 2.0 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 1,018,122 | 375,242 | 184,217 | 24,922 | 8,759 | 1,611,262 |
|  | Crude rate | 35.2 | 38.9 | 41.0 | 42.2 | 34.6 | 36.7 |
|  | ASR(A) | 36.2 | 39.4 | 41.3 | 42.4 | 34.6 | 37.5 |
|  | 95\% CI | 36.1-36.2 | 39.3-39.5 | 41.1-41.5 | 41.8-42.9 | 33.9-35.4 | 37.4-37.6 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 700,022 | 256,897 | 123,608 | 16,275 | 5,425 | 1,102,227 |
|  | Crude rate | 55.6 | 59.9 | 60.8 | 60.8 | 47.8 | 57.1 |
|  | ASR(A) | 55.6 | 59.7 | 60.7 | 60.9 | 47.9 | 57.1 |
|  | 95\% CI | 55.5-55.7 | 59.5-60.0 | 60.3-61.0 | 59.9-61.8 | 46.6-49.2 | 57.0-57.2 |

Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
3. The Australian Standard Geographical Classification (ASGC) was used to create the above categories (ABS 2001).

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 4: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and socioeconomic status, 2001-2002

| Age group | Numberl rate | 1st quintile | 2nd quintile | 3rd quintile | 4th quintile | 5th quintile | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 21,020 | 19,955 | 23,154 | 22,397 | 20,045 | 106,571 |
|  | Rate | 13.4 | 13.0 | 15.4 | 15.4 | 13.7 | 14.2 |
| 45-49 | Number | 37,540 | 32,860 | 38,709 | 38,019 | 34,246 | 181,374 |
|  | Rate | 25.2 | 23.3 | 28.6 | 28.8 | 26.1 | 26.4 |
| 50-54 | Number | 77,887 | 69,952 | 70,317 | 68,132 | 62,667 | 348,953 |
|  | Rate | 54.0 | 53.0 | 56.1 | 54.9 | 50.7 | 53.7 |
| 55-59 | Number | 65,631 | 58,345 | 61,598 | 61,941 | 57,439 | 304,955 |
|  | Rate | 59.2 | 57.3 | 60.8 | 60.3 | 58.1 | 59.1 |
| 60-64 | Number | 47,840 | 45,582 | 50,433 | 53,025 | 49,721 | 246,600 |
|  | Rate | 58.9 | 58.2 | 60.1 | 60.8 | 59.7 | 59.6 |
| 65-69 | Number | 37,420 | 36,724 | 41,156 | 45,249 | 41,169 | 201,719 |
|  | Rate | 56.9 | 56.6 | 57.1 | 59.3 | 57.1 | 57.4 |
| 70-74 | Number | 27,102 | 25,952 | 29,300 | 30,109 | 27,138 | 139,601 |
|  | Rate | 42.1 | 42.2 | 42.5 | 42.0 | 40.4 | 41.8 |
| 75-79 | Number | 12,967 | 9,993 | 12,872 | 13,341 | 11,360 | 60,533 |
|  | Rate | 21.4 | 18.1 | 21.2 | 22.2 | 20.1 | 20.6 |
| 80-84 | Number | 3,818 | 2,724 | 3,711 | 3,828 | 3,128 | 17,208 |
|  | Rate | 8.4 | 6.9 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 8.3 | 8.3 |
| 85+ | Number | 819 | 537 | 833 | 853 | 707 | 3,748 |
|  | Rate | 1.8 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.0 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 332,044 | 302,624 | 332,082 | 336,893 | 307,619 | 1,611,262 |
|  | Crude rate | 35.9 | 35.0 | 37.8 | 38.5 | 36.3 | 36.7 |
|  | ASR(A) | 37.2 | 36.1 | 38.8 | 38.9 | 36.6 | 37.5 |
|  | 95\% CI | 37.1-37.3 | 35.9-36.2 | 38.6-38.9 | 38.7-39.0 | 36.5-36.8 | 37.4-37.6 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 228,778 | 210,603 | 223,504 | 228,347 | 210,996 | 1,102,227 |
|  | Crude rate | 56.9 | 55.8 | 58.4 | 58.5 | 55.8 | 57.1 |
|  | ASR(A) | 57.0 | 55.9 | 58.4 | 58.4 | 55.7 | 57.1 |
|  | 95\% CI | 56.7-57.2 | 55.6-56.1 | 58.2-58.6 | 58.1-58.6 | 55.5-56.0 | 57.0-57.2 |

## Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
3. The first quintile corresponds to the highest level of socioeconomic status and the fifth to the lowest.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 5: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and Indigenous status, 2001-2002

| Age group | Number/rate | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 1,473 | 102,227 | 106,571 |
|  | Rate | 10.9 | 13.8 | 14.2 |
| 45-49 | Number | 2,097 | 174,009 | 181,374 |
|  | Rate | 20.0 | 25.7 | 26.4 |
| 50-54 | Number | 2,609 | 324,085 | 348,953 |
|  | Rate | 31.7 | 50.6 | 53.7 |
| 55-59 | Number | 2,005 | 285,183 | 304,955 |
|  | Rate | 36.4 | 55.9 | 59.1 |
| 60-64 | Number | 1,542 | 230,901 | 246,600 |
|  | Rate | 36.4 | 56.4 | 59.6 |
| 65-69 | Number | 1,046 | 189,080 | 201,719 |
|  | Rate | 36.3 | 54.3 | 57.4 |
| 70-74 | Number | 551 | 130,844 | 139,601 |
|  | Rate | 27.4 | 39.4 | 41.8 |
| 75+ | Number | 219 | 78,354 | 81,489 |
|  | Rate | 8.7 | 11.4 | 11.9 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 11,542 | 1,514,683 | 1,611,262 |
|  | Crude rate | 23.4 | 34.9 | 36.7 |
|  | ASR(A) | 24.2 | 35.6 | 37.4 |
|  | 95\% CI | 23.7-24.6 | 35.5-35.6 | 37.3-37.5 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 7,202 | 1,029,249 | 1,102,227 |
|  | Crude rate | 34.6 | 53.9 | 57.1 |
|  | ASR(A) | 34.8 | 53.9 | 57.1 |
|  | 95\% CI | 34.0-35.6 | 53.8-54.0 | 57.0-57.2 |

## Notes

1. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.
3. Women in the 'unknown' category are included in the column for all women, but are not included in the other columns.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 6: Participation in BreastScreen Australia by age and main language spoken at home, 2001-2002

| Age group | Number/rate | English-speaking | Non-English-speaking | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Number | 92,787 | 13,451 | 106,571 |
|  | Rate | 14.9 | 10.4 | 14.2 |
| 45-49 | Number | 157,380 | 23,438 | 181,374 |
|  | Rate | 27.5 | 20.4 | 26.4 |
| 50-54 | Number | 302,313 | 45,641 | 348,953 |
|  | Rate | 55.4 | 44.1 | 53.7 |
| 55-59 | Number | 264,460 | 39,711 | 304,955 |
|  | Rate | 60.6 | 50.0 | 59.1 |
| 60-64 | Number | 208,276 | 37,758 | 246,600 |
|  | Rate | 61.5 | 50.1 | 59.6 |
| 65-69 | Number | 170,383 | 30,976 | 201,719 |
|  | Rate | 59.8 | 46.9 | 57.4 |
| 70-74 | Number | 122,684 | 16,683 | 139,601 |
|  | Rate | 43.8 | 31.1 | 41.8 |
| 75-79 | Number | 54,480 | 5,974 | 60,533 |
|  | Rate | 21.9 | 13.4 | 20.6 |
| 80-84 | Number | 16,032 | 1,146 | 17,208 |
|  | Rate | 8.7 | 4.9 | 8.3 |
| 85+ | Number | 3,537 | 208 | 3,748 |
|  | Rate | 2.1 | 1.1 | 2.0 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 1,392,332 | 214,986 | 1,611,262 |
|  | Crude rate | 37.8 | 30.3 | 36.7 |
|  | ASR(A) | 38.9 | 30.1 | 37.5 |
|  | 95\% CI | 38.8-38.9 | 29.9-30.2 | 37.4-37.6 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 945,432 | 154,086 | 1,102,227 |
|  | Crude rate | 58.9 | 47.5 | 57.1 |
|  | ASR(A) | 58.9 | 47.4 | 57.1 |
|  | 95\% CI | 58.8-59.0 | 47.2-47.7 | 57.0-57.2 |

Notes

1. Period covers 1 January 2001 to 31 December 2002.
2. Rates are the number of women screened as a percentage of the eligible female population and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 2: Detection rate for small invasive cancers

Table 7: Numbers of women screened and cases of small-diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, first screening round, by age, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 13,402 | 4,758 | 10,296 | 2,209 | 1,904 | 821 | 53 | 191 | 33,634 |
|  | Cases | 16 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,513 | 5,672 | 6,227 | 2,763 | 2,077 | 605 | 71 | 218 | 28,146 |
|  | Cases | 14 | 7 | 20 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,400 | 12,430 | 6,945 | 5,564 | 4,027 | 638 | 652 | 319 | 43,975 |
|  | Cases | 31 | 24 | 19 | 21 | 12 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 110 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 6,378 | 2,853 | 3,231 | 1,313 | 785 | 299 | 225 | 86 | 15,170 |
|  | Cases | 20 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 49 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,190 | 1,949 | 2,261 | 851 | 380 | 184 | 118 | 44 | 9,977 |
|  | Cases | 17 | 8 | 13 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 53 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,027 | 1,459 | 1,552 | 541 | 229 | 108 | 61 | 26 | 7,003 |
|  | Cases | 17 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,708 | 843 | 801 | 168 | 113 | 38 | 18 | 16 | 3,705 |
|  | Cases | 12 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,088 | 494 | 490 | 113 | 114 | 37 | 25 | 8 | 2,369 |
|  | Cases | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 436 | 191 | 156 | 49 | 64 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 916 |
|  | Cases | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 85+ | Screened | 118 | 49 | 53 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 249 |
|  | Cases | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 54,260 | 30,698 | 32,012 | 13,588 | 9,701 | 2,745 | 1,229 | 911 | 145,144 |
|  | Cases | 137 | 72 | 100 | 39 | 35 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 394 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 26,995 | 18,691 | 13,989 | 8,269 | 5,421 | 1,229 | 1,056 | 475 | 76,125 |
|  | Cases | 85 | 51 | 53 | 33 | 26 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 256 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 8: Age-specific rates of small-diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, first screening round, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 11.9 | 8.4 | 14.6 | 4.5 | 10.5 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.9 |
| 45-49 | 13.3 | 12.3 | 32.1 | 10.9 | 9.6 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.7 |
| 50-54 | 23.1 | 19.3 | 27.4 | 37.7 | 29.8 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
| 55-59 | 31.4 | 35.1 | 37.1 | 15.2 | 38.2 | 0.0 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 32.3 |
| 60-64 | 40.6 | 41.0 | 57.5 | 82.3 | 131.6 | 108.7 | 0.0 | 227.3 | 53.1 |
| 65-69 | 56.2 | 61.7 | 58.0 | 55.5 | 262.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 62.8 |
| 70-74 | 70.3 | 71.2 | 112.4 | 59.5 | 88.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 78.3 |
| 75-79 | 55.1 | 40.5 | 20.4 | 88.5 | 175.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.7 |
| 80-84 | 45.9 | 104.7 | 64.1 | 0.0 | 312.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 76.4 |
| 85+ | 169.5 | 0.0 | 188.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | . | $\ldots$ | 120.5 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 25.2 | 23.5 | 31.2 | 28.7 | 36.1 | 18.2 | 40.7 | 11.0 | 27.1 |
| ASR(A) | 34.7 | 34.7 | 44.6 | 39.6 | 84.1 | 20.1 | 25.4 | 33.6 | 39.1 |
| 95\% CI | 28.4-41.8 | 25.7-45.4 | 34.6-56.2 | 23.7-59.8 | 50.0-128.0 | 2.5-54.8 | 6.8-62.1 | 0.8-187.1 | 34.6-43.9 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 31.5 | 27.3 | 37.9 | 39.9 | 48.0 | 16.3 | 47.3 | 21.1 | 33.6 |
| ASR(A) | 35.5 | 36.4 | 42.5 | 45.2 | 99.7 | 24.0 | 38.0 | 50.3 | 40.5 |
| 95\% CI | 27.9-44.5 | 25.5-50.0 | 31.2-56.4 | 27.2-68.6 | 54.8-159.8 | 2.9-86.8 | 10.2-93.0 | 1.3-280.0 | 35.1-46.4 |

.. Not applicable-no women in this age group screened in 2002.
Note: Rates are the number of women with small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 9: Numbers of women screened and cases of small-diameter ( $\leq \mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{~ m m}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 8,975 | 970 | 7,532 | 1,334 | 1,259 | 630 | 266 | 130 | 21,096 |
|  | Cases | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 27,505 | 4,793 | 19,961 | 5,172 | 4,504 | 2,325 | 1,268 | 493 | 66,021 |
|  | Cases | 24 | 11 | 38 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 93 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 41,214 | 32,554 | 29,251 | 12,047 | 13,385 | 4,052 | 2,808 | 922 | 136,233 |
|  | Cases | 85 | 46 | 54 | 22 | 27 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 247 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 44,772 | 36,210 | 29,285 | 13,539 | 13,884 | 4,364 | 2,682 | 804 | 145,540 |
|  | Cases | 113 | 102 | 88 | 37 | 38 | 16 | 11 | 0 | 405 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 37,151 | 30,222 | 22,610 | 11,100 | 11,025 | 3,368 | 1,797 | 431 | 117,704 |
|  | Cases | 126 | 91 | 67 | 33 | 40 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 374 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 31,253 | 25,425 | 17,812 | 9,130 | 9,495 | 2,807 | 1,313 | 236 | 97,471 |
|  | Cases | 120 | 78 | 65 | 42 | 56 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 382 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 25,851 | 20,856 | 14,114 | 2,538 | 3,350 | 1,525 | 279 | 143 | 68,656 |
|  | Cases | 90 | 73 | 69 | 7 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 261 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 16,370 | 5,234 | 3,607 | 975 | 1,611 | 295 | 117 | 56 | 28,265 |
|  | Cases | 65 | 28 | 22 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 5,506 | 652 | 800 | 234 | 319 | 72 | 30 | 30 | 7,643 |
|  | Cases | 28 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 45 |
| 85+ | Screened | 1,170 | 100 | 180 | 36 | 38 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 1,539 |
|  | Cases | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 239,767 | 157,016 | 145,152 | 56,105 | 58,870 | 19,444 | 10,564 | 3,250 | 690,168 |
|  | Cases | 663 | 433 | 417 | 156 | 195 | 64 | 31 | 4 | 1,963 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 154,390 | 124,411 | 98,958 | 45,816 | 47,789 | 14,591 | 8,600 | 2,393 | 496,948 |
|  | Cases | 444 | 317 | 274 | 134 | 161 | 51 | 24 | 3 | 1,408 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 10: Age-specific rates of small-diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 7.6 |
| 45-49 | 8.7 | 23.0 | 19.0 | 9.7 | 15.5 | 12.9 | 39.4 | 0.0 | 14.1 |
| 50-54 | 20.6 | 14.1 | 18.5 | 18.3 | 20.2 | 17.3 | 14.2 | 21.7 | 18.1 |
| 55-59 | 25.2 | 28.2 | 30.0 | 27.3 | 27.4 | 36.7 | 41.0 | 0.0 | 27.8 |
| 60-64 | 33.9 | 30.1 | 29.6 | 29.7 | 36.3 | 38.6 | 16.7 | 23.2 | 31.8 |
| 65-69 | 38.4 | 30.7 | 36.5 | 46.0 | 59.0 | 53.4 | 45.7 | 0.0 | 39.2 |
| 70-74 | 34.8 | 35.0 | 48.9 | 27.6 | 38.8 | 45.9 | 35.8 | 69.9 | 38.0 |
| 75-79 | 39.7 | 53.5 | 61.0 | 61.5 | 62.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.3 |
| 80-84 | 50.9 | 61.3 | 100.0 | 85.5 | 62.7 | 138.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 58.9 |
| 85+ | 42.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 555.6 | 263.2 | 0.0 | 2,500.0 | 0.0 | 58.5 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 27.7 | 27.6 | 28.7 | 27.8 | 33.1 | 32.9 | 29.3 | 12.3 | 28.4 |
| ASR(A) | 25.2 | 24.4 | 28.2 | 26.3 | 30.9 | 32.0 | 31.3 | 14.0 | 26.2 |
| 95\% CI | 23.3-27.3 | 21.7-27.2 | 25.5-31.1 | 22.0-31.1 | 26.5-35.9 | 24.2-41.3 | 18.6-48.0 | 3.0-37.5 | 25.0-27.4 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 28.8 | 25.5 | 27.7 | 29.2 | 33.7 | 35.0 | 27.9 | 12.5 | 28.3 |
| ASR(A) | 28.2 | 24.5 | 27.4 | 28.5 | 33.2 | 34.1 | 27.8 | 12.2 | 27.8 |
| 95\% CI | 25.6-31.0 | 21.9-27.4 | 24.3-30.9 | 23.9-33.8 | 28.2-38.7 | 25.3-44.8 | 17.7-41.6 | 2.3-36.0 | 26.3-29.3 |

Note: Rates are the number of women with small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 11: Numbers of women screened and cases of small-diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in these women, all screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 22,377 | 5,728 | 17,828 | 3,543 | 3,163 | 1,451 | 319 | 321 | 54,730 |
|  | Cases | 23 | 4 | 21 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 56 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 38,018 | 10,465 | 26,188 | 7,935 | 6,581 | 2,930 | 1,339 | 711 | 94,167 |
|  | Cases | 38 | 18 | 58 | 8 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 140 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 54,614 | 44,984 | 36,196 | 17,611 | 17,412 | 4,690 | 3,460 | 1,241 | 180,208 |
|  | Cases | 116 | 70 | 73 | 43 | 39 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 357 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 51,150 | 39,063 | 32,516 | 14,852 | 14,669 | 4,663 | 2,907 | 890 | 160,710 |
|  | Cases | 133 | 112 | 100 | 39 | 41 | 16 | 13 | 0 | 454 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 41,341 | 32,171 | 24,871 | 11,951 | 11,405 | 3,552 | 1,915 | 475 | 127,681 |
|  | Cases | 143 | 99 | 80 | 40 | 45 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 427 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 34,280 | 26,884 | 19,364 | 9,671 | 9,724 | 2,915 | 1,374 | 262 | 104,474 |
|  | Cases | 137 | 87 | 74 | 45 | 62 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 426 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 27,559 | 21,699 | 14,915 | 2,706 | 3,463 | 1,563 | 297 | 159 | 72,361 |
|  | Cases | 102 | 79 | 78 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 290 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 17,458 | 5,728 | 4,097 | 1,088 | 1,725 | 332 | 142 | 64 | 30,634 |
|  | Cases | 71 | 30 | 23 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 5,942 | 843 | 956 | 283 | 383 | 83 | 36 | 33 | 8,559 |
|  | Cases | 30 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| 85+ | Screened | 1,288 | 149 | 233 | 53 | 46 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 1,788 |
|  | Cases | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 294,027 | 187,714 | 177,164 | 69,693 | 68,571 | 22,189 | 11,793 | 4,161 | 835,312 |
|  | Cases | 800 | 505 | 517 | 195 | 230 | 69 | 36 | 5 | 2,357 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 181,385 | 143,102 | 112,947 | 54,085 | 53,210 | 15,820 | 9,656 | 2,868 | 573,073 |
|  | Cases | 529 | 368 | 327 | 167 | 187 | 53 | 29 | 4 | 1,664 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 12: Age-specific rates of small-diameter ( $\leq 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) invasive cancers detected in women screened, all screening rounds, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 40-44 | 10.3 | 7.0 | 11.8 | 2.8 | 9.5 | 27.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.2 |
| 45-49 | 10.0 | 17.2 | 22.1 | 10.1 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 37.3 | 0.0 | 14.9 |
| 50-54 | 21.2 | 15.6 | 20.2 | 24.4 | 22.4 | 14.9 | 20.2 | 16.1 | 19.8 |
| 55-59 | 26.0 | 28.7 | 30.8 | 26.3 | 28.0 | 34.3 | 44.7 | 0.0 | 28.2 |
| 60-64 | 34.6 | 30.8 | 32.2 | 33.5 | 39.5 | 42.2 | 15.7 | 42.1 | 33.4 |
| 65-69 | 40.0 | 32.4 | 38.2 | 46.5 | 63.8 | 51.5 | 43.7 | 0.0 | 40.8 |
| 70-74 | 37.0 | 36.4 | 52.3 | 29.6 | 40.4 | 44.8 | 33.7 | 62.9 | 40.1 |
| 75-79 | 40.7 | 52.4 | 56.1 | 64.3 | 69.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.7 |
| 80-84 | 50.5 | 71.2 | 94.1 | 70.7 | 104.4 | 120.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.8 |
| 85+ | 54.3 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 377.4 | 217.4 | 0.0 | $2,500.0$ | 0.0 | 67.1 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.5 | 12.0 |
| Crude rate | 27.2 | 26.9 | 29.2 | 28.0 | 33.5 | 31.1 | 30.5 | 28.2 |  |
| ASR(A) | 26.4 | 25.1 | 30.2 | 28.1 | 33.1 | 30.8 | 32.4 | 15.0 | 27.6 |
| 95\% CI | $24.5-28.3$ | $22.8-27.5$ | $27.6-32.9$ | $24.0-32.6$ | $28.8-37.9$ | $23.8-39.2$ | $19.8-48.6$ | $4.0-36.7$ | $26.5-28.7$ |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30.0 |  |
| Crude rate | 29.2 | 25.7 | 29.0 | 30.9 | 35.1 | 33.5 | 30.0 | 13.9 | 29.0 |
| ASR(A) | 29.1 | 25.6 | 29.1 | 31.2 | 35.7 | 33.1 | 30.1 | 14.6 | 29.1 |
| 95\% CI | $26.6-31.7$ | $23.0-28.3$ | $26.0-32.4$ | $26.6-36.3$ | $30.7-41.2$ | $24.8-43.3$ | $20.0-43.5$ | $3.6-38.1$ | $27.7-30.5$ |

Note: Rates are the number of women with small invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 13: Numbers of women screened and cases of invasive cancer detected in these women, first screening round, by age, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 13,402 | 4,758 | 10,296 | 2,209 | 1,904 | 821 | 53 | 191 | 33,634 |
|  | Cases | 27 | 12 | 24 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,513 | 5,672 | 6,227 | 2,763 | 2,077 | 605 | 71 | 218 | 28,146 |
|  | Cases | 32 | 18 | 32 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 97 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,400 | 12,430 | 6,945 | 5,564 | 4,027 | 638 | 652 | 319 | 43,975 |
|  | Cases | 56 | 53 | 27 | 28 | 24 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 193 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 6,378 | 2,853 | 3,231 | 1,313 | 785 | 299 | 225 | 86 | 15,170 |
|  | Cases | 44 | 16 | 20 | 6 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 100 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,190 | 1,949 | 2,261 | 851 | 380 | 184 | 118 | 44 | 9,977 |
|  | Cases | 32 | 17 | 23 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 98 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,027 | 1,459 | 1,552 | 541 | 229 | 108 | 61 | 26 | 7,003 |
|  | Cases | 25 | 18 | 16 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,708 | 843 | 801 | 168 | 113 | 38 | 18 | 16 | 3,705 |
|  | Cases | 14 | 13 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 45 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,088 | 494 | 490 | 113 | 114 | 37 | 25 | 8 | 2,369 |
|  | Cases | 18 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 436 | 191 | 156 | 49 | 64 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 916 |
|  | Cases | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 85+ | Screened | 118 | 49 | 53 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 249 |
|  | Cases | 5 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 54,260 | 30,698 | 32,012 | 13,588 | 9,701 | 2,745 | 1,229 | 911 | 145,144 |
|  | Cases | 255 | 156 | 166 | 69 | 61 | 12 | 6 | 4 | 729 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 26,995 | 18,691 | 13,989 | 8,269 | 5,421 | 1,229 | 1,056 | 475 | 76,125 |
|  | Cases | 157 | 104 | 86 | 53 | 45 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 460 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 14: Age-specific rates of invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened, first screening round, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 20.1 | 25.2 | 23.3 | 9.1 | 26.3 | 48.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.0 |
| 45-49 | 30.4 | 31.7 | 51.4 | 29.0 | 24.1 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 45.9 | 34.5 |
| 50-54 | 41.8 | 42.6 | 38.9 | 50.3 | 59.6 | 15.7 | 46.0 | 31.3 | 43.9 |
| 55-59 | 69.0 | 56.1 | 61.9 | 45.7 | 101.9 | 100.3 | 133.3 | 0.0 | 65.9 |
| 60-64 | 76.4 | 87.2 | 101.7 | 176.3 | 184.2 | 163.0 | 0.0 | 227.3 | 98.2 |
| 65-69 | 82.6 | 123.4 | 103.1 | 73.9 | 262.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98.5 |
| 70-74 | 82.0 | 154.2 | 162.3 | 119.0 | 177.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 625.0 | 121.5 |
| 75-79 | 165.4 | 81.0 | 142.9 | 354.0 | 175.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 147.7 |
| 80-84 | 45.9 | 209.4 | 128.2 | 0.0 | 312.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 109.2 |
| 85+ | 423.7 | 204.1 | 377.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 321.3 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 47.0 | 50.8 | 51.9 | 50.8 | 62.9 | 43.7 | 48.8 | 43.9 | 50.2 |
| ASR(A) | 61.6 | 71.5 | 75.7 | 79.7 | 119.9 | 50.9 | 33.1 | 98.4 | 70.6 |
| 95\% CI | 53.4-70.7 | 58.4-86.2 | 62.6-90.5 | 56.1-107.9 | 79.8-168.3 | 21.2-96.7 | 10.6-74.9 | 7.2-294.2 | 64.7-76.9 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 58.2 | 55.6 | 61.5 | 64.1 | 83.0 | 57.0 | 56.8 | 42.1 | 60.4 |
| ASR(A) | 64.4 | 71.7 | 71.2 | 81.6 | 137.5 | 67.1 | 49.5 | 60.4 | 72.2 |
| 95\% CI | 54.1-76.0 | 56.0-89.8 | 56.2-88.9 | 56.7-112.1 | 87.0-200.6 | 25.8-140.5 | 15.8-112.1 | 0.0-249.9 | 65.0-80.0 |

. . Not applicable-no women in this age group screened in 2002.
Note: Rates are the number of women with invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 15: Numbers of women screened and cases of invasive cancer detected in these women, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 8,975 | 970 | 7,532 | 1,334 | 1,259 | 630 | 266 | 130 | 21,096 |
|  | Cases | 11 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 33 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 27,505 | 4,793 | 19,961 | 5,172 | 4,504 | 2,325 | 1,268 | 493 | 66,021 |
|  | Cases | 41 | 20 | 58 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 148 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 41,214 | 32,554 | 29,251 | 12,047 | 13,385 | 4,052 | 2,808 | 922 | 136,233 |
|  | Cases | 128 | 73 | 95 | 39 | 45 | 12 | 5 | 2 | 399 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 44,772 | 36,210 | 29,285 | 13,539 | 13,884 | 4,364 | 2,682 | 804 | 145,540 |
|  | Cases | 181 | 154 | 146 | 58 | 58 | 19 | 15 | 0 | 631 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 37,151 | 30,222 | 22,610 | 11,100 | 11,025 | 3,368 | 1,797 | 431 | 117,704 |
|  | Cases | 193 | 129 | 101 | 49 | 59 | 19 | 6 | 1 | 557 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 31,253 | 25,425 | 17,812 | 9,130 | 9,495 | 2,807 | 1,313 | 236 | 97,471 |
|  | Cases | 177 | 120 | 106 | 60 | 80 | 21 | 8 | 1 | 573 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 25,851 | 20,856 | 14,114 | 2,538 | 3,350 | 1,525 | 279 | 143 | 68,656 |
|  | Cases | 139 | 112 | 89 | 15 | 17 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 384 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 16,370 | 5,234 | 3,607 | 975 | 1,611 | 295 | 117 | 56 | 28,265 |
|  | Cases | 96 | 39 | 28 | 11 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 189 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 5,506 | 652 | 800 | 234 | 319 | 72 | 30 | 30 | 7,643 |
|  | Cases | 37 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 59 |
| 85+ | Screened | 1,170 | 100 | 180 | 36 | 38 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 1,539 |
|  | Cases | 7 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 239,767 | 157,016 | 145,152 | 56,105 | 58,870 | 19,444 | 10,564 | 3,250 | 690,168 |
|  | Cases | 1,010 | 652 | 645 | 246 | 290 | 90 | 45 | 7 | 2,985 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 154,390 | 124,411 | 98,958 | 45,816 | 47,789 | 14,591 | 8,600 | 2,393 | 496,948 |
|  | Cases | 679 | 476 | 448 | 206 | 242 | 71 | 34 | 4 | 2,160 |

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 16: Age-specific rates of invasive breast cancers per 10,000 women screened, subsequent screening rounds, by age, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | 12.3 | 0.0 | 15.9 | 7.5 | 31.8 | 63.5 | 37.6 | 0.0 | 15.6 |
| 45-49 | 14.9 | 41.7 | 29.1 | 17.4 | 20.0 | 17.2 | 47.3 | 20.3 | 22.4 |
| 50-54 | 31.1 | 22.4 | 32.5 | 32.4 | 33.6 | 29.6 | 17.8 | 21.7 | 29.3 |
| 55-59 | 40.4 | 42.5 | 49.9 | 42.8 | 41.8 | 43.5 | 55.9 | 0.0 | 43.4 |
| 60-64 | 52.0 | 42.7 | 44.7 | 44.1 | 53.5 | 56.4 | 33.4 | 23.2 | 47.3 |
| 65-69 | 56.6 | 47.2 | 59.5 | 65.7 | 84.3 | 74.8 | 60.9 | 42.4 | 58.8 |
| 70-74 | 53.8 | 53.7 | 63.1 | 59.1 | 50.7 | 59.0 | 71.7 | 69.9 | 55.9 |
| 75-79 | 58.6 | 74.5 | 77.6 | 112.8 | 80.7 | 0.0 | 85.5 | 178.6 | 66.9 |
| 80-84 | 67.2 | 61.3 | 125.0 | 85.5 | 125.4 | 277.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 77.2 |
| 85+ | 59.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 555.6 | 263.2 | 0.0 | 2,500.0 | 0.0 | 78.0 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 42.1 | 41.5 | 44.4 | 43.8 | 49.3 | 46.3 | 42.6 | 21.5 | 43.3 |
| ASR(A) | 38.6 | 37.5 | 43.7 | 42.5 | 46.8 | 46.6 | 48.7 | 27.5 | 40.1 |
| 95\% CI | 36.2-41.2 | 34.1-41.1 | 40.4-47.3 | 36.9-48.7 | 41.0-53.0 | 36.8-58.1 | 32.2-69.4 | 9.9-58.7 | 38.7-41.6 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 44.0 | 38.3 | 45.3 | 45.0 | 50.6 | 48.7 | 39.5 | 16.7 | 43.5 |
| ASR(A) | 43.1 | 36.9 | 44.9 | 44.2 | 50.0 | 47.9 | 39.5 | 20.4 | 42.7 |
| 95\% CI | 39.9-46.5 | 33.7-40.4 | 40.9-49.3 | 38.3-50.7 | 43.9-56.7 | 37.4-60.5 | 27.2-55.5 | 4.5-54.5 | 40.9-44.5 |

Note: Rates are the number of women with invasive cancers detected per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 3a: Interval cancer rate

Table 17: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1998, 1999 and 2000, first screening round, $\mathbf{0 - 1 2}$ months, states and territories

| Age group | Numberl rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | 49 | 23 | 25 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 129 |
|  | Rate | 6.0 | 7.0 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 9.2 | 5.8 | 8.4 | 11.7 | 6.2 |
| 50-59 | Number | 45 | 42 | 36 | 6 | 19 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 154 |
|  | Rate | 7.3 | 8.1 | 7.3 | 4.8 | 10.3 | 7.0 | 3.5 | 9.5 | 7.6 |
| 60-69 | Number | 16 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 48 |
|  | Rate | 5.9 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 18.5 | 13.9 | 0.0 | 6.4 |
| 70+ | Number | 19 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
|  | Rate | 13.2 | 9.7 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 129 | 77 | 82 | 20 | 36 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 362 |
|  | Crude rate | 7.0 | 7.5 | 6.0 | 5.6 | 8.9 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 9.0 | 6.9 |
|  | ASR(A) | 7.4 | 7.2 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 7.8 | 9.1 | 7.0 | 6.2 | 7.1 |
|  | 95\% CI | 6.0-8.9 | 5.4-9.2 | 4.9-7.9 | 3.0-9.4 | 5.1-11.2 | 3.5-18.3 | 0.6-19.5 | 1.6-16.0 | 6.3-7.9 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 61 | 48 | 52 | 9 | 22 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 202 |
|  | Crude rate | 6.9 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 5.3 | 9.6 | 10.2 | 5.6 | 7.7 | 7.3 |
|  | ASR(A) | 6.7 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 8.8 | 11.8 | 7.8 | 5.6 | 7.1 |
|  | 95\% CI | 5.1-8.7 | 4.8-9.3 | 5.2-9.2 | 2.4-11.0 | 5.1-13.9 | 4.0-26.3 | 0.2-30.4 | 0.7-20.1 | 6.1-8.2 |

Note: Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 18: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1998, 1999 and 2000, first screening round, 13-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | Numberl rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 36 | 62 | 10 | 21 | 3 | 2 | 0 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 11.0 | 12.4 | 6.0 | 14.6 | 6.2 | 5.6 | 0.0 | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 72 | 71 | 12 | 27 | 6 | 6 | 3 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 13.8 | 15.0 | 9.8 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 21.2 | 14.6 | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 22 | 41 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 0 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 18.3 | 16.8 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 26.5 | 14.1 | 0.0 | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 9 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 14.5 | 9.5 | 10.5 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 32.9 | 0.0 | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 139 | 183 | 29 | 53 | 13 | 10 | 3 | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 13.5 | 14.0 | 8.2 | 13.6 | 11.6 | 13.6 | 7.2 | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 14.6 | 14.3 | 9.6 | 12.0 | 14.4 | 17.5 | 5.7 | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 11.8-17.6 | 12.2-16.7 | 5.9-14.5 | 8.5-16.3 | 6.9-25.8 | 6.5-35.1 | 1.2-16.6 | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | n.a. | 94 | 112 | 17 | 31 | 10 | 7 | 3 | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 14.7 | 15.6 | 10.3 | 13.8 | 18.0 | 19.8 | 11.8 | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 15.7 | 15.8 | 10.5 | 12.5 | 19.7 | 18.3 | 8.5 | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 12.2-19.8 | 12.9-19.0 | 5.9-17.3 | 8.0-18.5 | 8.9-37.0 | 6.0-40.0 | 1.8-24.9 | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 19: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1998, 1999 and 2000, first screening round, $0-24$ months, states and territories

| Age group | Numberl rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 59 | 87 | 20 | 35 | 6 | 5 | 2 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 9.0 | 8.5 | 5.9 | 11.8 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 6.3 | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 114 | 107 | 18 | 46 | 9 | 7 | 5 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.9 | 11.1 | 7.3 | 12.6 | 10.8 | 12.3 | 12.0 | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 28 | 57 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 11.6 | 11.6 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 22.3 | 14.0 | 0.0 | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 15 | 14 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 12.1 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 16.4 | 0.0 | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 216 | 265 | 49 | 89 | 22 | 15 | 7 | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 10.5 | 9.9 | 6.9 | 11.2 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 8.1 | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 10.9 | 10.2 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 11.7 | 12.2 | 6.0 | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 9.2-12.7 | 9.0-11.6 | 5.3-10.5 | 7.6-12.5 | 6.8-18.4 | 5.5-22.1 | 2.4-12.4 | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | n.a. | 142 | 164 | 26 | 53 | 16 | 9 | 5 | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 11.1 | 11.3 | 7.8 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 12.6 | 9.8 | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 11.2 | 11.3 | 8.1 | 10.6 | 15.6 | 13.0 | 7.0 | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 9.2-13.5 | 9.6-13.2 | 5.1-12.1 | 7.6-14.3 | 8.6-25.8 | 5.0-26.3 | 2.3-16.4 | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 20: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1998, 1999 and 2000, subsequent screening rounds, 0-12 months, states and territories

| Age group | Numberl rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | 76 | 13 | 55 | 21 | 18 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 191 |
|  | Rate | 7.6 | 7.0 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 11.3 | 4.1 | 8.3 | 6.3 | 8.1 |
| 50-59 | Number | 178 | 138 | 100 | 48 | 61 | 19 | 24 | 1 | 569 |
|  | Rate | 7.8 | 7.8 | 8.4 | 6.9 | 9.4 | 8.9 | 18.4 | 2.8 | 8.2 |
| 60-69 | Number | 151 | 107 | 63 | 33 | 33 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 412 |
|  | Rate | 8.0 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 17.8 | 7.2 | 7.4 |
| 70+ | Number | 68 | 37 | 43 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 164 |
|  | Rate | 5.8 | 5.4 | 8.9 | 6.1 | 4.9 | 12.0 | 18.3 | 0.0 | 6.3 |
| Ages 40+ | Number | 473 | 295 | 261 | 107 | 118 | 37 | 42 | 3 | 1,336 |
|  | Crude rate | 7.4 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 8.0 | 7.4 | 16.7 | 4.2 | 7.7 |
|  | ASR(A) | 7.5 | 7.2 | 8.2 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 7.7 | 16.1 | 4.4 | 7.7 |
|  | 95\% CI | 6.9-8.3 | 6.2-8.3 | 7.2-9.3 | 5.9-9.0 | 6.7-10.0 | 5.2-10.8 | 11.1-22.4 | 0.8-13.1 | 7.3-8.2 |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | 329 | 245 | 163 | 81 | 94 | 30 | 37 | 2 | 981 |
|  | Crude rate | 7.9 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 7.8 | 8.0 | 18.2 | 4.0 | 7.8 |
|  | ASR(A) | 7.8 | 7.6 | 8.0 | 6.6 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 18.1 | 4.6 | 7.9 |
|  | 95\% CI | 7.0-8.7 | 6.7-8.6 | 6.8-9.4 | 5.3-8.3 | 6.4-9.8 | 5.4-11.4 | 12.7-25.0 | 0.4-17.1 | 7.4-8.4 |

[^4]Table 21: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1998, 1999 and 2000, subsequent screening rounds, 13-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | Numberl rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 24 | 79 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 5 | 0 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 12.9 | 13.0 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 15.9 | 9.8 | 0.0 | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 216 | 180 | 69 | 79 | 32 | 19 | 5 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 12.3 | 16.0 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 16.1 | 14.7 | 13.9 | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 203 | 131 | 56 | 70 | 10 | 5 | 2 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 13.8 | 15.9 | 12.7 | 13.3 | 6.6 | 6.9 | 14.7 | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 67 | 63 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 2 | 3 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 9.8 | 13.3 | 12.1 | 10.4 | 13.2 | 18.3 | 64.5 | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 510 | 453 | 148 | 175 | 59 | 31 | 10 | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 12.4 | 14.9 | 11.7 | 12.5 | 12.8 | 11.8 | 14.5 | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 12.5 | 15.0 | 11.5 | 12.1 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 17.5 | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 11.2-14.0 | 13.6-16.4 | 9.6-13.7 | 10.2-14.1 | 9.7-17.1 | 7.6-17.6 | 7.7-33.4 | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | n.a. | 419 | 311 | 125 | 149 | 42 | 24 | 7 | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 13.0 | 15.9 | 12.1 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 11.9 | 14.1 | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 12.9 | 15.9 | 12.0 | 13.0 | 12.1 | 11.4 | 14.2 | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 11.7-14.2 | 14.2-17.8 | 10.0-14.4 | 11.0-15.2 | 8.7-16.4 | 7.3-17.1 | 5.3-30.1 | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 22: Numbers and age-specific rates of interval cancers in women screened during 1998, 1999 and 2000, subsequent screening rounds, 0-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | Numberl rate | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Number | n.a. | 37 | 134 | 36 | 32 | 18 | 8 | 1 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 9.9 | 10.6 | 10.0 | 10.7 | 9.7 | 9.2 | 3.3 | n.a. |
| 50-59 | Number | n.a. | 354 | 280 | 117 | 140 | 51 | 43 | 6 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.1 | 12.1 | 9.1 | 11.0 | 12.4 | 16.5 | 8.3 | n.a. |
| 60-69 | Number | n.a. | 310 | 194 | 89 | 103 | 21 | 18 | 3 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 10.5 | 11.7 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 6.7 | 12.4 | 10.9 | n.a. |
| 70+ | Number | n.a. | 104 | 106 | 13 | 18 | 6 | 4 | 3 | n.a. |
|  | Rate | n.a. | 7.6 | 11.1 | 8.8 | 7.5 | 12.6 | 18.3 | 32.1 | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ | Number | n.a. | 805 | 714 | 255 | 293 | 96 | 73 | 13 | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 9.8 | 11.5 | 9.2 | 10.2 | 10.0 | 14.2 | 9.3 | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 9.8 | 11.5 | 9.3 | 10.1 | 10.3 | 14.1 | 10.9 | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 9.0-10.7 | 10.7-12.4 | 8.1-10.6 | 8.9-11.4 | 8.2-12.7 | 10.7-18.1 | 5.5-19.3 | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 | Number | n.a. | 664 | 474 | 206 | 243 | 72 | 61 | 9 | n.a. |
|  | Crude rate | n.a. | 10.3 | 11.9 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 9.9 | 15.0 | 9.0 | n.a. |
|  | ASR(A) | n.a. | 10.2 | 11.9 | 9.1 | 10.4 | 10.0 | 14.8 | 9.4 | n.a. |
|  | 95\% CI | n.a. | 9.5-11.1 | 10.9-13.0 | 7.9-10.5 | 9.1-11.8 | 7.8-12.6 | 11.3-19.0 | 4.0-18.2 | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 3b: Program sensitivity

Table 23: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1998, 1999 and 2000, first screening round, 0-12 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | 75.7 | 79.3 | 85.7 | 81.1 | 70.2 | 75.0 | 70.0 | 75.0 | 79.1 |
| 50-59 | 87.9 | 85.7 | 86.8 | 90.6 | 84.2 | 88.0 | 94.7 | 83.3 | 86.9 |
| 60-69 | 92.1 | 95.2 | 92.7 | 92.7 | 95.2 | 80.0 | 90.0 | 100.0 | 92.9 |
| 70+ | 90.2 | 95.0 | 96.8 | 95.8 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 94.3 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 86.7 | 88.2 | 90.0 | 89.0 | 86.2 | 85.7 | 88.1 | 84.0 | 88.0 |
| ASR(A) | 86.8 | 88.2 | 89.4 | 89.9 | 86.3 | 84.6 | 88.9 | 88.3 | 87.9 |
| 95\% CI | 80.9-93.1 | 80.8-96.0 | 83.0-96.2 | 76.3-100.0 | 75.3-98.5 | 63.3-100.0 | 61.8-100.0 | 50.0-100.0 | 84.5-91.4 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 89.4 | 88.5 | 89.4 | 91.4 | 87.9 | 85.0 | 93.1 | 86.7 | 89.1 |
| ASR(A) | 89.6 | 89.7 | 89.2 | 91.5 | 88.7 | 84.7 | 92.8 | 90.3 | 89.4 |
| 95\% CI | 83.7-96.0 | 82.0-97.9 | 83.3-95.5 | 77.9-100.0 | 77.2-100.0 | 64.7-100.0 | 63.6-100.0 | 44.1-100.0 | 86.1-92.9 |

Notes: Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 24: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during years 1998, 1999 and 2000, first screening round, 0-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | n.a. | 59.9 | 63.3 | 81.1 | 48.5 | 60.0 | 77.8 | 77.8 | n.a. |
| 50-59 | n.a. | 68.9 | 68.8 | 82.9 | 68.7 | 73.3 | 75.0 | 66.7 | n.a. |
| 60-69 | n.a. | 81.0 | 78.1 | 88.4 | 89.4 | 63.2 | 90.0 | 100.0 | n.a. |
| 70+ | n.a. | 88.5 | 91.6 | 92.0 | 97.0 | 100.0 | 75.0 | 100.0 | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 72.7 | 73.7 | 84.8 | 71.7 | 72.0 | 78.7 | 75.9 | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | n.a. | 72.8 | 73.1 | 85.2 | 73.8 | 71.1 | 79.7 | 82.4 | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. | 66.7-79.2 | 67.9-78.6 | 72.4-99.6 | 64.3-84.3 | 53.3-92.8 | 55.4-100.0 | 45.7-100.0 | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 72.3 | 72.8 | 85.0 | 75.1 | 69.4 | 79.4 | 72.2 | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | n.a. | 73.9 | 72.7 | 85.1 | 77.3 | 69.1 | 81.2 | 80.5 | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. | 67.3-81.0 | 67.6-78.1 | 72.2-100.0 | 66.4-89.6 | 52.2-91.0 | 54.9-100.0 | 34.4-100.0 | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 25: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1998, 1999 and 2000, subsequent screening rounds, 0-12 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | 67.9 | 78.0 | 71.6 | 63.2 | 70.5 | 81.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 70.4 |
| 50-59 | 80.4 | 80.8 | 80.2 | 83.8 | 80.4 | 79.3 | 72.7 | 93.3 | 80.6 |
| 60-69 | 84.7 | 87.8 | 87.4 | 89.8 | 89.4 | 86.3 | 72.3 | 85.7 | 86.8 |
| 70+ | 90.5 | 91.9 | 88.1 | 92.5 | 92.8 | 87.0 | 81.8 | 100.0 | 90.5 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 83.4 | 86.0 | 83.3 | 85.6 | 84.6 | 82.9 | 73.4 | 90.3 | 84.2 |
| ASR(A) | 80.3 | 83.5 | 81.4 | 82.3 | 82.4 | 82.5 | 74.2 | 88.2 | 81.4 |
| 95\% CI | 76.7-84.0 | 77.9-89.4 | 76.8-86.2 | 75.2-89.7 | 75.5-89.7 | 70.0-96.5 | 59.4-91.3 | 57.4-100.0 | 79.3-83.6 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 82.6 | 84.6 | 83.8 | 86.9 | 84.9 | 82.6 | 72.6 | 90.9 | 83.8 |
| ASR(A) | 82.2 | 83.7 | 83.2 | 86.3 | 84.1 | 82.2 | 72.6 | 90.2 | 83.2 |
| 95\% Cl | 79.5-85.0 | 80.7-86.8 | 79.4-87.2 | 80.3-92.6 | 78.4-90.2 | 71.6-94.2 | 59.1-88.2 | 61.0-100.0 | 81.6-84.8 |

Notes: Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 26: Program sensitivity rates for women screened during 1998, 1999 and 2000, subsequent screening rounds, 0-24 months, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-49 | n.a. | 55.4 | 50.9 | 70.6 | 57.3 | 50.0 | 64.3 | 75.0 | n.a. |
| 50-59 | n.a. | 62.1 | 59.2 | 78.2 | 64.1 | 61.3 | 77.1 | 93.3 | n.a. |
| 60-69 | n.a. | 71.3 | 69.2 | 83.8 | 73.0 | 80.2 | 87.2 | 85.7 | n.a. |
| 70+ | n.a. | 80.2 | 75.0 | 88.6 | 81.1 | 76.9 | 81.8 | 100.0 | n.a. |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 69.3 | 64.5 | 81.1 | 68.9 | 67.5 | 78.9 | 90.3 | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | n.a. | 65.5 | 62.2 | 79.5 | 67.3 | 66.2 | 77.8 | 88.2 | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. | 61.3-69.8 | 58.7-65.8 | 72.4-87.0 | 61.6-73.2 | 56.6-76.9 | 63.0-94.8 | 57.4-100.0 | n.a. |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | n.a. | 67.0 | 64.0 | 81.1 | 68.5 | 69.3 | 80.3 | 90.9 | n.a. |
| ASR(A) | n.a. | 65.9 | 63.4 | 80.5 | 67.8 | 69.2 | 81.3 | 90.2 | n.a. |
| 95\% CI | n.a. | 63.4-68.5 | 60.3-66.6 | 74.9-86.5 | 63.0-72.9 | 59.5-80.1 | 66.3-98.7 | 61.0-100.0 | n.a. |

n.a. Not available.

Notes

1. Rates are the number of screen-detected cancers as a percentage of all cancers (screen-detected and interval cancers) and agestandardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
2. New South Wales data were unavailable at the time of publication.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 4: Ductal carcinoma in situ

Table 27: Number of women screened and cases of DCIS detected in these women by age, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-49 | Screened | 60,395 | 16,193 | 44,016 | 11,478 | 9,744 | 4,381 | 1,658 | 1,032 | 148,897 |
|  | Cases | 35 | 16 | 24 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 50-59 | Screened | 105,764 | 84,047 | 68,712 | 32,463 | 32,081 | 9,353 | 6,367 | 2,131 | 340,918 |
|  | Cases | 100 | 70 | 62 | 50 | 41 | 9 | 10 | 3 | 345 |
| 60-69 | Screened | 75,621 | 59,055 | 44,235 | 21,622 | 21,129 | 6,467 | 3,289 | 737 | 232,155 |
|  | Cases | 77 | 63 | 48 | 45 | 16 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 258 |
| 70+ | Screened | 52,247 | 28,419 | 20,201 | 4,130 | 5,617 | 1,988 | 479 | 261 | 113,342 |
|  | Cases | 64 | 28 | 20 | 11 | 18 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 146 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 294,027 | 187,714 | 177,164 | 69,693 | 68,571 | 22,189 | 11,793 | 4,161 | 835,312 |
|  | Cases | 276 | 177 | 154 | 121 | 82 | 22 | 14 | 3 | 849 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 181,385 | 143,102 | 112,947 | 54,085 | 53,210 | 15,820 | 9,656 | 2,868 | 573,073 |
|  | Cases | 177 | 133 | 110 | 95 | 57 | 14 | 14 | 3 | 603 |

[^5]Table 28: Age-specific rate of DCIS detected in women screened, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $40-49$ | 5.8 | 9.9 | 5.5 | 13.1 | 7.2 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 |
| $50-59$ | 9.5 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 15.4 | 12.8 | 9.6 | 15.7 | 14.1 | 10.1 |
| 60-69 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 10.9 | 20.8 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 11.1 |
| $70+$ | 12.2 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 26.6 | 32.0 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.9 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 9.4 | 9.4 | 8.7 | 17.4 | 12.0 | 9.9 | 11.9 | 7.2 | 10.2 |
| ASR(A) | 9.2 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 17.8 | 12.6 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 5.5 | 10.0 |
| 95\% CI | $8.2-10.4$ | $8.0-11.1$ | $7.5-10.4$ | $14.6-21.5$ | $9.9-15.7$ | $6.5-15.9$ | $5.1-16.0$ | $1.1-16.1$ | $9.4-10.7$ |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.7 |  |  |
| Crude rate | 9.8 | 9.3 | 9.7 | 17.6 | 10.7 | 8.8 | 14.5 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| ASR(A) | 9.8 | 9.3 | 9.8 | 17.7 | 10.6 | 8.8 | 14.2 | 8.2 | 10.5 |
| 95\% CI | $8.4-11.3$ | $7.8-11.0$ | $8.0-11.8$ | $14.3-21.6$ | $8.0-13.8$ | $4.8-14.8$ | $7.7-24.0$ | $1.7-24.0$ | $9.7-11.4$ |

Note: Rates are the number of cases of DCIS per 10,000 women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 5: Recall to assessment rate

Table 29: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment by age, mammographic reasons, first screening round, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 13,404 | 4,758 | 10,296 | 2,210 | 1,904 | 821 | 53 | 191 | 33,637 |
|  | Recalled | 944 | 374 | 876 | 246 | 75 | 79 | 5 | 11 | 2,610 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,514 | 5,672 | 6,227 | 2,763 | 2,077 | 605 | 71 | 218 | 28,147 |
|  | Recalled | 864 | 499 | 684 | 331 | 113 | 60 | 6 | 11 | 2,568 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,400 | 12,430 | 6,946 | 5,564 | 4,027 | 638 | 652 | 319 | 43,976 |
|  | Recalled | 1,180 | 1,169 | 728 | 635 | 248 | 85 | 60 | 14 | 4,119 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 6,378 | 2,853 | 3,231 | 1,313 | 785 | 299 | 225 | 86 | 15,170 |
|  | Recalled | 569 | 227 | 309 | 106 | 50 | 26 | 18 | 6 | 1,311 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,190 | 1,949 | 2,261 | 851 | 380 | 184 | 118 | 44 | 9,977 |
|  | Recalled | 340 | 155 | 218 | 96 | 26 | 25 | 7 | 4 | 871 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,027 | 1,459 | 1,552 | 541 | 229 | 108 | 61 | 26 | 7,003 |
|  | Recalled | 260 | 118 | 133 | 55 | 16 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 599 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,708 | 843 | 801 | 168 | 113 | 38 | 18 | 16 | 3,705 |
|  | Recalled | 128 | 58 | 64 | 13 | 11 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 283 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,088 | 494 | 490 | 113 | 114 | 37 | 25 | 8 | 2,369 |
|  | Recalled | 98 | 22 | 50 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 189 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 436 | 191 | 156 | 49 | 64 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 916 |
|  | Recalled | 33 | 9 | 19 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 74 |
| 85+ | Screened | 118 | 49 | 53 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 249 |
|  | Recalled | 18 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 54,263 | 30,698 | 32,013 | 13,589 | 9,701 | 2,745 | 1,229 | 911 | 145,149 |
|  | Recalled | 4,434 | 2,637 | 3,087 | 1,500 | 552 | 299 | 102 | 48 | 12,659 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 26,995 | 18,691 | 13,990 | 8,269 | 5,421 | 1,229 | 1,056 | 475 | 76,126 |
|  | Recalled | 2,349 | 1,669 | 1,388 | 892 | 340 | 150 | 88 | 24 | 6,900 |

Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 30: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rates, mammographic reasons, first screening round, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 11.1 | 3.9 | 9.6 | 9.4 | 5.8 | 7.8 |
| 45-49 | 8.2 | 8.8 | 11.0 | 12.0 | 5.4 | 9.9 | 8.5 | 5.0 | 9.1 |
| 50-54 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 10.5 | 11.4 | 6.2 | 13.3 | 9.2 | 4.4 | 9.4 |
| 55-59 | 8.9 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 8.1 | 6.4 | 8.7 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 8.6 |
| 60-64 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 9.6 | 11.3 | 6.8 | 13.6 | 5.9 | 9.1 | 8.7 |
| 65-69 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 8.6 | 10.2 | 7.0 | 13.0 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 8.6 |
| 70-74 | 7.5 | 6.9 | 8.0 | 7.7 | 9.7 | 13.2 | 16.7 | 6.3 | 7.6 |
| 75-79 | 9.0 | 4.5 | 10.2 | 8.8 | 5.3 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.0 |
| 80-84 | 7.6 | 4.7 | 12.2 | 10.2 | 9.4 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 8.1 |
| 85+ | 15.3 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 17.6 | 12.5 | 25.0 |  |  | 14.1 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 5.7 | 10.9 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 8.7 |
| ASR(A) | 8.4 | 8.2 | 9.7 | 10.3 | 6.4 | 11.6 | 8.1 | 5.4 | 8.7 |
| 95\% CI | 8.1-8.7 | 7.8-8.6 | 9.2-10.1 | 9.6-11.1 | 5.6-7.3 | 9.8-13.5 | 5.9-10.7 | 3.4-7.9 | 8.5-8.9 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 8.7 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 10.8 | 6.3 | 12.2 | 8.3 | 5.1 | 9.1 |
| ASR(A) | 8.6 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 10.3 | 6.5 | 12.1 | 7.3 | 5.2 | 8.9 |
| 95\% CI | 8.3-9.0 | 7.9-9.0 | 9.1-10.2 | 9.4-11.2 | 5.5-7.6 | 10.0-14.5 | 5.5-9.4 | 2.9-8.4 | 8.6-9.1 |

. . Not applicable—no women in this age group screened in 2002.
Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as the percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 31: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment by age, mammographic reasons, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 8,974 | 970 | 7,532 | 1,333 | 1,259 | 630 | 266 | 130 | 21,094 |
|  | Recalled | 387 | 48 | 359 | 60 | 38 | 41 | 16 | 2 | 951 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 27,503 | 4,793 | 19,961 | 5,172 | 4,504 | 2,325 | 1,268 | 493 | 66,019 |
|  | Recalled | 1,268 | 294 | 1,073 | 241 | 108 | 151 | 81 | 22 | 3,238 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 41,214 | 32,554 | 29,250 | 12,047 | 13,385 | 4,052 | 2,808 | 922 | 136,232 |
|  | Recalled | 1,760 | 1,362 | 1,400 | 488 | 335 | 222 | 154 | 28 | 5,749 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 44,773 | 36,210 | 29,285 | 13,539 | 13,884 | 4,364 | 2,682 | 804 | 145,541 |
|  | Recalled | 1,878 | 1,389 | 1,390 | 494 | 303 | 238 | 115 | 34 | 5,841 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 37,150 | 30,222 | 22,610 | 11,100 | 11,025 | 3,368 | 1,797 | 431 | 117,703 |
|  | Recalled | 1,598 | 1,136 | 1,070 | 399 | 282 | 162 | 96 | 13 | 4,756 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 31,253 | 25,425 | 17,812 | 9,130 | 9,495 | 2,807 | 1,313 | 236 | 97,471 |
|  | Recalled | 1,236 | 911 | 891 | 331 | 287 | 153 | 56 | 9 | 3,874 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 25,852 | 20,856 | 14,114 | 2,538 | 3,350 | 1,525 | 279 | 143 | 68,657 |
|  | Recalled | 995 | 703 | 624 | 103 | 95 | 74 | 11 | 6 | 2,611 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 16,369 | 5,234 | 3,607 | 975 | 1,611 | 295 | 117 | 56 | 28,264 |
|  | Recalled | 599 | 188 | 167 | 38 | 50 | 11 | 4 | 3 | 1,060 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 5,506 | 652 | 800 | 234 | 319 | 72 | 30 | 30 | 7,643 |
|  | Recalled | 179 | 26 | 40 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 271 |
| 85+ | Screened | 1,170 | 100 | 180 | 36 | 38 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 1,539 |
|  | Recalled | 47 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 68 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 239,764 | 157,016 | 145,151 | 56,104 | 58,870 | 19,444 | 10,564 | 3,250 | 690,163 |
|  | Recalled | 9,947 | 6,062 | 7,024 | 2,166 | 1,513 | 1,054 | 536 | 117 | 28,419 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 154,390 | 124,411 | 98,957 | 45,816 | 47,789 | 14,591 | 8,600 | 2,393 | 496,947 |
|  | Recalled | 6,472 | 4,798 | 4,751 | 1,712 | 1,207 | 775 | 421 | 84 | 20,220 |

Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 32: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rates, mammographic reasons, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 6.5 | 6.0 | 1.5 | 4.5 |
| 45-49 | 4.6 | 6.1 | 5.4 | 4.7 | 2.4 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| 50-54 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 3.0 | 4.2 |
| 55-59 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.0 |
| 60-64 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 4.7 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| 65-69 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 4.0 |
| 70-74 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 4.2 | 3.8 |
| 75-79 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 3.8 |
| 80-84 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 2.8 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 3.5 |
| 85+ | 4.0 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 8.3 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 25.0 | 0.0 | 4.4 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 4.1 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 3.9 | 2.6 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 4.1 |
| ASR(A) | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.8 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 5.4 | 5.1 | 3.5 | 4.2 |
| 95\% CI | 4.1-4.3 | 4.0-4.4 | 4.7-5.0 | 3.8-4.2 | 2.5-2.8 | 5.1-5.8 | 4.6-5.6 | 2.9-4.3 | 4.1-4.2 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 4.2 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 3.7 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| ASR(A) | 4.2 | 3.9 | 4.8 | 3.8 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.9 | 3.5 | 4.1 |
| 95\% CI | 4.1-4.3 | 3.8-4.0 | 4.7-4.9 | 3.6-3.9 | 2.4-2.7 | 4.9-5.7 | 4.4-5.4 | 2.7-4.4 | 4.0-4.1 |

[^6]Table 33: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment by age, other reasons only, first screening round, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 13,404 | 4,758 | 10,296 | 2,210 | 1,904 | 821 | 53 | 191 | 33,637 |
|  | Recalled | 24 | 131 | 205 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 389 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,514 | 5,672 | 6,227 | 2,763 | 2,077 | 605 | 71 | 218 | 28,147 |
|  | Recalled | 12 | 124 | 118 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 13,400 | 12,430 | 6,946 | 5,564 | 4,027 | 638 | 652 | 319 | 43,976 |
|  | Recalled | 11 | 198 | 77 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 327 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 6,378 | 2,853 | 3,231 | 1,313 | 785 | 299 | 225 | 86 | 15,170 |
|  | Recalled | 0 | 55 | 42 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 4,190 | 1,949 | 2,261 | 851 | 380 | 184 | 118 | 44 | 9,977 |
|  | Recalled | 1 | 28 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 3,027 | 1,459 | 1,552 | 541 | 229 | 108 | 61 | 26 | 7,003 |
|  | Recalled | 0 | 26 | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,708 | 843 | 801 | 168 | 113 | 38 | 18 | 16 | 3,705 |
|  | Recalled | 2 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,088 | 494 | 490 | 113 | 114 | 37 | 25 | 8 | 2,369 |
|  | Recalled | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 436 | 191 | 156 | 49 | 64 | 11 | 6 | 3 | 916 |
|  | Recalled | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 85+ | Screened | 118 | 49 | 53 | 17 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 249 |
|  | Recalled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 54,263 | 30,698 | 32,013 | 13,589 | 9,701 | 2,745 | 1,229 | 911 | 145,149 |
|  | Recalled | 52 | 574 | 489 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,251 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 26,995 | 18,691 | 13,990 | 8,269 | 5,421 | 1,229 | 1,056 | 475 | 76,126 |
|  | Recalled | 12 | 307 | 149 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 527 |

Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 34: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rates, first screening round, other reasons only, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| 45-49 | 0.1 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| 50-54 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 55-59 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 60-64 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| 65-69 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| 70-74 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| 75-79 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| 80-84 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| 85+ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | . | 0.0 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 0.1 | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| ASR(A) | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 95\% CI | 0.0-0.1 | 1.5-1.9 | 1.1-1.4 | 0.7-1.1 | $\ldots$ | . |  |  | 0.7-0.8 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| ASR(A) | 0.0 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 95\% CI | 0.0-0.1 | 1.5-1.9 | 0.9-1.2 | 0.5-0.9 | . |  |  | . | 0.6-0.7 |

.. Not applicable.
Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as the percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 35: Numbers of women screened and women recalled for assessment by age, other reasons only, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 8,974 | 970 | 7,532 | 1,333 | 1,259 | 630 | 266 | 130 | 21,094 |
|  | Recalled | 10 | 19 | 120 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 27,503 | 4,793 | 19,961 | 5,172 | 4,504 | 2,325 | 1,268 | 493 | 66,019 |
|  | Recalled | 15 | 80 | 259 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 391 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 41,214 | 32,554 | 29,250 | 12,047 | 13,385 | 4,052 | 2,808 | 922 | 136,232 |
|  | Recalled | 26 | 381 | 286 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 752 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 44,773 | 36,210 | 29,285 | 13,539 | 13,884 | 4,364 | 2,682 | 804 | 145,541 |
|  | Recalled | 19 | 390 | 243 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 705 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 37,150 | 30,222 | 22,610 | 11,100 | 11,025 | 3,368 | 1,797 | 431 | 117,703 |
|  | Recalled | 15 | 208 | 150 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 402 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 31,253 | 25,425 | 17,812 | 9,130 | 9,495 | 2,807 | 1,313 | 236 | 97,471 |
|  | Recalled | 15 | 107 | 130 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 278 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 25,852 | 20,856 | 14,114 | 2,538 | 3,350 | 1,525 | 279 | 143 | 68,657 |
|  | Recalled | 4 | 85 | 81 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 16,369 | 5,234 | 3,607 | 975 | 1,611 | 295 | 117 | 56 | 28,264 |
|  | Recalled | 4 | 23 | 45 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 5,506 | 652 | 800 | 234 | 319 | 72 | 30 | 30 | 7,643 |
|  | Recalled | 2 | 5 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 85+ | Screened | 1,170 | 100 | 180 | 36 | 38 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 1,539 |
|  | Recalled | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 239,764 | 157,016 | 145,151 | 56,104 | 58,870 | 19,444 | 10,564 | 3,250 | 690,163 |
|  | Recalled | 110 | 1,299 | 1,334 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2,969 |
| Ages 50-69 | Screened | 154,390 | 124,411 | 98,957 | 45,816 | 47,789 | 14,591 | 8,600 | 2,393 | 496,947 |
|  | Recalled | 75 | 1,086 | 809 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2,137 |

Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 36: Age-specific and age-standardised recall to assessment rates, other reasons only, subsequent screening rounds, states and territories, 2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| 45-49 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| 50-54 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| 55-59 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| 60-64 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 65-69 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 70-74 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 75-79 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 80-84 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 85+ | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| ASR(A) | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| 95\% CI | 0.0-0.1 | 0.9-1.1 | 0.9-1.0 | 0.4-0.5 |  |  | . |  | 0.4-0.5 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| ASR(A) | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| 95\% CI | 0.0-0.1 | 0.8-0.9 | 0.8-0.9 | 0.3-0.4 | . | . | 0.0-0.1 | . | 0.4-0.5 |

.. Not applicable
Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as the percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 6: Rescreen rate

Table 37: Number of women screened during 2000 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months by age, first screening round, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 12,586 | 4,503 | 9,192 | 2,246 | 2,337 | 755 | 525 | 235 | 32,379 |
|  | Returned | 7,771 | 696 | 6,360 | 1,278 | 1,307 | 532 | 233 | 129 | 18,306 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 10,342 | 5,493 | 6,394 | 2,937 | 2,488 | 586 | 583 | 238 | 29,061 |
|  | Returned | 6,346 | 2,262 | 4,280 | 1,778 | 1,565 | 380 | 291 | 143 | 17,045 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 12,156 | 12,300 | 8,439 | 3,199 | 5,184 | 792 | 656 | 444 | 43,170 |
|  | Returned | 7,666 | 8,670 | 5,784 | 1,864 | 3,561 | 543 | 370 | 252 | 28,710 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 5,179 | 3,147 | 4,269 | 915 | 1,088 | 339 | 198 | 117 | 15,252 |
|  | Returned | 3,204 | 2,061 | 3,042 | 542 | 698 | 224 | 118 | 59 | 9,948 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 3,986 | 2,434 | 3,136 | 702 | 626 | 221 | 126 | 55 | 11,286 |
|  | Returned | 2,516 | 1,645 | 2,300 | 448 | 430 | 153 | 69 | 24 | 7,585 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 2,822 | 1,733 | 2,414 | 450 | 523 | 154 | 82 | 29 | 8,207 |
|  | Returned | 1,763 | 1,125 | 1,767 | 217 | 224 | 111 | 33 | 14 | 5,254 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 1,734 | 850 | 1,291 | 232 | 239 | 78 | 41 | 15 | 4,480 |
|  | Returned | 1,079 | 471 | 764 | 50 | 41 | 46 | 6 | 2 | 2,459 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 1,242 | 605 | 745 | 169 | 237 | 59 | 23 | 7 | 3,087 |
|  | Returned | 733 | 41 | 98 | 23 | 40 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 951 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 457 | 224 | 234 | 46 | 65 | 17 | 11 | 2 | 1,056 |
|  | Returned | 240 | 11 | 28 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 292 |
| 85+ | Screened | 128 | 56 | 78 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 301 |
|  | Returned | 44 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 59 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 50,632 | 31,345 | 36,192 | 10,905 | 12,800 | 3,011 | 2,251 | 1,143 | 148,279 |
|  | Returned | 31,362 | 16,984 | 24,435 | 6,203 | 7,872 | 2,002 | 1,123 | 628 | 90,609 |
| Ages 50-67 | Screened | 23,140 | 19,025 | 17,353 | 5,103 | 7,211 | 1,506 | 1,038 | 639 | 75,015 |
|  | Returned | 14,527 | 13,121 | 12,241 | 3,032 | 4,882 | 1,031 | 586 | 344 | 49,764 |

Source: BreastScreen Australia.

Table 38: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates for women screened during 2000, first screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 61.7 | 15.5 | 69.2 | 56.9 | 55.9 | 70.5 | 44.4 | 54.9 | 56.5 |
| 45-49 | 61.4 | 41.2 | 66.9 | 60.5 | 62.9 | 64.8 | 49.9 | 60.1 | 58.7 |
| 50-54 | 63.1 | 70.5 | 68.5 | 58.3 | 68.7 | 68.6 | 56.4 | 56.8 | 66.5 |
| 55-59 | 61.9 | 65.5 | 71.3 | 59.2 | 64.2 | 66.1 | 59.6 | 50.4 | 65.2 |
| 60-64 | 63.1 | 67.6 | 73.3 | 63.8 | 68.7 | 69.2 | 54.8 | 43.6 | 67.2 |
| 65-69 | 62.5 | 64.9 | 73.2 | 48.2 | 42.8 | 72.1 | 40.2 | 48.3 | 64.0 |
| 70-74 | 62.2 | 55.4 | 59.2 | 21.6 | 17.2 | 59.0 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 54.9 |
| 75-79 | 59.0 | 6.8 | 13.2 | 13.6 | 16.9 | 18.6 | 8.7 | 42.9 | 30.8 |
| 80-84 | 52.5 | 4.9 | 12.0 | 6.5 | 9.2 | 11.8 | 9.1 | 50.0 | 27.7 |
| 85+ | 34.4 | 3.6 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.6 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 61.9 | 54.2 | 67.5 | 56.9 | 61.5 | 66.5 | 49.9 | 54.9 | 61.1 |
| ASR(A) | 62.1 | 56.4 | 67.1 | 53.1 | 56.3 | 65.4 | 47.4 | 48.7 | 61.8 |
| 95\% CI | 61.3-63.0 | 55.4-57.5 | 66.1-68.1 | 51.4-54.9 | 54.6-58.0 | 61.9-69.0 | 43.7-51.2 | 43.1-54.6 | 61.3-62.3 |
| Ages 50-67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 62.8 | 69.0 | 70.5 | 59.4 | 67.7 | 68.5 | 56.5 | 53.8 | 66.3 |
| ASR(A) | 62.7 | 67.7 | 71.1 | 60.3 | 66.5 | 68.5 | 56.1 | 49.6 | 66.3 |
| 95\% CI | 61.4-64.0 | 65.8-69.7 | 69.6-72.6 | 57.0-63.8 | 62.7-70.4 | 63.1-74.1 | 48.9-63.7 | 39.2-60.8 | 65.5-67.1 |

[^7]Table 39: Number of women screened during 2000 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months by age, second screening round, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 8,014 | 939 | 5,641 | 1,092 | 1,015 | 602 | 238 | 104 | 17,645 |
|  | Returned | 5,870 | 346 | 4,430 | 787 | 765 | 493 | 152 | 73 | 12,916 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 11,133 | 3,105 | 7,335 | 2,529 | 2,069 | 901 | 478 | 213 | 27,763 |
|  | Returned | 7,946 | 1,574 | 5,679 | 1,800 | 1,588 | 699 | 313 | 149 | 19,748 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 14,595 | 17,935 | 11,191 | 3,867 | 4,634 | 1,244 | 803 | 476 | 54,745 |
|  | Returned | 10,590 | 14,132 | 8,840 | 2,719 | 3,730 | 973 | 544 | 320 | 41,848 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 8,010 | 4,338 | 8,415 | 1,887 | 1,910 | 648 | 268 | 263 | 25,739 |
|  | Returned | 5,660 | 3,151 | 6,733 | 1,273 | 1,495 | 499 | 152 | 155 | 19,118 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 6,095 | 2,862 | 6,316 | 1,403 | 1,084 | 405 | 180 | 124 | 18,469 |
|  | Returned | 4,485 | 2,133 | 5,183 | 1,000 | 824 | 298 | 110 | 83 | 14,116 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 4,614 | 2,107 | 5,254 | 972 | 852 | 336 | 108 | 92 | 14,335 |
|  | Returned | 3,318 | 1,550 | 4,236 | 491 | 482 | 263 | 50 | 55 | 10,445 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 3,331 | 1,428 | 3,392 | 333 | 309 | 96 | 36 | 53 | 8,978 |
|  | Returned | 2,268 | 883 | 2,327 | 74 | 93 | 57 | 5 | 30 | 5,737 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 2,026 | 538 | 1,239 | 214 | 310 | 72 | 32 | 21 | 4,452 |
|  | Returned | 1,335 | 71 | 183 | 40 | 86 | 15 | 6 | 14 | 1,750 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 646 | 223 | 387 | 41 | 85 | 19 | 7 | 5 | 1,413 |
|  | Returned | 389 | 27 | 48 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 498 |
| 85+ | Screened | 141 | 41 | 121 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 325 |
|  | Returned | 54 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 58,605 | 33,516 | 49,291 | 12,347 | 12,277 | 4,326 | 2,150 | 1,352 | 173,864 |
|  | Returned | 41,915 | 23,871 | 37,666 | 8,195 | 9,081 | 3,301 | 1,333 | 882 | 126,244 |
| Ages 50-67 | Screened | 31,577 | 26,465 | 29,037 | 7,755 | 8,180 | 2,633 | 1,318 | 922 | 107,887 |
|  | Returned | 22,812 | 20,398 | 23,276 | 5,406 | 6,461 | 2,033 | 848 | 595 | 81,829 |

[^8]Table 40: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 2000, second screening round, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 73.2 | 36.8 | 78.5 | 72.1 | 75.4 | 81.9 | 63.9 | 70.2 | 73.2 |
| 45-49 | 71.4 | 50.7 | 77.4 | 71.2 | 76.8 | 77.6 | 65.5 | 70.0 | 71.1 |
| 50-54 | 72.6 | 78.8 | 79.0 | 70.3 | 80.5 | 78.2 | 67.7 | 67.2 | 76.4 |
| 55-59 | 70.7 | 72.6 | 80.0 | 67.5 | 78.3 | 77.0 | 56.7 | 58.9 | 74.3 |
| 60-64 | 73.6 | 74.5 | 82.1 | 71.3 | 76.0 | 73.6 | 61.1 | 66.9 | 76.4 |
| 65-69 | 71.9 | 73.6 | 80.6 | 50.5 | 56.6 | 78.3 | 46.3 | 59.8 | 72.9 |
| 70-74 | 68.1 | 61.8 | 68.6 | 22.2 | 30.1 | 59.4 | 13.9 | 56.6 | 63.9 |
| 75-79 | 65.9 | 13.2 | 14.8 | 18.7 | 27.7 | 20.8 | 18.8 | 66.7 | 39.3 |
| 80-84 | 60.2 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 21.1 | 14.3 | 60.0 | 35.2 |
| 85+ | 38.3 | 9.8 | 5.8 | 22.2 | 11.1 | 0.0 |  | 100.0 | 20.9 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 71.5 | 71.2 | 76.4 | 66.4 | 74.0 | 76.3 | 62.0 | 65.2 | 72.6 |
| ASR(A) | 71.5 | 65.4 | 76.1 | 61.5 | 69.0 | 73.6 | 54.9 | 64.3 | 72.0 |
| 95\% CI | 70.7-72.2 | 64.3-66.4 | 75.3-76.8 | 60.1-63.0 | 67.4-70.6 | 70.7-76.5 | 51.4-58.5 | 59.7-69.1 | 71.6-72.4 |
| Ages 50-67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 72.2 | 77.1 | 80.2 | 69.7 | 79.0 | 77.2 | 64.3 | 64.5 | 75.8 |
| ASR(A) | 72.2 | 75.4 | 80.3 | 69.6 | 78.0 | 76.8 | 62.4 | 64.3 | 75.7 |
| 95\% CI | 71.1-73.3 | 73.5-77.3 | 79.2-81.3 | 67.4-71.9 | 75.4-80.7 | 72.7-81.0 | 56.1-69.1 | 57.6-71.3 | 75.1-76.3 |

Not applicable—no women in this age group screened in 2000.
Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

Table 41: Number of women screened during 2000 and number of those women who returned for screening within 27 months by age, third and subsequent screening rounds, states and territories

| Age group | Number | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40-44 | Screened | 1,962 | 132 | 1,726 | 396 | 228 | 219 | 60 | 37 | 4,760 |
|  | Returned | 1,591 | 74 | 1,474 | 337 | 191 | 182 | 53 | 29 | 3,931 |
| 45-49 | Screened | 15,567 | 2,038 | 10,387 | 2,622 | 2,384 | 1,775 | 906 | 224 | 35,903 |
|  | Returned | 12,318 | 1,385 | 8,787 | 2,131 | 2,006 | 1,486 | 618 | 181 | 28,912 |
| 50-54 | Screened | 25,618 | 13,787 | 14,720 | 9,382 | 6,987 | 2,988 | 1,713 | 341 | 75,536 |
|  | Returned | 20,720 | 11,516 | 12,614 | 7,531 | 6,111 | 2,527 | 1,283 | 272 | 62,574 |
| 55-59 | Screened | 32,329 | 26,086 | 14,629 | 10,099 | 9,194 | 3,056 | 1,837 | 331 | 97,561 |
|  | Returned | 26,424 | 22,339 | 12,775 | 8,268 | 8,112 | 2,607 | 1,389 | 259 | 82,173 |
| 60-64 | Screened | 29,089 | 24,702 | 12,354 | 9,205 | 9,030 | 2,826 | 1,273 | 188 | 88,667 |
|  | Returned | 24,073 | 21,478 | 10,879 | 7,724 | 8,103 | 2,430 | 996 | 148 | 75,831 |
| 65-69 | Screened | 25,242 | 21,337 | 10,108 | 7,637 | 7,529 | 2,331 | 864 | 102 | 75,150 |
|  | Returned | 20,658 | 18,215 | 8,803 | 4,924 | 5,228 | 1,980 | 483 | 83 | 60,374 |
| 70-74 | Screened | 21,594 | 18,409 | 8,385 | 1,934 | 2,846 | 520 | 208 | 79 | 53,975 |
|  | Returned | 17,245 | 12,800 | 6,189 | 918 | 1,551 | 391 | 59 | 56 | 39,209 |
| 75-79 | Screened | 11,241 | 4,287 | 4,293 | 598 | 779 | 147 | 59 | 28 | 21,432 |
|  | Returned | 8,522 | 700 | 906 | 300 | 400 | 69 | 20 | 20 | 10,937 |
| 80-84 | Screened | 3,207 | 380 | 1,354 | 124 | 147 | 23 | 6 | 14 | 5,255 |
|  | Returned | 2,127 | 103 | 252 | 58 | 66 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 2,625 |
| 85+ | Screened | 718 | 61 | 300 | 19 | 22 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1,130 |
|  | Returned | 353 | 10 | 50 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 429 |
| Ages 40+ | Screened | 166,567 | 111,219 | 78,256 | 42,016 | 39,146 | 13,891 | 6,930 | 1,344 | 459,369 |
|  | Returned | 134,031 | 88,620 | 62,729 | 32,198 | 31,776 | 11,680 | 4,902 | 1,059 | 366,995 |
| Ages 50-67 | Screened | 102,246 | 77,609 | 47,956 | 33,425 | 29,858 | 11,201 | 5,382 | 923 | 308,600 |
|  | Returned | 83,847 | 66,556 | 41,769 | 27,446 | 26,470 | 9,544 | 4,079 | 735 | 260,446 |

[^9]Table 42: Age-specific and age-standardised rescreen rates in women screened during 2000, third and subsequent screening rounds, states and territories

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Per cent) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40-44 | 81.1 | 56.1 | 85.4 | 85.1 | 83.8 | 83.1 | 88.3 | 78.4 | 82.6 |
| 45-49 | 79.1 | 68.0 | 84.6 | 81.3 | 84.1 | 83.7 | 68.2 | 80.8 | 80.5 |
| 50-54 | 80.9 | 83.5 | 85.7 | 80.3 | 87.5 | 84.6 | 74.9 | 79.8 | 82.8 |
| 55-59 | 81.7 | 85.6 | 87.3 | 81.9 | 88.2 | 85.3 | 75.6 | 78.2 | 84.2 |
| 60-64 | 82.8 | 86.9 | 88.1 | 83.9 | 89.7 | 86.0 | 78.2 | 78.7 | 85.5 |
| 65-69 | 81.8 | 85.4 | 87.1 | 64.5 | 69.4 | 84.9 | 55.9 | 81.4 | 80.3 |
| 70-74 | 79.9 | 69.5 | 73.8 | 47.5 | 54.5 | 75.2 | 28.4 | 70.9 | 72.6 |
| 75-79 | 75.8 | 16.3 | 21.1 | 50.2 | 51.3 | 46.9 | 33.9 | 71.4 | 51.0 |
| 80-84 | 66.3 | 27.1 | 18.6 | 46.8 | 44.9 | 30.4 | 16.7 | 78.6 | 50.0 |
| 85+ | 49.2 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 36.8 | 36.4 | 16.7 | 0.0 |  | 38.0 |
| Ages 40+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 80.5 | 79.7 | 80.2 | 76.6 | 81.2 | 84.1 | 70.7 | 78.8 | 79.9 |
| ASR(A) | 80.8 | 76.6 | 82.7 | 75.5 | 80.6 | 82.2 | 67.5 | 78.4 | 80.6 |
| 95\% CI | 80.3-81.3 | 75.4-77.8 | 82.0-83.4 | 74.4-76.6 | 79.3-81.9 | 80.5-84.0 | 64.9-70.2 | 73.3-83.7 | 80.3-81.0 |
| Ages 50-67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 82.0 | 85.8 | 87.1 | 82.1 | 88.7 | 85.2 | 75.8 | 79.6 | 84.4 |
| ASR(A) | 81.8 | 85.3 | 87.0 | 81.9 | 88.4 | 85.2 | 75.7 | 80.3 | 84.2 |
| 95\% CI | 81.3-82.3 | 84.8-85.7 | 86.2-87.8 | 81.1-82.7 | 87.6-89.3 | 83.6-86.8 | 73.5-78.0 | 74.1-86.8 | 83.9-84.4 |

. . Not applicable-no women screened in this age group in 2000.
Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

## Indicator 7a: Incidence of breast cancer

Table 43: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women by age, Australia, 1987-2001

| Age group | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 4 | 9 |
| 25-29 | 59 | 47 | 44 | 54 | 51 | 46 | 58 | 57 | 57 | 42 | 50 | 50 | 51 | 54 | 46 |
| 30-34 | 191 | 164 | 158 | 204 | 182 | 180 | 169 | 199 | 202 | 194 | 173 | 197 | 184 | 186 | 170 |
| 35-39 | 363 | 345 | 367 | 341 | 400 | 379 | 412 | 395 | 399 | 423 | 446 | 418 | 438 | 437 | 449 |
| 40-44 | 590 | 653 | 652 | 671 | 721 | 714 | 781 | 772 | 751 | 759 | 759 | 850 | 819 | 843 | 917 |
| 45-49 | 693 | 673 | 751 | 829 | 855 | 1,014 | 1,030 | 1,144 | 1,235 | 1,187 | 1,160 | 1,159 | 1,152 | 1,271 | 1,223 |
| 50-54 | 599 | 632 | 726 | 788 | 857 | 859 | 978 | 1,106 | 1,243 | 1,188 | 1,329 | 1,466 | 1,500 | 1,564 | 1,649 |
| 55-59 | 693 | 633 | 680 | 689 | 807 | 821 | 926 | 1,033 | 1,142 | 1,123 | 1,176 | 1,266 | 1,297 | 1,430 | 1,539 |
| 60-64 | 821 | 850 | 885 | 824 | 886 | 788 | 973 | 1,102 | 1,067 | 1,016 | 1,076 | 1,142 | 1,243 | 1,337 | 1,439 |
| 65-69 | 768 | 769 | 828 | 857 | 951 | 930 | 1,002 | 1,215 | 1,097 | 1,067 | 1,077 | 1,142 | 1,095 | 1,121 | 1,175 |
| 70-74 | 629 | 697 | 712 | 756 | 791 | 778 | 900 | 1,020 | 1,008 | 990 | 1,032 | 1,057 | 987 | 1,097 | 1,112 |
| 75-79 | 584 | 576 | 624 | 633 | 672 | 655 | 691 | 784 | 849 | 740 | 864 | 885 | 836 | 899 | 893 |
| 80-84 | 390 | 385 | 396 | 425 | 487 | 491 | 468 | 527 | 580 | 571 | 577 | 590 | 558 | 578 | 611 |
| 85+ | 304 | 301 | 329 | 337 | 372 | 366 | 395 | 377 | 414 | 436 | 446 | 490 | 491 | 522 | 559 |
| All ages | 6,688 | 6,732 | 7,160 | 7,412 | 8,043 | 8,027 | 8,796 | 9,738 | 10,054 | 9,742 | 10,181 | 10,730 | 10,662 | 11,345 | 11,791 |
| Ages $50-69$ | 2,881 | 2,884 | 3,119 | 3,158 | 3,501 | 3,398 | 3,879 | 4,456 | 4,549 | 4,394 | 4,658 | 5,016 | 5,135 | 5,452 | 5,802 |

[^10]Table 44: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women, Australia, 1987-2001

| Age group | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 1.4 |
| 25-29 | 8.6 | 6.8 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 6.7 | 8.5 | 8.4 | 8.3 | 5.9 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.4 | 6.5 |
| 30-34 | 29.5 | 24.8 | 23.3 | 29.4 | 25.6 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 27.1 | 27.6 | 26.8 | 24.2 | 27.8 | 25.9 | 25.9 | 23.0 |
| 35-39 | 58.1 | 54.4 | 56.8 | 51.9 | 60.2 | 55.9 | 59.9 | 56.6 | 56.0 | 58.0 | 60.0 | 55.6 | 57.8 | 57.8 | 59.8 |
| 40-44 | 110.1 | 114.6 | 109.4 | 108.4 | 112.8 | 111.3 | 120.7 | 117.5 | 112.5 | 111.8 | 109.7 | 121.0 | 114.6 | 115.7 | 123.1 |
| 45-49 | 164.3 | 154.6 | 164.7 | 173.2 | 170.1 | 188.3 | 179.8 | 192.0 | 200.3 | 185.6 | 180.5 | 177.3 | 173.2 | 188.5 | 178.9 |
| 50-54 | 162.7 | 167.5 | 186.5 | 196.6 | 207.4 | 202.5 | 225.4 | 244.1 | 261.1 | 238.8 | 247.4 | 256.0 | 250.9 | 251.0 | 254.4 |
| 55-59 | 188.7 | 174.1 | 188.4 | 191.8 | 225.0 | 224.1 | 246.4 | 267.9 | 288.7 | 275.6 | 279.2 | 292.1 | 286.5 | 302.0 | 310.3 |
| 60-64 | 222.9 | 229.7 | 238.8 | 222.3 | 239.4 | 215.7 | 270.6 | 308.7 | 299.1 | 284.9 | 295.9 | 306.6 | 323.5 | 336.9 | 352.7 |
| 65-69 | 242.9 | 233.5 | 241.5 | 245.9 | 270.7 | 263.5 | 282.0 | 342.8 | 309.7 | 300.8 | 305.7 | 327.2 | 316.4 | 324.9 | 338.7 |
| 70-74 | 235.4 | 260.5 | 267.9 | 279.3 | 280.2 | 265.9 | 296.5 | 321.5 | 312.1 | 302.7 | 314.0 | 319.3 | 296.1 | 328.8 | 332.1 |
| 75-79 | 293.7 | 279.8 | 290.5 | 286.8 | 298.0 | 285.9 | 300.4 | 344.2 | 363.8 | 303.5 | 336.9 | 329.2 | 297.6 | 312.4 | 305.8 |
| 80-84 | 315.1 | 298.3 | 295.9 | 305.0 | 334.9 | 324.2 | 295.7 | 315.2 | 336.4 | 323.3 | 320.8 | 324.1 | 304.8 | 304.2 | 302.8 |
| 85+ | 312.8 | 301.6 | 318.8 | 319.1 | 338.1 | 316.5 | 324.2 | 295.7 | 308.2 | 307.9 | 298.6 | 312.6 | 295.6 | 298.0 | 304.9 |
| All Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 82.1 | 81.3 | 85.0 | 86.7 | 92.8 | 91.4 | 99.2 | 108.6 | 110.7 | 105.9 | 109.3 | 113.9 | 111.9 | 117.6 | 120.5 |
| ASR | 91.2 | 89.6 | 93.4 | 94.8 | 100.4 | 98.2 | 105.4 | 114.0 | 115.7 | 109.2 | 111.3 | 114.6 | 111.3 | 115.6 | 117.2 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 202.9 | 200.2 | 213.1 | 213.5 | 234.5 | 225.2 | 254.4 | 287.5 | 287.5 | 271.8 | 278.2 | 290.3 | 288.3 | 296.5 | 305.5 |
| ASR | 196.9 | 194.5 | 208.1 | 209.7 | 230.3 | 222.0 | 250.8 | 282.0 | 285.3 | 269.6 | 276.7 | 289.2 | 287.7 | 296.2 | 305.4 |

Note: Rates are the number of cases of invasive cancers per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 45: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women by age, states and territories, 1998-2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 20-24 | 17 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| 25-29 | 56 | 69 | 39 | 17 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 201 |
| 30-34 | 242 | 192 | 120 | 85 | 61 | 18 | 15 | 4 | 737 |
| 35-39 | 604 | 422 | 318 | 183 | 143 | 31 | 27 | 14 | 1,742 |
| 40-44 | 1,196 | 870 | 618 | 301 | 277 | 76 | 63 | 28 | 3,429 |
| 45-49 | 1,557 | 1,206 | 910 | 483 | 419 | 109 | 88 | 33 | 4,805 |
| 50-54 | 2,016 | 1,520 | 1,114 | 611 | 575 | 159 | 141 | 43 | 6,179 |
| 55-59 | 1,910 | 1,314 | 1,047 | 505 | 492 | 136 | 96 | 32 | 5,532 |
| 60-64 | 1,683 | 1,299 | 1,010 | 474 | 456 | 139 | 79 | 21 | 5,161 |
| 65-69 | 1,514 | 1,195 | 828 | 425 | 408 | 95 | 57 | 11 | 4,533 |
| 70-74 | 1,543 | 1,159 | 763 | 295 | 316 | 117 | 47 | 13 | 4,253 |
| 75-79 | 1,213 | 945 | 604 | 293 | 322 | 90 | 40 | 6 | 3,513 |
| 80-84 | 781 | 614 | 448 | 194 | 220 | 47 | 29 | 4 | 2,337 |
| 85+ | 691 | 572 | 349 | 168 | 208 | 52 | 17 | 5 | 2,062 |
| All ages | 15,023 | 11,387 | 8,176 | 4,039 | 3,912 | 1,071 | 702 | 218 | 44,528 |
| Ages 50-69 | 7,123 | 5,328 | 3,999 | 2,015 | 1,931 | 529 | 373 | 107 | 21,405 |

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 46: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women, states and territories, 1998-2001


Note: Rates are the number of cases of invasive cancers per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 47: Number of new cases of breast cancer in women, by age and region, 1997-2001

| Age group | Major cities | Inner regional | Outer regional | Remote | Very remote | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 20-24 | 42 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| 25-29 | 184 | 38 | 22 | 4 | 3 | 251 |
| 30-34 | 661 | 154 | 78 | 10 | 6 | 910 |
| 35-39 | 1,559 | 393 | 198 | 30 | 9 | 2,188 |
| 40-44 | 2,895 | 801 | 390 | 75 | 25 | 4,188 |
| 45-49 | 4,104 | 1,181 | 554 | 91 | 35 | 5,965 |
| 50-54 | 5,198 | 1,488 | 687 | 94 | 40 | 7,508 |
| 55-59 | 4,483 | 1,436 | 684 | 79 | 27 | 6,708 |
| 60-64 | 4,102 | 1,436 | 596 | 81 | 21 | 6,237 |
| 65-69 | 3,723 | 1,300 | 514 | 55 | 19 | 5,610 |
| 70-74 | 3,551 | 1,222 | 445 | 45 | 21 | 5,285 |
| 75-79 | 2,953 | 997 | 379 | 38 | 10 | 4,377 |
| 80-84 | 2,019 | 619 | 245 | 21 | 10 | 2,914 |
| 85+ | 1,711 | 540 | 231 | 21 | 5 | 2,508 |
| All ages | 37,188 | 11,616 | 5,028 | 645 | 232 | 54,709 |
| Ages 50-69 | 17,506 | 5,660 | 2,482 | 309 | 107 | 26,063 |

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 48: Age-specific and age-standardised incidence rates for breast cancer in women by region, 1997-2001

| Age group | Major cities | Inner regional | Outer regional | Remote | Very remote | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 20-24 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 25-29 | 7.1 | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 7.9 | 6.9 |
| 30-34 | 26.7 | 23.3 | 22.2 | 15.9 | 17.0 | 25.3 |
| 35-39 | 62.2 | 51.3 | 50.7 | 44.5 | 26.5 | 58.2 |
| 40-44 | 122.1 | 106.5 | 104.9 | 129.0 | 92.4 | 116.9 |
| 45-49 | 184.6 | 171.8 | 165.4 | 182.1 | 148.7 | 179.7 |
| 50-54 | 260.6 | 241.2 | 225.7 | 214.6 | 208.8 | 252.0 |
| 55-59 | 302.3 | 288.3 | 276.0 | 234.5 | 189.6 | 294.6 |
| 60-64 | 331.4 | 326.8 | 281.4 | 314.0 | 208.9 | 324.0 |
| 65-69 | 330.8 | 322.8 | 280.9 | 262.8 | 242.5 | 322.5 |
| 70-74 | 323.4 | 324.3 | 270.8 | 277.0 | 371.4 | 318.1 |
| 75-79 | 316.7 | 325.2 | 290.1 | 303.0 | 268.5 | 315.8 |
| 80-84 | 320.7 | 299.4 | 274.7 | 244.8 | 367.6 | 311.1 |
| 85+ | 303.4 | 302.2 | 296.3 | 272.9 | 220.2 | 301.9 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 117.0 | 117.8 | 103.0 | 84.8 | 56.8 | 114.7 |
| ASR(A) | 117.2 | 111.3 | 102.3 | 101.9 | 91.2 | 114.1 |
| 95\% CI | 116.0-118.4 | 109.3-113.4 | 99.5-105.2 | 94.1-110.2 | 79.2-104.3 | 113.1-115.0 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 299.7 | 289.2 | 262.0 | 248.7 | 208.7 | 292.2 |
| ASR(A) | 299.4 | 286.7 | 260.9 | 249.9 | 209.8 | 291.4 |
| 95\% CI | 295.0-303.9 | 279.3-294.3 | 250.7-271.4 | 222.8-279.4 | 171.0-253.0 | 287.9-295.0 |

Note: Rates are the number of cases of invasive cancers per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001. Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

## Indicator 7b: Incidence of ductal carcinoma in situ

Table 49: Number of new cases of ductal carcinoma in situ by age, states and territories, 1996-2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-19$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $20-29$ | 10 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 |
| $30-39$ | 96 | 65 | 46 | 30 | 11 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 259 |
| $40-49$ | 510 | 318 | 222 | 157 | 88 | 23 | 39 | 4 | 1361 |
| 50-59 | 723 | 559 | 363 | 277 | 204 | 62 | 44 | 8 | 2240 |
| $60-69$ | 556 | 460 | 290 | 209 | 139 | 41 | 22 | 3 | 1720 |
| 70+ years | 466 | 281 | 224 | 115 | 71 | 19 | 15 | 0 | 1191 |
| All ages | $\mathbf{2 , 3 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 6 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 0}$ | 515 | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 7 9 7}$ |
| Ages 50-69 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 6 0}$ |

Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

Table 50: Age-specific and age-standardised rates of ductal carcinoma in situ, states and territories, 1996-2001

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-19$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-29 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| 30-39 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.9 |
| 40-49 | 18.5 | 15.6 | 14.7 | 19.1 | 13.3 | 11.1 | 26.0 | 5.1 | 16.6 |
| 50-59 | 34.6 | 36.4 | 32.0 | 47.7 | 39.9 | 38.8 | 42.7 | 17.8 | 36.4 |
| 60-69 | 36.2 | 40.9 | 38.4 | 53.6 | 36.6 | 34.9 | 40.7 | 16.9 | 39.3 |
| 70+ years | 22.9 | 18.9 | 23.6 | 24.5 | 13.1 | 12.3 | 25.3 | 0.0 | 20.9 |
| All ages | 12.2 | 11.9 | 11.0 | 14.4 | 11.4 | 10.4 | 13.2 | 3.1 | 11.9 |
| Crude rate | 12.3 | 12.0 | 11.5 | 15.4 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 14.8 | 4.5 | 12.2 |
| ASR(A) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |
| 95\% CI | $11.8-12.8$ | $11.4-12.6$ | $10.8-12.2$ | $14.3-16.5$ | $10.1-12.0$ | $8.8-12.2$ | $12.3-17.7$ | $2.5-7.3$ | $11.9-12.5$ |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |  |
| Crude rate | 35.3 | 38.3 | 34.5 | 50.1 | 38.5 | 37.2 | 42.0 | 17.5 | 37.6 |
| ASR(A) | 35.3 | 38.2 | 34.5 | 50.0 | 38.6 | 37.3 | 41.9 | 17.4 | 37.5 |
| 95\% CI | $32.0-38.6$ | $34.3-42.1$ | $30.2-39.1$ | $43.0-57.6$ | $32.3-45.4$ | $26.5-49.7$ | $25.6-61.5$ | $2.1-41.6$ | $35.6-39.5$ |

Note: Rates are the number of cases of DCIS per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House.

## Indicator 8: Mortality

Table 51: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women, Australia, 1988-2002

| Age group | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 25-29 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| 30-34 | 26 | 34 | 26 | 25 | 33 | 39 | 19 | 25 | 28 | 37 | 28 | 20 | 23 | 21 | 24 |
| 35-39 | 66 | 67 | 63 | 79 | 79 | 73 | 87 | 57 | 90 | 84 | 68 | 59 | 66 | 63 | 71 |
| 40-44 | 120 | 137 | 149 | 150 | 136 | 116 | 139 | 120 | 136 | 135 | 128 | 141 | 122 | 126 | 112 |
| 45-49 | 152 | 170 | 168 | 177 | 196 | 202 | 211 | 207 | 189 | 211 | 207 | 203 | 187 | 185 | 173 |
| 50-54 | 202 | 212 | 228 | 232 | 212 | 225 | 239 | 221 | 230 | 271 | 265 | 247 | 255 | 262 | 295 |
| 55-59 | 238 | 217 | 215 | 227 | 219 | 252 | 249 | 248 | 240 | 236 | 227 | 260 | 257 | 253 | 289 |
| 60-64 | 291 | 287 | 282 | 258 | 236 | 276 | 262 | 268 | 258 | 239 | 255 | 263 | 239 | 228 | 273 |
| 65-69 | 290 | 297 | 328 | 306 | 272 | 316 | 290 | 317 | 289 | 284 | 252 | 212 | 216 | 242 | 256 |
| 70-74 | 251 | 251 | 258 | 305 | 287 | 264 | 308 | 288 | 296 | 297 | 268 | 288 | 287 | 315 | 245 |
| 75-79 | 254 | 261 | 254 | 249 | 254 | 298 | 274 | 281 | 279 | 291 | 300 | 274 | 281 | 289 | 312 |
| 80-84 | 184 | 205 | 205 | 211 | 213 | 257 | 250 | 259 | 252 | 244 | 236 | 232 | 237 | 273 | 277 |
| 85+ | 222 | 238 | 219 | 229 | 247 | 268 | 271 | 280 | 273 | 273 | 314 | 298 | 335 | 325 | 367 |
| All ages | 2,301 | 2,384 | 2,401 | 2,462 | 2,389 | 2,589 | 2,602 | 2,577 | 2,569 | 2,609 | 2,557 | 2,505 | 2,511 | 2,585 | 2,698 |
| Ages $50-69$ | 1,021 | 1,013 | 1,053 | 1,023 | 939 | 1,069 | 1,040 | 1,054 | 1,017 | 1,030 | 999 | 982 | 967 | 985 | 1,113 |

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 52: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women, Australia, 1988-2002

| Age group | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 |
| 25-29 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.6 |
| 30-34 | 3.9 | 5.0 | 3.7 | 3.5 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 5.2 | 3.9 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 2.8 | 3.2 |
| 35-39 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 9.6 | 11.9 | 11.7 | 10.6 | 12.5 | 8.0 | 12.3 | 11.3 | 9.0 | 7.8 | 8.7 | 8.4 | 9.6 |
| 40-44 | 21.1 | 23.0 | 24.1 | 23.5 | 21.2 | 17.9 | 21.2 | 18.0 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 18.2 | 19.7 | 16.7 | 16.9 | 14.7 |
| 45-49 | 34.9 | 37.3 | 35.1 | 35.2 | 36.4 | 35.3 | 35.4 | 33.6 | 29.5 | 32.8 | 31.7 | 30.5 | 27.7 | 27.1 | 25.0 |
| 50-54 | 53.5 | 54.5 | 56.9 | 56.2 | 50.0 | 51.8 | 52.8 | 46.4 | 46.2 | 50.4 | 46.3 | 41.3 | 40.9 | 40.4 | 45.4 |
| 55-59 | 65.5 | 60.1 | 59.9 | 63.3 | 59.8 | 67.1 | 64.6 | 62.7 | 58.9 | 56.0 | 52.4 | 57.4 | 54.3 | 51.0 | 54.0 |
| 60-64 | 78.6 | 77.4 | 76.1 | 69.7 | 64.6 | 76.8 | 73.4 | 75.1 | 72.3 | 65.7 | 68.5 | 68.4 | 60.2 | 55.9 | 65.0 |
| 65-69 | 88.1 | 86.6 | 94.1 | 87.1 | 77.1 | 88.9 | 81.8 | 89.5 | 81.5 | 80.6 | 72.2 | 61.3 | 62.6 | 69.8 | 72.0 |
| 70-74 | 93.8 | 94.4 | 95.3 | 108.1 | 98.1 | 87.0 | 97.1 | 89.2 | 90.5 | 90.4 | 80.9 | 86.4 | 86.0 | 94.1 | 73.6 |
| 75-79 | 123.4 | 121.5 | 115.1 | 110.4 | 110.9 | 129.5 | 120.3 | 120.4 | 114.4 | 113.5 | 111.6 | 97.6 | 97.7 | 99.0 | 105.9 |
| 80-84 | 142.6 | 153.2 | 147.1 | 145.1 | 140.6 | 162.4 | 149.5 | 150.2 | 142.7 | 135.7 | 129.6 | 126.7 | 124.7 | 135.3 | 131.0 |
| 85+ | 222.4 | 230.6 | 207.4 | 208.1 | 213.6 | 219.9 | 212.5 | 208.4 | 192.8 | 182.8 | 200.3 | 179.4 | 191.3 | 177.3 | 192.6 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 27.8 | 28.3 | 28.1 | 28.4 | 27.2 | 29.2 | 29.0 | 28.4 | 27.9 | 28.0 | 27.2 | 26.3 | 26.0 | 26.4 | 27.3 |
| ASR(A) | 30.5 | 30.8 | 30.4 | 30.5 | 28.9 | 30.5 | 30.0 | 28.9 | 28.1 | 27.8 | 26.5 | 25.4 | 24.7 | 24.7 | 25.1 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 70.9 | 69.2 | 71.2 | 68.5 | 62.2 | 70.1 | 67.1 | 66.6 | 62.9 | 61.5 | 57.8 | 55.1 | 52.6 | 51.9 | 56.8 |
| ASR(A) | 68.3 | 66.7 | 68.5 | 66.5 | 60.6 | 67.9 | 65.5 | 64.6 | 61.5 | 60.6 | 57.3 | 55.0 | 52.5 | 51.8 | 56.7 |

Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 53: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women by age, states and territories, 1999-2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 25-29 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 30-34 | 34 | 17 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 88 |
| 35-39 | 75 | 81 | 43 | 20 | 25 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 259 |
| 40-44 | 148 | 127 | 96 | 52 | 55 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 501 |
| 45-49 | 228 | 201 | 137 | 69 | 67 | 23 | 12 | 11 | 748 |
| 50-54 | 311 | 283 | 213 | 98 | 93 | 34 | 17 | 10 | 1,059 |
| 55-59 | 368 | 271 | 184 | 95 | 90 | 26 | 16 | 9 | 1,059 |
| 60-64 | 351 | 267 | 159 | 80 | 92 | 31 | 17 | 6 | 1,003 |
| 65-69 | 347 | 211 | 133 | 85 | 98 | 31 | 17 | 4 | 926 |
| 70-74 | 376 | 311 | 202 | 104 | 93 | 26 | 19 | 4 | 1,135 |
| 75-79 | 394 | 339 | 187 | 91 | 96 | 37 | 11 | 1 | 1,156 |
| 80-84 | 352 | 284 | 165 | 76 | 96 | 32 | 11 | 3 | 1,019 |
| 85+ | 430 | 371 | 208 | 123 | 138 | 40 | 14 | 1 | 1,325 |
| All ages | 3,419 | 2,772 | 1,748 | 905 | 949 | 305 | 143 | 58 | 10,299 |
| Ages 50-69 | 1,377 | 1,032 | 689 | 358 | 373 | 122 | 67 | 29 | 4,047 |

Note: State refers to the state of usual residence.
Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 54: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women, states and territories, 1999-2002

| Age group | NSW | Vic | Qld | WA | SA | Tas | ACT | NT | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 25-29 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| 30-34 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 2.0 | 5.6 | 3.0 |
| 35-39 | 7.4 | 10.8 | 7.7 | 6.7 | 10.9 | 12.6 | 7.8 | 6.1 | 8.6 |
| 40-44 | 14.9 | 17.4 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 23.8 | 19.1 | 7.8 | 17.1 | 17.0 |
| 45-49 | 25.2 | 30.0 | 27.1 | 25.1 | 30.8 | 33.8 | 24.4 | 42.8 | 27.5 |
| 50-54 | 37.1 | 45.3 | 45.1 | 39.9 | 44.9 | 53.5 | 37.2 | 47.6 | 42.0 |
| 55-59 | 55.3 | 55.9 | 50.1 | 52.0 | 55.6 | 51.0 | 51.6 | 67.6 | 54.1 |
| 60-64 | 63.3 | 65.6 | 54.8 | 53.7 | 68.0 | 72.0 | 77.8 | 73.9 | 62.3 |
| 65-69 | 71.0 | 58.8 | 55.1 | 68.2 | 81.3 | 83.2 | 101.2 | 79.0 | 66.5 |
| 70-74 | 79.4 | 89.9 | 89.0 | 92.2 | 76.4 | 74.0 | 127.4 | 112.0 | 85.0 |
| 75-79 | 95.9 | 112.8 | 96.8 | 96.6 | 87.4 | 119.5 | 84.3 | 42.7 | 100.1 |
| 80-84 | 125.0 | 141.2 | 123.6 | 119.4 | 128.2 | 146.4 | 133.0 | 195.7 | 129.6 |
| 85+ | 171.1 | 196.9 | 176.6 | 199.7 | 199.5 | 209.8 | 209.0 | 85.0 | 185.3 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 26.0 | 28.6 | 24.2 | 24.0 | 31.1 | 31.9 | 22.3 | 15.6 | 26.5 |
| ASR(A) | 23.9 | 26.4 | 24.0 | 24.3 | 26.4 | 28.2 | 26.6 | 27.1 | 25.0 |
| 95\% CI | 23.1-24.8 | 25.4-27.4 | 22.9-25.2 | 22.8-26.0 | 24.7-28.2 | 25.1-31.6 | 22.4-31.4 | 19.5-36.3 | 24.5-25.5 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 54.1 | 55.0 | 50.2 | 51.0 | 59.7 | 62.6 | 58.1 | 61.0 | 54.1 |
| ASR(A) | 53.6 | 54.9 | 50.3 | 51.1 | 59.2 | 62.1 | 61.2 | 64.2 | 54.0 |
| 95\% CI | 50.8-56.5 | 51.6-58.4 | 46.6-54.2 | 46.0-56.7 | 53.3-65.5 | 51.6-74.2 | 47.3-77.9 | 42.1-93.3 | 52.3-55.7 |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. State refers to the state of usual residence.

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 55: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women by age and region, 1998-2002

| Age group | Major cities | Inner regional | Outer regional | Remote | Very remote | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5-9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15-19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 20-24 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 25-29 | 18 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 30-34 | 69 | 24 | 19 | 2 | 2 | 116 |
| 35-39 | 222 | 67 | 28 | 6 | 4 | 327 |
| 40-44 | 382 | 149 | 79 | 12 | 7 | 629 |
| 45-49 | 637 | 209 | 93 | 10 | 5 | 955 |
| 50-54 | 863 | 296 | 145 | 15 | 5 | 1,324 |
| 55-59 | 867 | 261 | 134 | 17 | 7 | 1,286 |
| 60-64 | 819 | 282 | 137 | 15 | 7 | 1,258 |
| 65-69 | 803 | 240 | 115 | 12 | 8 | 1,178 |
| 70-74 | 928 | 315 | 137 | 15 | 7 | 1,403 |
| 75-79 | 972 | 330 | 141 | 13 | 0 | 1,456 |
| 80-84 | 862 | 275 | 107 | 9 | 3 | 1,255 |
| 85+ | 1,087 | 372 | 163 | 13 | 4 | 1,639 |
| All ages | 8,533 | 2,827 | 1,299 | 139 | 58 | 12,856 |
| Ages 50-69 | 3,352 | 1,079 | $531$ | 58 | 26 | 5,046 |

Note: Regions have been defined according to the ASGC Remoteness Areas classification.
Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 56: Age-specific and age-standardised mortality rates for breast cancer in women by region, 1998-2002

| Age group | Major cities | Inner regional | Outer regional | Remote | Very remote | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| 25-29 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| 30-34 | 2.8 | 3.6 | 5.3 | 3.6 | 4.7 | 3.2 |
| 35-39 | 8.8 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 9.0 | 11.3 | 8.7 |
| 40-44 | 15.8 | 19.3 | 20.9 | 20.7 | 24.7 | 17.2 |
| 45-49 | 28.3 | 29.7 | 27.3 | 20.3 | 22.7 | 28.3 |
| 50-54 | 41.7 | 46.1 | 46.1 | 33.4 | 23.4 | 42.8 |
| 55-59 | 55.6 | 49.6 | 52.0 | 48.9 | 50.2 | 53.8 |
| 60-64 | 64.4 | 61.9 | 62.5 | 54.4 | 61.5 | 63.5 |
| 65-69 | 71.5 | 59.2 | 62.6 | 55.1 | 96.5 | 67.6 |
| 70-74 | 84.8 | 82.6 | 82.7 | 91.6 | 115.2 | 84.2 |
| 75-79 | 101.6 | 104.3 | 105.0 | 99.0 | 7.0 | 102.2 |
| 80-84 | 132.6 | 127.8 | 116.0 | 105.8 | 92.6 | 129.6 |
| 85+ | 183.9 | 197.1 | 199.7 | 160.7 | 161.9 | 188.0 |
| All ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 26.5 | 28.3 | 26.4 | 18.3 | 14.0 | 26.6 |
| ASR(A) | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.4 | 22.6 | 22.6 | 25.3 |
| 95\% CI | 24.8-25.9 | 24.4-26.3 | 24.0-26.8 | 18.9-26.7 | 16.8-29.5 | 24.8-25.7 |


| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crude rate | 55.7 | 53.1 | 54.5 | 45.6 | 49.1 | 54.8 |
| ASR(A) | 55.6 | 52.8 | 54.1 | 45.9 | 51.8 | 54.6 |
| $95 \% \mathrm{Cl}$ | $53.8-57.5$ | $49.6-56.0$ | $49.6-59.0$ | $34.9-59.3$ | $33.8-75.9$ | $53.1-56.1$ |

Notes

1. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
2. The Australian Standard Geographical Classification (ASGC) was used to create the above categories (ABS 2001).

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 57: Number of deaths from breast cancer in women by age and Indigenous status, Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, Northern Territory, 1998-2002

| Age group | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous | Australia |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $0-4$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $5-9$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $10-14$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $15-19$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $20-24$ | 0 | 2 | 6 |
| $25-29$ | 1 | 8 | 24 |
| $30-34$ | 3 | 40 | 116 |
| $35-39$ | 4 | 115 | 327 |
| $40-44$ | 11 | 240 | 629 |
| $45-49$ | 8 | 340 | 955 |
| $50-54$ | 7 | 499 | 1,324 |
| $55-59$ | 4 | 452 | 1,286 |
| $60-64$ | 6 | 429 | 1,258 |
| $65-69$ | 5 | 378 | 1,178 |
| $70-74$ | 7 | 465 | 1,403 |
| $75+$ | 12 | 1,479 | 4,350 |
| All ages | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4}, 447$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 8 5 6}$ |
| Ages 50-69 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{5}, 046$ |

Notes

1. Only Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, and the Northern Territory have Indigenous death registration data considered to be of a publishable standard; therefore data from these jurisdictions only are included in the analysis by Indigenous status.
2. 'Australia' includes all states and territories of Australia.
3. Deaths in the 'not stated' category are included in the column for all women, but they are not included in the other columns. Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

Table 58: Age-standardised and age-specific mortality rates for breast cancer in women by Indigenous status, Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, Northern Territory, 1998-2002

| Age group | Indigenous | Non-Indigenous | Australia |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0-4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5-9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10-14 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 15-19 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20-24 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 25-29 | 2.0 | 0.6 | 0.7 |
| 30-34 | 6.5 | 3.1 | 3.2 |
| 35-39 | 10.1 | 8.5 | 8.7 |
| 40-44 | 34.6 | 18.0 | 17.2 |
| 45-49 | 31.7 | 27.4 | 28.3 |
| 50-54 | 37.1 | 43.8 | 42.8 |
| 55-59 | 30.4 | 51.9 | 53.8 |
| 60-64 | 59.7 | 60.9 | 63.5 |
| 65-69 | 71.7 | 62.3 | 67.6 |
| 70-74 | 150.5 | 81.0 | 84.2 |
| 75+ | 184.6 | 131.2 | 133.3 |
| All ages |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 11.8 | 25.7 | 26.6 |
| ASR(A) | 30.9 | 24.8 | 25.4 |
| 95\% CI | 23.1-40.4 | 24.1-25.6 | 25.0-25.9 |
| Ages 50-69 |  |  |  |
| Crude rate | 44.9 | 53.0 | 54.8 |
| ASR(A) | 46.4 | 53.0 | 54.6 |
| 95\% CI | 28.9-70.5 | 50.5-55.5 | 53.1-56.1 |

Notes

1. Only Queensland, Western Australia, South Australia, and the Northern Territory have Indigenous death registration data considered to be of a publishable standard; therefore data from these jurisdictions only are included in the analysis by Indigenous status.
2. 'Australia' includes all states and territories of Australia.
3. Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.
4. Deaths in the 'not stated' category are included in the column for all women, but they are not included in the other columns.

Source: AIHW National Mortality Database.

## Appendix A: Data and statistical issues

## Data sources

Multiple data sources were analysed to produce this report. These are summarised in Table A1. All data used in this report are based on calendar years.

Table A1: Sources for data presented in this report

| Indicator | Description | Data source |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Participation | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 2 | Cancer detection | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 3 | Sensitivity | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 4 | DCIS detection | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 5 | Recall to assessment | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 6 | Rescreening | BreastScreen Australia state and territory services |
| 7 | Incidence (ICD 174) | National Cancer Statistics Clearing House, AIHW |
| 8 | Mortality (ICD 174) | National Mortality Database, AIHW |

## Population data

The ABS estimated resident population (ERP) data were used to calculate screening participation, and cancer incidence and mortality rates.
Participation rates were calculated using the average of the 2001 and 2002 estimated resident female populations. The only exceptions to this were participation rates by socioeconomic status, by language spoken at home and by Indigenous status.

As the ABS does not calculate ERP by socioeconomic status or language spoken at home alternative methods were used to calculate the denominators for these rates. In the case of language spoken at home, the denominator was calculated by applying the age-specific distribution from the language question in the 2001 national population census to the relevant age-specific ERP counts. The denominator for rates based on socioeconomic status was calculated by applying an ABS concordance between statistical local area (SLA) and socioeconomic status to the relevant ERP by SLA counts.
The most recent direct count of the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population was carried out in the 2001 census. However, the ABS has released estimates of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander population for more recent years. The average of the direct count for 2001 and the estimated population for 2002 was used as the denominator for Indigenous participation rates.

## Mortality data

Mortality data in this report are given for 1988 to 2002. During this time, changes have been made to the coding and processing of mortality data that affect the comparability of the data. Data holdings for 1987-1996 were manually coded using the ninth revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9). Data holdings for 1997 onwards have been coded using ICD-10. These data were coded using an automated system with slightly different coding rules.
The change to the coding and processing of mortality data has introduced a break in the data time series. The ABS has developed comparability factors, which are applied to the pre-1997 data, so that a single time series may still be derived (ABS 2002). For breast cancer, the comparability factor is close to one.
The application of a comparability factor causes the number of deaths before 1997 to be noninteger. Rounding has been used to put the number of deaths into whole numbers.

## Statistical analysis of BreastScreen monitoring indicators

## Crude rates

A crude rate is defined as the number of events over a specified period (for example, a year) divided by the total population at risk of the event. For example, a crude cancer incidence rate is defined as the number of new cases of cancer in a specified period divided by the population at risk.

## Age-specific rates

An age-specific rate is defined as the number of events for a specified age group over a specified period (for example, a year) divided by the total population at risk of the event in that age group. Age-specific rates in this report were calculated by dividing the number of deaths, cancer cases or women participating in the screening programs in each specified age group by the corresponding population in the same age group.

## Age-standardised rates (ASR)

Age-standardised rates enable comparisons to be made between populations which have different age structures. This publication uses direct standardisation, in which the agespecific rates are multiplied by a constant population. This effectively removes the influence of the age structure on the summary rate.
As the National Health Data Dictionary recommends the use of the 2001 Australian total estimated resident population as the standard population for health statistics, this population has been used for age-standardising mortality, incidence and participation rates. For statistics based on the population of women screened - that is, cancer detection rates, interval cancer rates and program sensitivity - rates are standardised to the 1998 population of women screened by BreastScreen Australia.

The method used for all these calculations consists of three steps:
Step 1: Calculate the age-specific rate for each age group.
Step 2: Calculate the expected number of cases in each five-year age group by multiplying the age-specific rates by the corresponding standard population and dividing by the appropriate factor (that is, 100,000 for mortality and incidence rates, 10,000 for cancer detection and sensitivity rates, and 100 for the participation rate).

Step 3: Sum the expected number of cases in each age group, divide by the total of the standard population and multiply by the appropriate factor (that is, 100,000 for mortality and incidence rates, 10,000 for cancer detection and sensitivity rates, and 100 for the participation rate). This gives the age-standardised rate.

## Rate denominators

Death rates and cancer incidence rates are expressed in this report as annual rates per 100,000 population. Rates for cancer detection are calculated per 10,000 women screened. Screening participation rates are expressed as a percentage of the eligible population. Rescreen and recall-to-assessment rates are expressed as a percentage of women screened.

## Confidence intervals (CI)

The $95 \%$ confidence intervals in this report were calculated using a method developed by Dobson et al. (1991). This method calculates approximate confidence intervals for a weighted sum of Poisson parameters.
The confidence intervals are used to provide an approximate indication of the differences between rates. Where the confidence intervals of two rates do not overlap, the corresponding rates are statistically significantly different from each other. This is used to compare individual stratified rates with the all-Australia rate. To be truly rigorous, such a comparison should be between a given rate and the rate calculated from the all-Australia data excluding the data underlying the specific rate in the comparison. Presentation of such a comparison in this report would, however, be unnecessarily complex. The approximate comparisons presented might understate the statistical significance of some differences, but they are sufficiently accurate for the purposes of this report.
As with all statistical comparisons, care should be exercised in interpreting the results of the comparison. If two rates are statistically significantly different from each other, this means that the difference is unlikely to have arisen by chance. Judgement should, however, be exercised in deciding whether or not the difference is of any practical significance.

## Stratification variables

The data in this report are presented stratified by the age of the women at the time of screening (for the screening data), at the time of diagnosis (for the cancer incidence data) or at the time of death (for the cancer mortality data). A number of stratification variables apply to some or all of the data presented:

- state or territory
- geographic location
- socioeconomic status
- Indigenous status
- main language spoken at home
- tumour size
- screening round.


## State or territory

The state or territory reported is the one where screening took place (for the screening data) or where the diagnosis was made (for the cancer incidence data) or the place of usual residence for the cancer mortality data.
This means that it is possible for a woman to be double-counted in the screening data. If she was screened in one jurisdiction and then screened again less than two years later in another jurisdiction, both screens may be included in the participation rate. This should, however, have a negligible effect on the reported participation rates.

## Geographic location

In all previous reports, analysis of participation, incidence and mortality data by geographic region used the Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas (RRMA) classification. This classification was developed in 1994 by the then Department of Primary Industries and Energy and the then Department of Human Services and Health. It allows geographic regions to be classified into seven zones: two metropolitan, three rural and two remote.
This report uses a more recent geographic classification in place of RRMA. The new system, known as the Australian Standard Geographical Classification (ASGC), groups geographic areas into five classes. These classes are based on Census Collection Districts (CDs) and defined using the Accessibility/Remoteness Index for Australia (ARIA). ARIA is a measure of the remoteness of a location from the services provided by large towns or cities. A higher ARIA score denotes a more remote location. The five classes of the ASGC Remoteness classification, along with a sixth 'Migratory' class, are listed in Table A2.

Table A2: The Remoteness Areas for the ASGC Remoteness Classification

| Region | Collection Districts within region |
| :--- | :--- |
| Major cities of Australia | CDs with an average ARIA index value of 0 to 0.2 |
| Inner regional Australia | CDs with an average ARIA index value greater than 0.2 and less than or equal to 2.4 |
| Outer regional Australia | CDs with an average ARIA index value greater than 2.4 and less than or equal to 5.92 |
| Remote Australia | CDs with an average ARIA index value greater than 5.92 and less than or equal to 10.53 |
| Very remote Australia | CDs with an average ARIA index value greater than 10.53 |
| Migratory | Areas composed of off-shore, shipping and migratory CDs |

Source: ABS 2001.
The ASGC Remoteness classification is not directly comparable to the RRMA classification. Accessibility is judged purely on distance to one of the major urban centres. For example, the ASGC Remoteness classification allocates Hobart to its second group (Inner regional Australia) and Darwin to its third group (Outer regional Australia), whereas the RRMA classification grouped them together with the other capital cities.

## Socioeconomic status

Socioeconomic status was coded according to the Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage (IRSD). The IRSD is one of the socioeconomic indexes for areas (SEIFA indexes) developed by the ABS to categorise geographic areas according to their social and economic characteristics.

It is important to note that the IRSD relates to the average disadvantage of all people living in a geographic area. Hence any variability between groups based on the IRSD will probably be smaller than if the variability had been measured between individuals.

## Indigenous status

The BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming) specifies that Indigenous status should be coded as:

- Aboriginal
- Torres Strait Islander
- both Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander
- not Indigenous or
- not stated.

For the purposes of this report these categories were amalgamated and the data stratified into three categories:

- Indigenous
- not Indigenous or
- not stated.


## Main language spoken at home

The BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming) recommends that main language spoken at home be coded according to the four digit ABS Australian Standard Classification of Languages, 1998 (ABS cat. no. 1267.0). This report has collapsed the classification into the simple dichotomy of 'English' and 'Other language'.
Although this stratification is reported as 'main language spoken at home', practice varies between the jurisdictions as to how this information is collected. In some jurisdictions there may thus be some lack of comparability with the BreastScreen Data Dictionary definition of 'main language'.

In addition, some jurisdictions do not use the 'Not stated' classification. If main language spoken at home is not given, it is set to a default value. The default used is not the same for all jurisdictions. This means that the analysis based upon main language spoken at home should be interpreted with caution.

## Tumour size

Tumour size is the size in millimetres of the malignant lesion, and applies to invasive cancers only. For more details about this stratification, see the definition given in the BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming).

## Screening round

The BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary distinguishes between a woman's screening round in the national program and her round in the state or territory program. Round in the national program is used for this stratification in this report. However, it is not always possible to determine round in the national program, so for some women this stratification has been collected as round number in the state or territory program.

## BreastScreen Australia Data Dictionary

A data dictionary has been developed for the BreastScreen Australia Program (AIHW \& DoHA forthcoming). Summary definitions of key concepts and terminology used in this report are given in the glossary. More detailed definitions and explanations may be found in the data dictionary.

## Abbreviations

AACR: Australasian Association of Cancer Registries
ABS: Australian Bureau of Statistics
ACT: Australian Capital Territory
AIHW: Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
AHMAC: Australian Health Ministers Advisory Council
ARIA: Accessibility/Remoteness Index for Australia
ASGC: Australian Standard Geographical Classification
ASR: age-standardised rate
ASR(A): age-standardised rate, standardised to the Australian standard population
BSANAC: BreastScreen Australia National Advisory Committee
CD: Census Collection District
CI: confidence interval (see glossary)
DoHA: Australian Government Department of Health and Ageing
DCIS: ductal carcinoma in situ
ERP: estimated resident population
ICD: International Classification of Diseases
IRSD: Index of Relative Socio-economic Disadvantage
NBCC: National Breast Cancer Centre
NQMC: National Quality Management Committee
NSW: New South Wales
NT: Northern Territory
Qld: Queensland
RRMA: Rural, Remote and Metropolitan Areas classification
SA: South Australia
SES: socioeconomic status
SLA: statistical local area
Tas: Tasmania
Vic: Victoria
WA: Western Australia
WHO: World Health Organization

## Glossary

Administrative databases: observations about events that are routinely recorded or required by law to be recorded. Such events include births, deaths, hospital separations and cancer incidence. Administrative databases include the National Mortality Database, the National Hospital Morbidity Database and the National Cancer Statistics Clearing House Database.
Age-specific rate: a rate for a specific age group. The numerator and denominator relate to the same age group.
Age-standardised rate: weighted average of age-specific rates according to a standard distribution of the population by age to eliminate the effect of different age distributions and thus facilitate valid comparison of groups with differing age compositions.
Assessment: further investigation of a mammographic abnormality or symptom reported at screening. This includes women who choose assessment outside the Program.
Benign: not cancerous.
Cancer (malignant neoplasm): a term used to describe one of several diseases that result when the process of cell division, by which tissues normally grow and renew themselves, becomes uncontrolled and leads to the development of malignant cells. These cancer cells multiply in an uncoordinated way, independently of normal growth control mechanisms, to form a tumour. The tumour can expand locally by invasion or systemically by metastasis via the lymphatic or vascular systems. If left untreated, most malignant tumours eventually result in death.
Cancer death: a death where the underlying cause is indicated as cancer. People with cancer who died of other causes are not counted in the death statistics in this publication.
Confidence interval: a range determined by variability in data, within which there is a specified (usually $95 \%$ ) chance that the true value of a calculated parameter (for example, relative risk) lies.
Core biopsy: removal of a cylindrical sample of breast tissue under a local or general anaesthetic through a needle for microscopic examination.
Data: refers to the building blocks of health information, including observations from administrative databases and health survey data sets.
Ductal carcinoma in situ: a non-invasive tumour of the mammary gland (breast) arising from cells lining the ducts.
Early review: a woman is screened but not cleared for routine rescreening and instead is referred for further assessment within 6 to 12 months of the index screen.
Epidemiology: the quantitative study of the distribution and determinants of health-related states and events in populations and the application of this study to the control of health problems.
False negative: means that the test has incorrectly observed that the disease is not present.
False positive: means that the test has incorrectly observed that the disease is present.
Film reading: viewing of a radiographic depiction of the breast (a mammogram) to determine the presence or absence of an abnormality indicative of a tumour.
Fine needle aspiration biopsy: the sampling of cells from breast tissue for examination by a pathologist.

First screening round: see Screening round.
Incidence: see New cancer case.
Index screening year: the year for which the interval cancer rate and the program sensitivity rate are determined.
Index screens: all screening examinations performed within the index screening year.
Indicators: observations about data that have been analysed to provide a means of comparing measures of health within and between population groups.
Indigenous: a person of Aboriginal and/or Torres Strait Islander descent who identifies as an Aboriginal and/ or Torres Strait Islander person and is accepted as such by the community with which he or she is associated.
Information: observations about data that have been analysed to provide a means of comparing measures of health within and between population groups.
International Classification of Diseases: WHO's internationally accepted classification of death and disease. The tenth revision (ICD-10) is currently in use.
Interval cancer-invasive (as defined for national reporting purposes by Kavanagh et al. (1999), with minor changes pending endorsement by the National Advisory Committee):

- an invasive breast cancer diagnosed after completion of a negative screening episode and before the next screening examination (within 24 months from the date of the previous screen)
- a case of invasive breast cancer that is diagnosed at early review or in the interval between assessment and early review, where the recommendation for early review is six months or more from the screening date
- breast cancer diagnosed in a woman by BreastScreen Australia within 24 months of a negative screen (early rescreen) if the woman presents with a breast lump and/or clear or blood-stained nipple discharge in the breast in which the breast cancer was diagnosed, or
- an invasive breast cancer diagnosed between six and 24 months after a recommendation for assessment is made and a woman fails to attend assessment.
Invasive cancer: a tumour whose cells have invaded healthy or normal tissue.
Lymph node: masses of lymphatic tissue, often bean-shaped, that produce lymphocytes and through which lymph filters. These are located throughout the body.
Mammogram: a radiographic depiction of the breast.
Metastasis: the process by which a disease is transferred from one part of the body to another - for example, via the lymphatic system or the bloodstream.
Mortality: see Cancer death.
New cancer case: a person who has a new cancer diagnosed for the first time. One person can have more than one cancer and therefore may be counted twice in incidence statistics if it is decided that the two cancers are not of the same origin. This decision is based on a series of principles set out in more detail in a publication by Jensen et al. (1991).
Next scheduled screening examination: 24 months after previous screen unless the woman is recommended for annual rescreening, when the next scheduled screening examination is 12 months.
Population estimates: official population numbers compiled by the Australian Bureau of Statistics at both state and territory and statistical local area levels by age and sex, as at 30

June each year. These estimates allow comparisons to be made between geographic areas of differing population sizes and age structures.

Prevalence: the number of instances of a specific disease or other condition in a given population at a designated time.
Recruitment: strategies that aim to promote participation of women in the BreastScreen Australia Program through direct contact with women in the target age group and education of health practitioners and the general public. Women are encouraged to attend every two years.
Rescreening: the next screening examination after the screening episode in the index screening year.

Risk factor: an attribute or exposure that is associated with an increased probability of a specified outcome, such as the occurrence of a disease. Risk factors are not necessarily the causes of disease.

Screening: the performance of tests on apparently well people in order to detect a medical condition at an earlier stage than would otherwise be the case. As a screening test is not intended to be diagnostic, so a person with a positive or suspicious result must be referred for diagnosis and treatment.
Screening episode: includes screening examination and assessment. Early review within 6 to 12 months of an initial screen is not considered part of the screening episode.
Screening round: the first screening round is a woman's first visit to a mammography screening service; a subsequent screening round means that she has been screened before. If she attends for the fourth screening round, she has been screened three times before.

Screening round (first): a woman's first visit to a BreastScreen Australia mammography screening service.
Screening round (subsequent): a woman's visit to a BreastScreen Australia mammography screening service when she has attended such a service before.
Sensitivity: the proportion of people with a disease who have a positive test result for the disease.

Significant difference: where rates are referred to as significantly different, or one rate is deemed significantly higher or lower than another, these differences are statistically significant. Rates are deemed statistically significantly different when their confidence intervals do not overlap, since their difference is greater than what could be explained by chance. See 'confidence intervals' in Appendix A for more information.
Symptom: any evidence of disease apparent to the patient. For the purposes of this report, symptoms refer to a self-reported breast lump and/or blood-stained or watery nipple discharge.
Ultrasound: diagnostic method based on the reflection of ultrasonic sound waves generated through scanning of, in this case, the breast. The reflections are viewed on a computer screen or photograph and checked for variations in images.
Unit record file: observations containing person-specific records from health surveys and administrative databases that are unanalysed and not tabulated. This is the most basic form of data and cannot be accessed for general use without appropriate confidentiality measures being in place.

Women-years at risk: all women screened in the index screening year who are resident in the state or territory in which they are screened who have not reported a personal history of breast cancer.
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[^0]:    (a) Performance objective of the BreastScreen Australia Program as set out in the National Accreditation Standards (NQMC unpublished). Not applicable

[^1]:    Tables 13 and 14

[^2]:    Note: Rates are the number of deaths from breast cancer per 100,000 women and age-standardised to the Australian population at 30 June 2001.

[^3]:    Tables 57 and 58

[^4]:    Notes: Rates are the number of interval cancers detected per 10,000 women-years and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.
    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^5]:    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^6]:    Note: Rates are the number of women recalled for assessment as the percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^7]:    Note: Rates are the number of women attending for rescreening as a percentage of women screened and age-standardised to the population of women attending a BreastScreen Australia service in 1998.

    Source: AIHW analysis of BreastScreen Australia data.

[^8]:    Source: BreastScreen Australia.

[^9]:    Source: BreastScreen Australia.

[^10]:    Source: AIHW National Cancer Statistics Clearing House

