71 Patient BMI, morbidity and medication use in adults

Organisation supporting this study: Merck Sharp and Dohme (Australia) Pty Ltd

Issues: The proportion of general practice patients who are underweight, in a normal weight range, overweight or obese *according to their body mass index (BMI)*; the selected conditions for which adult patients are being prescribed a medication; the types of medications that are being prescribed for these conditions; the duration of each of the conditions since diagnosis.

Sample: 1,913 adult respondents (18 years and over) from 75 GPs; data collection period: 20/07/2004 – 23/08/2004.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of the adult respondents was similar to the distribution for all adult BEACH encounters, with the majority of patients (57.1%) being female. Patients aged 45–64 years accounted for 26.8% of the sample.

In this analysis, the standard method of BMI was applied to adults (aged 18+ years) only. Therefore, the sample size was 1,913 adult patients. Of the 1,735 patients for when BMI could be calculated, more than half (56.8%, 95% CI: 51.0–62.5) were overweight or obese and 7.6% (95% CI: 6.1–9.0) were underweight. One-third (35.7%, 95% CI: 32.8–38.6) had a normal BMI.

Of 1,913 adult respondents, one-quarter (25.4%) were taking a prescribed medication for hypertension, 12.1% for elevated cholesterol, 11.5% for osteoarthritis, 8.9% for depression, 7.3% for cardiovascular disease (CVD) or peripheral vascular disease (PVD), and 6.1% were taking a prescribed medication for diabetes type 2.

There were 649 prescribed medications for hypertension in adult patients. Perindopril and irbesartan were the most common medications (10.5% and 10.3% respectively). They were followed by ramipril (9.2%) and atenolol (8.9%).

Of 411 adult patients taking a prescribed medication for hypertension and responding to the question about duration of hypertension since diagnosis, 84.9% had suffered from hypertension for more than 24 months, 4.9% for about 24 months and 6.3% for approximately 12 months. The remainder (3.9%) had hypertension newly diagnosed.

In the overweight or obese adult respondents (n=985), about one-third (32.0%) were taking a prescribed medication for hypertension, 15.8% for elevated cholesterol, 14.2% for osteoarthritis, 10.0% for depression, 8.6% for diabetes type 2, and 8.5% for CVD or PVD.

Of the 750 underweight or normal weight adult respondents, 18.0% were taking a prescribed medication for hypertension, 9.2% for osteoarthritis, 7.9% for depression, 7.3% for elevated cholesterol, 6.3% for CVD or PVD, and 2.4% for diabetes type 2.

Overweight or obese adult patients were more likely to be taking a prescribed medication for hypertension, elevated cholesterol, and diabetes type 2, when compared with their underweight or normal weight adult counterparts.

For other related abstracts see: 55 Patient weight, perception of weight and weight loss, 68 Patient weight, perception of weight and weight loss in adults, 69 Patient weight, methods and medications tried for weight loss in adults and Section 4.1 Body mass index of adults.

Further reading:

Charles, J., Britt, H., & Knox, S. 2006, 'Patient perception of their weight, attempts to lose weight and their diabetes status', *Australian Family Physician*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 925–928.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENT CONDITIONS and MEDICATION USE.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

ASK THE PATIENT ALL

the following questions



72 Contraception use among female general practice patients aged 16–44 years

Organisation supporting this study: Janssen-Cilag Pty Ltd

Issues: The prevalence of contraception use in female patients aged 16 years or more and the type of contraception used; where an oral contraception pill (OCP) was prescribed for contraception, the name of OCP used; the type of prescription for the OCP, and the patient reported level of compliance with the OCP regimen.

Sample: 536 female patient respondents (aged 16 to 44 years) from 76 GPs; data collection period: 13/07/2004 – 30/10/2004.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

Five hundred and thirty-six women aged 16 to 44 years answered the questions on contraception. Nearly half (49.8, 95% CI: 42.9–56.8) were on a form of contraception. Of those on contraception, the majority were using an oral contraceptive (63.2%), 8.7% (23/266) were using implants, 5.3% (14/266) were using pharmacological injections, and 15.0% (40/266) were using condoms. Only 2 women were using diaphragms for contraception.

Just over half of young female patients aged 16–24 years were using a form of contraception (56.1%). Slightly fewer than half the women aged 25 to 44 years were using a contraceptive (47.4%). Women aged 25–44 years were less likely to be using oral contraceptives (27.8%, 95% CI: 22.3–33.4) than younger women (40.8%, 95% CI: 33.5–48.2).

Nearly one-quarter (24.4%) of women on oral contraceptives reported obtaining the contraceptive through private prescription. For private prescriptions the most common medication was cyptroterone/ethinyloestradiol (51.3%), followed by drospirenone/ethinylestradiol (20.5%) and levonorgestrel/ethinyloestradiol (20.5%). The most common oral contraceptive medications overall were levonorgestrel/ethinyloestradiol (63.3%), cyproterone/ethinyloestradiol (13.9%) and norethisterone/ethinyloestradiol (7.8%). Over half of the patients on oral contraceptives.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENTS USING CONTRACEPTIVES.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS



187

73 Warfarin use in patients with qualifying morbidity

Organisation supporting this study: AstraZeneca (Australia) Pty Ltd

Issues: The prevalence of conditions (or history of conditions) indicating anticoagulants as appropriate therapy; the proportion of these patients taking warfarin; the reasons for not taking warfarin for those conditions.

Sample: 2,572 respondents from 89 GPs; data collection period: 24/08/2004 - 27/09/2004.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age and sex distribution of this subsample was similar to the annual BEACH sample. Three per cent (n=79) had/had a history of atrial fibrillation, 1.3% (n=33) had/had a history of stroke, 1.2% (n=32) transient ischaemic attack, 1.2% (n=30) deep vein thrombosis and 0.7% (n=17) had/had a history of pulmonary embolism. Multiple listed conditions were allowed for a patient.

The majority of the 2,572 respondents, 93.2%, (95% CI: 91.4–95.0) had none of the listed conditions, and 159, 6.2% (95% CI: 4.5–7.9), had/had a history of one. Only 16 patients, 0.6% (95% CI: 0.3–1.0), had/had a history of two conditions.

Of 173 patients having/with a history of at least one listed condition and for whom age could be calculated, more than three-quarters (77.5%) were aged 65 years and over, 16.2% were between 45 and 64 years, and 6.4% were 25–44 years old. Of 173 patients having/with a history of at least one of the listed conditions and their sex recorded, about half (49.1%) were male.

Of 174 patients having/with a history of at least one of the listed conditions, 52.3% (95% CI: 39.3–65.3) were currently taking warfarin. Of 78 patients having/with a history of atrial fibrillation and responding to the question about warfarin use, 69.2% were currently taking warfarin. Among 17 patients having/with a history of pulmonary embolism, 14 (82.4%) were using warfarin. Of 30 patients having/with a history of deep vein thrombosis and responding to the warfarin use question, 70% (*n*=21) were currently taking warfarin.

Patients having/with a history of stroke or transient ischaemic attack, were less likely to use warfarin. Of 33 patients having/with a history of stroke and responding to the warfarin use question, eight (24.2%) were taking warfarin. Among 32 patients having/with a history of transient ischaemic attack and responding to this question, four (12.5%) were using warfarin.

Of 83 patients having/with a history of at least one of the listed conditions and responding to the question about reason(s) for not using warfarin (multiple response allowed), 30.1% (*n*=25) indicated that the risk of bleeding outweighs risk reduction, four (4.8%) indicated there were contraindications, three (3.6%) recorded drug interactions, eight (9.6%) were due to patient preference, nine (10.8%) patients were unable to cope with monitoring/dose adjustment, 47 (56.6%) were using anti-thrombotics other than warfarin, and 22 (26.5%) suggested other reasons.

There were 42 anti-thrombotics other than warfarin being used for the listed conditions. Of these aspirin was most common (54.8%, n=23), followed by clopidogrel (n=7, 16.7%) and aspirin +dipyridamole (n=6, 14.3%).

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENT USE OF WARFARIN.** *You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.*

INSTRUCTIONS



74 Smoking and passive smoking in the home

Organisation supporting this study: Australian General Practice Statistics and Classification Centre (AGPSCC)

Issues: Exposure to tobacco smoke in the home environment (all patients); the current smoking status of adult patients; attempts of daily smokers to quit or reduce tobacco use; years since quitting for previous smokers.

Sample: 2,789 respondents from 96 GPs; data collection period: 24/08/2004 – 27/09/2004. **Method:** Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority of patients (57.1%) being female. Patients aged 45–64 years accounted for 28.1% of the sample.

When asked about smoking in the home, almost half the respondents (47.8%) indicated 'smoking is permitted outside only' and a further one-third (32.2%) indicated that 'people are not permitted to smoke anywhere'. Smoking was permitted only in certain areas inside the home in 4.5% of respondents' households, in the house occasionally in 5.8%, or in the house frequently in 9.7% of households.

So, in the majority of households there was no passive smoke in the home (80.1%, 95% CI: 77.2–83.0). In a further 10.3% (95% CI: 8.3–12.3) of households there was limited passive smoke (where smoking is permitted only in certain areas, or smoking in the home is only occasional), and in 9.7% (95% CI: 7.8–11.6) of households there was unlimited passive smoke.

Patients aged 18 years and over were asked to indicate their smoking status. About half (49.1%) had never smoked, and 28.5% were previous smokers. Daily smokers accounted for 17.9% of the responding patients and a further 4.5% reported smoking occasionally.

Of the 434 adult daily smokers, data on their quit/reduction attempts during the previous 12 months was available for 420. Each could indicate more than one quit/reduction option attempted. Only 7.4% had successfully given up smoking for 1 month or more (but subsequently started again), and one-third (33.1%) had a failed quit attempt during the past 12 months. About one in ten adult daily smokers (11.2%) had changed to a lower tar or nicotine brand cigarette, and about a quarter (23.1%) had reduced the average number of cigarettes smoked per day.

In the previous 12 months: over one-third of adult daily smokers (37.9%) had attempted to quit smoking by either quitting for 1 month or more (then starting again) and/or having an unsuccessful quit attempt; over a quarter of all adult daily smokers (28.1%) had attempted to reduce smoking effects by changing brand and/or reducing the number of cigarettes smoked.

For other related abstracts see: 12 Smoking and passive smoking in general practice patients, 35 Smoking status of adults and their attempts to quit, 53 Smoking status of adults and their attempts to quit and Section 4.3 Smoking. Further reading:

Valenti, L., Charles, J., & Britt, H. 2005, 'Passive smoke in Australian homes: 1999 to 2004 [letter]', Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 387–388.

Doran, C. M., Valenti, L., Robinson, M., Britt, H., & Mattick, R. P. 2006, 'Smoking status of Australian general practice patients and their attempts to quit', *Addict.Behav.*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 758–766.

Degenhardt L, Knox S, Barker B, Britt H, Shakeshaft A. The management of alcohol, tobacco and illicit drug use problems by general practitioners in Australia. *Drug Alcohol Rev* 2005; 24(6):499–506.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **SMOKING** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

PLEASE NOTE:

The home smoking question is asked of <u>all</u> patients, but smoking status is only asked of patients <u>18 years of age and over</u>.

The term 'smoking' here is used to mean tobacco smoking of any kind, including cigarettes, pipes and cigars.

191



75 Prevalence, management and investigations for chronic heart failure

Organisation supporting this study: Roche Products Pty Ltd

Issues: Prevalence and severity of chronic heart failure (CHF) among patients attending general practice; types of management (whether the management was initiated by a GP or specialist, and the main objective of management); proportion of patients referred to a cardiac specialist; clinical investigations used to diagnose CHF.

Sample: 2,735 respondents from 95 GPs; data collection period: 28/09/2004 - 01/11/2004.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution of patients at all BEACH encounters, with the majority (60.4%) of patients being female.

The prevalence of CHF among the 2,735 respondents was estimated to be 4.2% (95% CI: 3.1–5.2). Mild CHF was diagnosed in 2.2% of patients, while 1.4% and 0.6% were diagnosed with moderate and severe CHF respectively. Of male patients, 4.8% were diagnosed with CHF compared with 3.7% of female patients. Patients aged 75 years and over had the highest age-specific rate of CHF (18.1%).

The medications most commonly used for the control of CHF were frusemide (30.3% of CHF medications), followed by digoxin (8.8%), ramipril (8.0%) and perindopril (5.6%). Specialists initiated 59.4% of CHF medications and GPs initiated the remainder (40.6%).

GPs used a scoring system (1=most important to 3=least important) to rank the factors they considered most important in the management of CHF. The factors of 'symptom management' (score 1.4, 95% CI: 1.2–1.6) and 'quality of life' (score 1.6, 95% CI: 1.4–1.8) were rated equally important in the management of CHF, and these were significantly more important than 'survival' (score 2.2, 95% CI: 2.0–2.4).

The majority (85.1%) of patients diagnosed with CHF had been referred to a cardiac specialist: 46.7% were referred more than 3 years ago, 21.5% were referred between 1 and 3 years ago, and the remainder (16.8%) had been referred during the previous 12 months.

Multiple investigations could be used in diagnosing CHF. Chest x-ray had been used in diagnosing CHF in 71.9% of cases, ECHO had been used in 74.6% of cases and ECG in 65.8% of cases. GPs had ordered 60.8% of chest x-rays, 25.3% of ECHOs and 56.7% of ECGs, with cardiac specialists ordering the rest.

For other related abstracts see: 31 Prevalence and severity of chronic heart failure, 38 Prevalence of chronic heart failure, its management and control, 57 Prevalence and management of chronic heart failure in general practice patients, 77 Heart failure-underlying causes and medication management, 90 Prevalence, management and investigations for chronic heart failure.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **CHRONIC HEART FAILURE.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS



76 Patients with risk factors for metabolic syndrome

Organisation supporting this study: Merck Sharp and Dohme (Australia) Pty Ltd

Issues: Prevalence of the following risk factors in patients attending general practice. All patients: triglycerides >150 mg/dL (1.68 mmol/L); blood pressure >130/85 mmHg; fasting glucose >110 mg/dL (6.1 mmol/L).

Males: waist circumference >102 cm (>40 ins); HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L). Females: waist circumference >88 cm (>35 ins); HDL cholesterol <50 mg/dL (1.29 mmol/L).

Sample: 2,845 encounters from 96 GPs; data collection period: 02/11/2004 - 06/12/2004.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of 2,845 respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority of patients (58.1%) being female.

None of the risk factors were present in 57.2% of the sample. One in five patients (22.7%) had one listed risk factor, 10.9% had two, 5.6% had three, 2.7% had four, and 1.1% (n=30) had all five.

However of the 674 male patients without any risk factors 81% did not know or had never been tested for at least one factor. This represented 45% of the 1,190 male patients surveyed. Only one-third of male patients (33.0%) knew their status on all five factors. Of the 1,654 female patients with no recorded risk factors, 89% did not know their status for at least one factor. Only one-third (31.8%) of female patients knew their status on all five factors. The number of risk factors present increased with age.

Among the 1,190 male respondents, 23.6% had blood pressure >130/>85 mmHg, 18.8% had a waist circumference >102 cm, 18.1% had triglyceride levels >150 mg/dL, 10.7% had HDLC <40 mg/dL and 10.3% had fasting glucose >110 mg/dL.

Among the 1,654 female respondents, one in five (20.7%) had blood pressure >130/>85 mmHg. Nearly one-quarter (23.3%) had a waist circumference of >88 cm and 14.9% had triglyceride levels >150 mg/dL, 7.6% had HDLC <50 mg/dL and 13.4% had fasting glucose >110 mg/dL.

Considering these results in terms of the number of patients for whom status was known: 26.9% of 1,275 females had blood pressure >130/>85 mmHg; 36.2% of 1,065 females had a waist circumference >88 cm; 29.3% of 873 females had triglyceride levels >150 mg/dL; 17.7% of 713 females had HDLC <50 mg/dL; 13.4% of 941 females had fasting glucose >110 mg/dL; 32.9% of 851 males had blood pressure >130/>85 mmHg; 28.7% of 780 males had a waist circumference >102 cm; 33.8% of 637 males had triglyceride levels >150 mg/dL; 23.5% of 541 males had HDLC <40 mg/dL and 18.9% of 646 males had fasting glucose >110 mg/dL.

Of the total respondents, 9.3% had metabolic syndrome defined as 3 or more of the nominated risk factors (4.8% males and 4.5% of females). For males, 392 had been tested for all risk factors and 103 (31.3%) had metabolic syndrome defined as 3 or more of the nominated risk factors. For females, 525 had been tested for all risk factors and 102 (19.4%) had metabolic syndrome defined as 3 or more of the nominated risk factors.

For other related abstracts see: 92 Metabolic syndrome and ethnic origin.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **PATIENT RISK FACTORS for METABOLIC SYNDROME.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask <u>ALL</u> of the <u>next 30 PATIENTS</u> the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please **<u>DO NOT</u>** select patients to suit the topic being investigated.

The first three questions are for <u>all</u> patients.

The last two questions are slightly different for **male** and **female** patients because health risks occur at different levels for males and females when assessing abdominal obesity and HDL (high density lipoprotein) cholesterol.

For each risk factor, if you do not know a level because the patient is someone you have not seen before, or if the patient has never been tested, please tick the 'don't know / never tested' option.

Does this patient have any of the following:-		Yes	No	Don't know / never tested	(Male patients) Waist circumference >102 cm (>40 ins)	Yes	No	Don't know / never tested
	Triglycerides >150 mg/dL (1.68 mmol/L)				HDL cholesterol <40 mg/dL (1.03 mmol/L)			
	Blood pressure >130/>85 mmHg				(Female patients)		п	п
BL67C	Fasting glucose >110 mg/dL (6.1 mmol/L)				HDL cholesterol <50 mg/dL (1.29 mmol/L)			

77 Heart failure—underlying causes and medication management

Organisation supporting this study: Janssen-Cilag Pty Ltd

Issues: The prevalence of heart failure in patients attending general practice; severity of heart failure in these patients; the underlying causes of heart failure; the health professional who initially diagnosed the heart failure; current medication management, and the rate of hospitalisation of patients with heart failure.

Sample: 2,660 respondents from 91 GPs; data collection period: 07/12/2004 – 17/01/2005. **Method:** Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution of the total BEACH sample with the majority of patients (56.3%) being female. Patients aged over 75 years accounted for 16.9% of the sample.

The severity of heart failure was defined using the New York Heart Association Classification. There were 4 classes, Class I (least severe) to Class IV (most severe). Class I heart failure was diagnosed in 2.1% of general practice patients, while 2.7%, 0.9% and 0.2% were diagnosed with Class II, III and IV heart failure respectively.

The prevalence of diagnosed heart failure in the general practice patient sample was 6.1% (95% CI: 4.7–7.6) (n=163). In male patients, 6.3% had been diagnosed with heart failure compared with 5.9% of female patients. Patients aged 75+ had the highest age-specific rates, with 23.3% diagnosed with heart failure.

Multiple responses were allowed to the question about the underlying causes of heart failure. A total of 241 causes were given. Of the 163 patients with heart failure, 93 (57.1%) had hypertension and 89 (54.6%) had ischaemic heart disease as the underlying cause(s) of heart failure. Twenty (12.3%) had acute myocardial infarction, and 39 (23.9%) had causes other than the three above-mentioned conditions.

Initial diagnosis of heart failure was made by a GP for 61.9% of patients, by a cardiologist for 33.1%, and the remaining patients (5.0%) were diagnosed by an 'other health professional'.

For each heart failure patient, up to five medications for heart failure could be recorded by the GP. Three or more medications for heart failure were taken by one-third (33.8%) of heart failure patients. On average each patient took two medications for heart failure. There were a total of 338 medications listed for the 163 heart failure patients.

The medication most commonly used for the control of heart failure was frusemide, followed by digoxin, perindopril, and carvedilol (23.1%, 9.8%, 7.4% and 5.9% of heart failure medications respectively).

Of the 153 heart failure patients responding to the question about hospitalisation for heart failure, the majority (83.0%) had not been hospitalised in the past 12 months. Eighteen (11.8%) were hospitalised for decompensated/exacerbated heart failure, four (2.6%) were hospitalised for medication change, and nine (5.9%) were hospitalised for other reasons.

For other related abstracts see: 31 Prevalence and severity of chronic heart failure, 38 Prevalence of chronic heart failure, its management and control, 57 Prevalence and management of chronic heart failure in general practice patients, 75 Prevalence, management and investigations for chronic heart failure, 90 Prevalence, management and investigations for chronic heart failure, 90 Prevalence, management and investigations for chronic heart failure.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **HEART FAILURE**. You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being investigated.

Heart failure Please indicate by ticking the appropriate box whether this patient currently has heart failure at a level classified as follows:-Class I - patients with no limitation of activities; they suffer no Hospitalisations symptoms from ordinary activities. Medications If the patient was hospitalised Class II - patients with slight, mild limitation of activity; they are Please advise which medication/s because of their heart failure comfortable with rest or with mild exertion this patient is taking for heart failure during the previous 12 months, Class III - patients with marked limitation of activity; they are please incidated the reason comfortable only at rest management, including the name Class IV - patients who should be at complete rest, confined to & form, the strength, dose and using the tick boxes. Tick as bed or chair; any physical activity brings on discomfort and symptoms frequency of use for each many reasons as apply. occur at rest. (New York Heart Association Classificaton) medication. In the spaces provided, please If 'No' you should end the questions here. write in the number of times the patient was hospitalised and the length of stay (in days) for their Underlying cause(s) Initial diagnosis most recent hospitalisation. If 'YES' please advise the Please advise who initially diagnosed heart failure in this patient (i.e., GP / underlying cause(s) of cardiologist / other health professional). this patient's heart failure. How many times has this patient been hospitalised Does this patient suffer Underlying cause(s) of Heart failure medications currently taken are? Heart failure was because of their heart failure in the past 12 months? initially diagnosed by: the heart failure are: Name & Form Strength from heart failure? Dose Frequency Most recent GP GP No. of times Yes - Class I Hypertension □ None Length of stay 1. Yes - Class II Cardiologist Decompensated/ 2. days Yes - Class III exacerbated Other health 3. Yes - Class IV professional:-Other:- Medication change days 4. Yes - Class unknown days 5. \square No \rightarrow End questions (please specify) (please specify) BL 68B

78 NSAID & acid suppressant use in general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: AstraZeneca (Australia) Pty Ltd

Issues: Proportion of patients taking non-specific non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, COX-2 inhibitors or aspirin, the indications for their use, therapeutic regimen and duration of therapy; proportion also taking an acid suppressant medication, their therapeutic regimen and duration of therapy; proportion of patients on all NSAIDs with existing or pre-existing gastrointestinal disorders; the relationship of acid suppressant and NSAID use and the reason for that relationship.

Sample: 2,783 respondents from 96 GPs; data collection period: 07/12/2004 – 17/01/2005 **Method:** Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age and sex distributions of respondents were similar to the distribution for all BEACH (general practice) encounters, with the majority (56.2%) of patients being female.

Three-quarters (75.8%) of the patients were not on any NSAID or aspirin medication. Eleven per cent were taking aspirin, 7.7% were taking a non-specific NSAID and 6.9% were taking a COX-2 inhibitor. Regimens combining two or more of the medications were uncommon (1.3% of patients).

Non-specific NSAIDS were most commonly (71.0%) used as necessary. However most (52.8%) patients had been taking them for over 12 months with 16.3% taking NSAIDs continuously for more than 12 months. Most (54.0%) COX-2 inhibitors were used continuously and 34.0% had been used continuously for over 12 months. Almost all (89.2%) patients reported in this study as taking aspirin were taking it continuously and most (82.1%) for more than 12 months.

A quarter (25.0%) of 144 patients were taking non-specific NSAIDs for various forms of arthritis while this was the indication for almost three-quarters (70.8%) of the 127 patients taking COX-2 inhibitors. In contrast 9 out of 10 (90.2%) of 204 patients were on aspirin for preventive care.

About one-third (31.5%) of NSAID patients had at least one gastrointestinal (GI) condition. The vast majority (27.8%) of these were GI symptoms with small numbers of peptic ulcers (3.4%) or GI bleeds (2.2%).

Almost a third of patients on NSAIDS were taking acid suppression medication (32.0%). The rate of acid suppression medication use was significantly higher for those on COX-2 inhibitors (40.9%, 95% CI: 33.0–48.8), than for those on non-specific NSAIDS (22.1%, 95% CI: 16.2–28.0) but not statistically different from the rate for patients on aspirin (34.2%, 95% CI: 27.6–40.8).

The most common acid suppression medication was a proton pump inhibitor (65.2% of listed medications) followed by H2RA inhibitors (17.8%) and antacids (17.0%). Acid suppressants were most commonly taken for treatment of GI symptoms with smaller numbers being taken for prevention of symptoms (16.0%). Almost a third (31.6) of acid suppressants were being taken for reasons unrelated to NSAID therapy.

For other related abstracts see: 49 Health status and management of patients on non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, 88 Arthritis rates and NSAID use in general practice patients, 29 Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) and acid suppressant use.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **NSAID and acid supressant use.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being

investigated.

Medication use

Please indicate by ticking the appropriate box whether this patient is **currently taking** a **NSAID** and/or aspirin and write in the space provided the reason for the NSAID/ aspirin use.

<u>NB</u> - selective Cox-2 NSAIDs include coxibs (Celebrex) <u>AND</u> meloxicam (Mobic)

Please circle an option to advise whether the NSAID and/or aspirin is taken as required (prn) or continually and the duration of usage (in months). Include any NSAID and/or aspirin that will be commenced following today's consultation.

If <u>no</u> NSAID or aspirin is being taken you should tick the box marked 'None of the above' and end the questions here.

If a NSAID and/or aspirin <u>is</u> being taken please advise whether the patient is **also** taking any acid suppressant medication, either prescribed or an over-the-counter (OTC) preparation. Write in the space provided the name of the acid suppressant and circle an option to indicate whether it is being taken as required or continually, and the duration of usage (in months).

Conditions

Please use the tick boxes to indicate the **patient's status** regarding the listed conditions.

Circle an option to advise whether this condition currently exists or is one of which the patient has a history.

Related medication use

If the patient's acid suppression use is related to their NSAID / aspirin use, please use the tick boxes to indicate the reason.

	\checkmark				\checkmark	\checkmark
	<u>Medication</u>	<u>Reason</u>	<u>Taken</u>	For how many months?	Depathic particul have any of the	Is the acid suppression use related to
Is this patient taking any	Non-specific NSAID		pm/continually	<3 / 3-6 / 6-12 / >12	Does this patient have any of the	the patient's NSAID/aspirin use?
of the following			pm/continually	<3/3-6/6-12/>12	following?	
medications?			pin/commodily	-070070127012	GI Bleeding existing/history of	
			pm/continually	<3 / 3-6 / 6-12 / >12		Yes - prevention of GI symptoms
	None of the above _	End questions	(please circle)	(please circle)		Yes - treatment of ulcer or bleed
It so, are they also taking any acid suppressant	🗆 Yes		pm/continually	<3 / 3-6 / 6-12 / >12	GI symptoms existing/history of	Yes - prevention of ulcer or bleed
medication (prescribed	Yes		pm/continually	<3/3-6/6-12/>12	(eg ayspepsia, reilux) (please circle)	D other
or OTC) (please specify) \rightarrow			(please circle)	(please circle)	None of the above BL68C	No (please specify)

79 Hypertension and dyslipidaemia—comorbidity and management in general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: Pfizer Australia Pty Ltd

Issues: The prevalence of diagnosed hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia in patients attending general practice; comorbidities experienced by patients with diagnosed hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia; current medications used to treat diagnosed hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia; other treatments used for diagnosed hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia.

Sample: 2,874 respondents from 97 GPs; data collection period: 18/01/2005 – 21/02/2005.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution of the total BEACH sample, with the majority of patients (56.9%) being female. Respondents aged between 45 and 64 years accounted for 29.3% of the sample, and 27.2% of the respondents were aged 65 years or more.

Diagnosed hypertension was present in 1,050 patients (28.1%, 95% CI: 25.1–31.0), while 24.0% of patients had diagnosed dyslipidaemia (95% CI: 20.9–27.0). Both conditions were present in 423 of these patients (15.2% of the 2,789 encounters where the status of both conditions was known). Just over one-third of patients (36.7%) had either hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia.

The presence of listed comorbidities was questioned for patients with diagnosed hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia. Of the 832 respondents diagnosed with hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia who completed the question on comorbidities, half (49.9%) did not have any of the listed conditions (49.9%). The most common condition listed as a comorbidity was diabetes (27.0%), followed by ischaemic heart disease (21.9%). Heart failure (8.1%), peripheral vascular disease (6.5%), stroke (6.3%) and renal disease (5.5%) were less common.

Details regarding the use of 14 specified medications were also asked of patients with diagnosed hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia. Four of the medications listed were lipid lowering medications, and 10 were anti-hypertensives. The majority of patients with hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia who responded to the question on medications (n=1,032) were taking only one medication (39.9%), while 31.3% of patients were taking two of the medications listed. There were 12.2% of patients not taking any of the listed medications.

Of the listed lipid lowering medications, the most frequently used was atorvastatin (23.7%). Other statins were used by 24.0% of patients. The most commonly used anti-hypertensives were ACE inhibitors (31.3%), followed by beta-blockers (17.3%) and angiotensin-2 receptor antagonists (13.2%).

For the 126 patients not taking medications for the treatment of hypertension and/or dyslipidaemia, the most common reasons for non-medication (multiple response allowed) were treatment of the condition with diet (82.5%), followed by treatment with exercise (53.2%).

For other related abstracts see: 15 Lipid lowering medication, 20 Screening and management of blood cholesterol, 26 Prevalence of diagnosed hypertension and difficulties in treatment, 30 Lipid lowering medications and coronary heart disease, 46 Coronary heart disease, risk factors and lipid lowering medication, 58 Lipid lowering medications: patient eligibility under PBS, 59 Hypertension management and control in general practice patients, 64 Current use of statins by general practice patients, 67 Risk factors of patients on lipid lowering medications, 97 Statin medication use among high CHD risk patients attending general practice, 98 Management of hypertension and angina in general practice patients, 99 Lipid management in patients with high risk conditions.

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **HYPERTENSION and DYSLIPIDAEMIA.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please **DO NOT** select patients to suit the topic being investigated.

Hypertension and / or dyslipidaemia Please indicate by ticking the appropriate bo whether this patient currently has diagnosed hypertension and / or dyslipidaemia	x I				
If neither condition has been diagnosed, either today or previously, you should end tl questions here.	he	Medications Please use the tick b which medication/s currently taking for I or dyslipidaemia. Tic	ooxes to advise If this patient is o hypertension ta ck as many as n	Non-use of medication f the patient has hypertension or dyslipidaemia but is NOT taking a medication for management of either condition,	
Other co-morbin Please advise with has any of the l as many as appl If the patient has conditions, pleas 'none of the ab	dity hether the patient also isted conditions. Tick y. none of these te tick the box labelled ove'.	apply.	fc n lf v T	or what reason is a medication not being taken? The reason is not listed, please write it in the space provided. Tick as many as apply.	
Does this patient have diagnosed If 'yes' to either, - Hypertension Yes Diabetes - t Does this patient have diagnosed If 'yes' to either, Diabetes - t Diabetes - t Does this patient have diagnosed Is chaemic Diabetes - t Diabetes - t No Renal disect Diabetes - t Stroke (cun Heart failur Diabetes - t	do they also have: Tick all patien type I or II atorvas heart disease other sta vascular disease fibrate use bile acid rent or history) diuretic e beta-bla	nt's current medications for hyperitation tatin ACE inhibiter atin AT2 antage atin AT2 combiner d sequestrant amlodipiner other DHP Coord other DHP Coord	ertension or dyslipidaemia for combination onist ☐ none → ination ⇒ (tick as many CCB as apply) CB	If the patient is taking NO medication for hypertension or dyslipidaemia, why not? (fick as many treated with diet as apply) treated with exercise intolerance to medication Other	
None of the	e above 🛛 🗖 ACE inhi	ibitor 🗌 other (inclu	uding alpha-blocker)	(please specify) BL69B	

80 Employment status and workers compensation claims in general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: Australian General Practice Statistics and Classification Centre (AGPSCC) on behalf of the National Occupational Health and Safety Commission

Issues: Types of problems managed for patients aged 15 years and over from different workforce categories and industries; work-related problems; workers' compensation claim status of work-related problems; reasons for not claiming workers' compensation for work-related problems.

Sample: 5,513 respondents aged 15 years and over from 211 GPs; data collection period: 18/01/2005 – 28/03/2005.

Method: Detailed SAND methods are provided in Chapter 2.

Methods for this study: A card listing the industries of employment was provided to participating GPS. Patients were asked to select the industry in which they are currently employed.

Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of patients was the same as the average BEACH results for 2003–2004, with the majority (57.4%) being female. Employment data were recorded for 5,486 patients aged 15 years and over, and 41.5% (95% CI: 38.9–44.2) of them were employed or self-employed.

Of the 2,205 currently employed or self-employed patients who answered the question on industry of employment, 19.1% (95% CI: 17.2–21.1) worked in health and community services, 11.1% (95% CI: 8.7–13.4) worked in manufacturing and 10.1% (95% CI: 8.6–11.6) were in the retail trade.

A total of 3,095 problems were managed for the employed/self-employed patients, most commonly skin or musculoskeletal problems which together made up about one-quarter of these problems. Musculoskeletal problems were the most common problems managed for patients working in the manufacturing, transport, construction and recreational services industries, while skin problems were most common for those in health and community services and the retail industry. Respiratory problems were the most common for government, defence and education workers.

There were 235 work-related problems managed, accounting for 5.7% of the total. Almost one-third of skin injuries managed for these patients were work-related as were almost 30.0% of back complaints and sprains/strains. One-quarter of fractures and acute stress reactions managed for these patients were work-related.

Of the 235 work-related problems, almost 70.0% were managed at workers' compensation claimable encounters. The most common of these problems was back complaint, followed by sprain/strain. At encounters not covered by workers' compensation, the most common work-related problem managed was complete medical examination, followed by acute stress reaction.

For the 67 problems that were managed at encounters where a workers' compensation claim was not made, GPs recorded 37 reasons for not claiming. Most frequently the reason given was 'not serious enough'. Less frequently the reason was that the patient was self-employed. *For other related abstracts see: 6 Employment status and workers' compensation claims, 11 Patient employment status and occupation.*

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about **WORK RELATED CONDITIONS.** You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.

INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions

in the order in which the patients are seen.

Please **<u>DO NOT</u> select patients** to suit the topic being

investigated.

Patient employment statusAsk all patients 15 years of age and over, how they would describe their current employment status.Include part-time and casual employment.Select ONE category only.	Industry of emp Please give the Industry of emp card from your kit and ask them the industry in v are currently en (either self-employed by and the tick boxes to patient's respons For industries not tick box list, sele from this list → and write it in the labelled 'other'	ployment e patient the ployment research in to advise which they mployed oyed or other). Use indicate the se. ot on the ect a number he box	 1 - 5 are listed in the section 6. Mining 7. Electricity, Gas & Water Supp 8. Wholesale Trade 9. Retail Trade 10. Accommodation, Cafes & Restaurants 11. Communication Services 12. Finance & Insurance 13. Property & Business Services 14. Government Administration & 15. Education 16. Cultural & Recreational Services 17. Personal & Other Services 	below y Defence es	Workers' compensation claims If the patient had a work-related problem managed at today's encounter but has NOT made or WILL NOT make a workers' compensation claim for that problem, please advise the reason for <u>not</u> claiming.		
What is this patient's current employment status?	1	If currently	1. Manufacturing	If no workers'	Not serious enough		
L Employee Student (not	employed)	employed or	2. Health & Community Services	compensation claim was ma	de 🛛 Self-employed		
Self-employed Retired	5	self-employed,	3. Transport and Storage	for a work rela	ited Covered by other means		
Student and employed Not working	due to health is the patien		4. Construction	managed tode	ay, (eg employer paid)		
	. r	mainly	5. Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	what was the	Didn't know I could claim		
Home duties HL69C	lease specify)	working?	Other (please enter number from card)	claiming?	Dither		

Industry of employment

- 1. Manufacturing
- 2. Health & community services
- 3. Transport & storage
- 4. Construction
- 5. Agriculture
- 6. Mining
- 7. Electricity, gas & water supply
- 8. Wholesale trade
- 9. Retail trade
- 10. Accommodation, cafes & restaurants
- 11. Communication services
- 12. Finance & insurance
- 13. Property & business services
- 14. Government administration & defence
- 15. Education
- 16. Cultural & recreational services
- 17. Personal & other services