## 15 SAND abstracts and research tools

Since BEACH began in April 1998, a section on the bottom of each encounter form has been used to investigate aspects of patient health or health care delivery not covered by general practice consultation-based information. These additional substudies are referred to as SAND (Supplementary Analysis of Nominated Data). The SAND methods are described in Section 2.4. All substudies have been approved by the AIHW Ethics Committee (on behalf of the AIHW and the University of Sydney).
The AGPSCC and participating stakeholders of the BEACH program select topics for investigation in each of the SAND studies. In each BEACH year, up to 20 substudies can be conducted in addition to the study of patient risk behaviours (see Chapter 14). Topics are often repeated to increase the size of the sample and its statistical power.
Data from the SAND substudies conducted in the first year of BEACH (1998-99) were published in Measures of health and health care delivery in general practice in Australia. ${ }^{78}$
Abstracts of results and research tools for the SAND studies undertaken in 1999-2006 were published in Patient-based substudies from BEACH: abstracts and research tools 1999-2006 in July 2007.11 Abstracts and research tools for substudies conducted in 2006-07 that were not included in that report were published in General practice activity in Australia 2006-07.2
This chapter includes the abstracts and research tools for SAND substudies conducted from April 2007 to January 2008. SAND substudies conducted in February and March 2008 will be reported in General practice activity in Australia 2008-09 to be published in 2009.
Abstracts of results from all SAND studies are also available from the FMRC's website <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.
The subjects covered in the abstracts from 2007-08 BEACH year are listed in Table 15.1, with the sample size for each topic.

Table 15.1: SAND abstracts for 2007-08 and sample size for each

| Abstract <br> number | Subject | Number of <br> respondents | Number <br> of GPs |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 111 | Adverse drug events in general practice patients | 8,602 | 294 |
| 112 | Prevalence and management of chronic pain | 3,131 | 108 |
| 113 | Management of hypertension and hypercholesterolaemia among general practice | 3,160 | 112 |
| 114 | patients | 5,924 |  |
| 115 | Chronic kidney disease among general practice patients | 2,784 | 195 |
| 116 | Schizophrenia and bipolar disorder among general practice patients | 3,374 | 116 |
| 117 | Lipid management in patients with high-risk conditions | 8,834 | 301 |
| 118 | Risk factors for osteoporosis among general practice patients | 2,613 | 89 |
| 119 | Management of diabetes among general practice patients | 5,989 | 204 |
| 120 | Management of asthma among general practice patients | 2,987 | 101 |
| 121 | Gastrointestinal symptoms and management among general practice patients | 3,293 | 112 |

# SAND abstract number 111 from the BEACH program 2007-08 

## Subject: Adverse drug events in general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: Australian GP Statistics and Classification Centre

Issues: The proportion of general practice patients who have experienced an adverse event resulting from the use of a medication during the preceding 6 months. The number, cause and severity of these adverse events, GP confidence in causation and number of resulting hospitalisations.
Sample: 8,602 encounters from 294 GPs; data collection period: 16/01/2007-19/02/2007; 17/07/2007-20/08/2007; 25/09/2007-29/10/2007.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority ( $60.1 \%$ ) of patients being female.
Of the 8,602 respondents, 801 ( $9.3 \%$; CI: $8.4-10.3$ ) had experienced an adverse drug event in the previous 6 months. Among male patients, $7.5 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 6.4-8.6) reported having an adverse drug event, significantly lower than the $10.5 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 9.4-11.7) of female patients. The proportion of patients who reported an adverse drug event increased with age group of patient from $3.3 \%$ of infants $<1$ year to $13.1 \%$ of patients aged 75 years or more.
Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) were the medication group most frequently reported as the cause of adverse events, but only accounted for $6.1 \%$ of the medications, due to the wide variety of medications named. HMG CoA reductase inhibitors (statins) were the second most commonly reported, accounting for $5.0 \%$ of the total adverse event medications. Of the 822 medications, the most common individual medications causing adverse events were amoxicillin, which accounted for $3.9 \%$, paracetamol/codeine (3.2\%), perindopril ( $3.0 \%$ ) and atorvastatin ( $2.9 \%$ ).
Of 783 adverse drug events, GPs indicated that in $75 \%$ the cause was a recognised side-effect. Drug sensitivity was the reported cause in $9.5 \%$, and allergy in $8.4 \%$. Just $0.8 \%$ indicated drug interaction as the cause, and contraindication was recorded in only one case ( $0.1 \%$ ).
For $48.1 \%$ of patients, the adverse drug events were classed as mild, for $41.3 \%$ they were moderate, and for $10.5 \%$ they were classed as severe.
Of 764 patients with an adverse drug event for whom this information was known, 35 (4.6\%) were hospitalised due to the event. Of 369 patients with a mild event, two ( $0.5 \%$ ) were hospitalised, of 317 patients with a moderate event, $9(2.8 \%)$ were hospitalised, and of the 77 patients with a severe event, 24 ( $31.2 \%$ ) were hospitalised.
Information regarding GP confidence in causality was available for 781 of the 801 patients with an adverse event. On a scale of 1 to 6 ( $1=$ not confident to $6=$ completely confident) the median level of confidence was 5 . For almost $40 \%$ of events, the level was 'completely confident'.

The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.


# SAND abstract number 112 from the BEACH program 2007-08 

## Subject: Prevalence and management of chronic pain

Organisation supporting this study: Janssen-Cilag Pty Ltd

Issues: The prevalence of chronic pain in patients attending general practice; causal conditions of the chronic pain: cancer, osteoarthritis, other arthritis, back problems, other conditions; the severity of pain; current methods for chronic pain management for these patients; reasons for non-use of opioids when opioids were not used.
Sample: 3,131 respondents from 108 GPs; data collection period: 27/03/07-30/04/07 and 21/08/07-24/09/07.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method: 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>. Chronic pain grades were defined according to Von Korff M, Ormel J et al. Pain 1992; 50(2):133-149. Pain was graded from Grade I (low disability/low intensity) to Grade IV (high disability/high intensity).

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of the sample reflected that of all BEACH participants. Of the 3,131 respondents, 548 ( $17.5 \%$; $95 \%$ CI: 15.0-20.0) reported having chronic pain. The prevalence of chronic pain increased significantly with patient age ( $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ). Sex-specific rates showed no significant difference between males and females in the prevalence of chronic pain.
Of the 548 patient with chronic pain, 543 advised causal condition (multiple responses were allowed). Of these, $84.9 \%(n=461)$ reported one causal condition only, $13.4 \%(n=73)$ reported two and $1.7 \%(n=9)$ reported three conditions. Nearly half $(49.7 \% ; n=270)$ of patients with chronic pain indicated osteoarthritis as a cause, $30.4 \%(n=165)$ indicated back problems, $7.9 \%(n=43)$ other arthritis, $3.1 \%(n=17)$ cancer, and a further $25.6 \%(n=139)$ indicated 'other conditions' as a cause of their chronic pain. Of the 118 known 'other conditions' $49.2 \%$ were musculoskeletal in nature.
Of the 548 patients with chronic pain, 529 provided responses about severity of pain. Of these, $30.6 \%$ were at Grade I, $37.2 \%$ at Grade II, $25.5 \%$ at Grade III, and $6.6 \%$ at Grade IV. There was no significant difference in the average pain grading (Grade II) across causal conditions, although $11.8 \%$ of patients with back problems were at Grade IV compared with $5.0 \%$ of patients with osteoarthritis, and $2.4 \%$ of patients with other arthritis. Grade IV pain was also reported in $9.7 \%$ of patients with other conditions, and 2 of the 17 patient with cancer ( $11.8 \%$ ).
Management method responses were provided for 538 of the 548 patients with chronic pain. The majority ( $79.2 \%$; $n=426$ ) used medication only, while $11.7 \%(n=63)$ used medication and other methods, $2.6 \%(n=14)$ used other methods only (for example, physiotherapy, exercise, massage), and $6.5 \%(n=35)$ were using neither medication nor other methods. The most commonly used medications were 'other analgesics' ( $42.0 \% ; n=226$ ). NSAIDs/COX-IIs were taken by $29.6 \%(n=159)$ of patients, weaker opioids (e.g. tramadol, codeine preparations) by $28.6 \%(n=154)$, and antidepressants by $8.9 \%(n=48)$ of patients. The most common reasons for not taking opioids were that they were not needed ( $46 \% ; n=134$ ), side effects $(14.8 \% ; n=43)$, patient choice ( $12.1 \% ; n=35$ ), and concerns about dependence ( $5.0 \% ; n=15$ ).


# SAND abstract number 113 from the BEACH program 2007-08 

## Subject: Management of hypertension and hypercholesterolaemia among general practice patients

Organisations supporting this study: AstraZeneca Pty Ltd (Australia)

Issues: The prevalence of diagnosed hypertension (HT) and/or hypercholesterolaemia in general practice patients; the proportion of these patients who also have diagnosed chronic heart failure (CHF), microalbuminuria, diabetes type 2, left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH); the medications taken for the management of HT and/or hypercholesterolaemia, and the proportion that are taking no medication for either condition, or are managing their HT/hypercholesterolaemia with diet and exercise only; the proportion for whom a change to medication regimen was made at that encounter, and the reasons for change.

Sample: 3,160 respondents from 112 GPs; data collection period: 27/03/2007-30/04/2007 and 21/08/2007-24/09/2007.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of the respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority of patients ( $59.3 \%$ ) being female.
Of the 3,160 patients, 873 ( $27.6 \%, 95 \%$ CI: $24.6-30.7$ ) had HT and $690(21.8 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 19.5-24.2) had hypercholesterolaemia. Three and a half per cent of patients with HT and $5.6 \%$ of those with hypercholesterolaemia had been diagnosed at today's encounter. There were 1,115 patients ( $35.3 \%$ ) who had HT and/ or hypercholesterolaemia. Of the 3,160 patients, $13.5 \%$ had HT only, $7.7 \%$ had hypercholesterolaemia only, $14.2 \%$ had both conditions and $64.7 \%$ had neither condition. Of the 1,115 patients with HT and/ or hypercholesterolaemia, $5.7 \%$ had CHF, $4.0 \%$ had microalbuminuria, $16.2 \%$ had type 2 diabetes mellitus, and $4.0 \%$ had LVH.
Of the 1,115 respondents, 1,110 provided information about current treatment, of whom 86.1\% were currently taking at least one HT/hypercholesterolaemia medication and $13.9 \%$ were not currently taking medication. Of the 1,189 medications taken by 794 patients for the management of HT, perindopril was the most commonly prescribed medication ( $10.0 \%$ of HT medications). Of the 539 medications taken by 518 patients for the management of hypercholesterolaemia, atorvastatin was the most commonly prescribed medication ( $45.3 \%$ of hypercholesterolaemia medications). There were 154 ( $13.9 \%$ ) patients who were not taking a medication for either condition.
One in twelve patients ( $8.7 \%$ ) were managed with diet/exercise alone, $85.7 \%$ ( $n=951$ ) were managed with medication alone, and 57 patients ( $5.1 \%$ ) were not being managed with either medication or diet/exercise. A change in the medication regimen was made at today's encounter for 126 patients ( $11.4 \%$ ). No change was made for 984 patients ( $88.7 \%$ ). The reason for change was indicated for 113 patients, with lack of BP control being the main reason (52.2\%).

The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

## PLEASE READ CAREFULLY

The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about HYPERTENSION and CHOLESTEROL MANAGEMENT． You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms．

## INSTRUCTIONS

Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions in the order in which the patients are seen．
Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being investigated．
Reason／s for regimen changes Reason／s for regimen changes main reason／s for altering the patient＇s hypertension or cholesterol management medication regimen．Tick as many as apply． Please specify a reason not listed by writing this reason in the space below the box
labelled＇other reason＇． $\qquad$ 들 현 $\square \square \square \square \square$

회 ロロロロロ | The main reason／s for | Medication added／changed to／dose changed： |
| :--- | :--- |
| alter |  | altering regimen is： $\square$ Cough

0
0
0
0
8
0
0
0
0
0
0
$\square$
$\square$




## $\longrightarrow$


 ．

```
Changes to medication
```

Please advise whether the patient＇s medication regimen for either condition If the medication／s or regimen for either hypertension or high cholesterol will
stop or change，please continue with the questions．
If a medication is to be stopped，please
circle a number to indicate which
medication／s（from those listed in Q．2） will cease．Change to medication／s or regimen includes：adding another taken；changing a medication for a different one；changing the dosage of a current medication，either by an increase or decrease．
If the medication／s and regimen for both will remain unchanged you should END the questions here．
PLEASE READ CAREFULLY
The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about HYPERTENSION and CHOLESTEROL MANAGEMENT．
You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms．

| Patient conditions <br> Please use the tick boxes to | Current hypertension or high cholesterol therapy |
| :---: | :---: |
| indicate whether this patient has byppertension or hyper－ cholesterolaemia，and | Note：－for patients with hypertension or hypercholesterolaemia only |
| whether the condition was diagnosed at a previous encounter（previous）or is a mew diagnosis（new） resulting from today＇s visit． | Please write the name and regimen for medications currently taken （i．e．prior to today＇s visit）for the management of hypertension or hypercholesterolaemia．Please use the |
| If the patient does not have hypertension or hyper－ cholesterolaemia，you should end the questions | tick boxes on the right hand column to indicate whether each medication is for hypertension（HT）or cholesterol（Chol） management． |
| here．If the patient has either hypertension or hyper． cholesterolaemia，please advise whether or not they also have any of the other listed conditions．Tick as | If no medications for hypertension or high cholesterol were taken prior to today＇s encounter，please tick the box labelled＇No current HT／Chol medication＇． |
| many as apoly． | If cholesterol is managed with diet and exercise only，please tick the box |

Does this patient have Diagnosis－$\quad$ HT or high cholesterol therapy（prior to this visit）；（for） $\mid$ From today＇s visit， any of these conditions？previous new Name strength dose frea HT chot the patient＇s regimen $\square \square$ changed as follows： $\square$ stopped medication
$\leftarrow 1$
$\leftarrow 1$
$\leftarrow 1 \begin{array}{llll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \square\end{array} \quad$ cicice
$\square$ add／change med＇n
 ercise only $\square$ NO CHANGE $\rightarrow$ End

## SAND abstract number 114 from the BEACH program 2007-08

## Subject: Chronic kidney disease among general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: Abbott Australasia Pty Ltd

Issues: The proportion of patients attending general practice who have undergone a kidney function test in the previous 12 months; prevalence of chronic kidney disease among patients attending general practice; the stage of kidney disease for these patients; the comorbidities and risk factors of patients with chronic kidney disease; the management of chronic kidney disease for patients attending general practice.

Sample: 5,924 respondents from 195 GPs; data collection period: 01/05/2007-04/06/2007 and 25/09/2007-29/10/2007.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>. Stages of disease were defined according to National Kidney Foundation Guidelines.

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of the sample reflected that of all BEACH participants. Of the 5,924 respondents, $2,960(50.0 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 46.2-55.1) had had a kidney function test in the previous 12 months, $31.5 \%(n=1,867)$ a glomerular function test, $45.6 \%(n=2,699)$ a serum creatinine test, and $3.7 \%(n=219)$ another kidney function test. Age-specific test rates showed that the likelihood of being tested increased significantly with patient age, with $85.4 \%$ of patients aged 75 years and over having been tested. Sex-specific rates showed no significant difference between males and females in the proportion tested.
Of the 5,729 patients for whom a response was recorded, 332 ( $5.8 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 4.8-6.8) had been diagnosed with chronic renal failure/chronic kidney disease (CRF/CKD). Of the 332, $73.8 \%$ had been diagnosed by a GP and $26.2 \%$ by a specialist. While there was no difference in the diagnosed prevalence between males and females, the age-specific rate showed that $24.1 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 20.5-27.7) of patients aged 75 years and over had diagnosed CRF/CKD.
Of the 322 diagnosed patients with a response about comorbidities, $75.8 \%$ had hypertension, $46.3 \%$ had dyslipidaemia, $34.2 \%$ had diabetes, $21.1 \%$ had proteinurea, $10.9 \%$ had anaemia and $1.6 \%$ had hyperparathyroidism. Of patients with CRF/CKD $6.8 \%$ were current smokers, and $9.3 \%$ had none of the listed conditions. Stage of disease was provided for 328 of the 332 diagnosed patients. The majority ( $55.8 \%$ ) were at Stage 3. For patients aged 75 years and over $63.8 \%$ were at Stage 3, while only $1.1 \%$ of patients in this age group were at Stage 1 of the disease.
Management method responses were provided for 326 ( $98.2 \%$ ) CRF/CKD patients. Half ( $51.1 \%$ ) were being managed by a GP only, more that one-third ( $38.7 \%$ ) by a GP and specialist, and $10.2 \%$ by a specialist only. Of the 222 respondents to questions about the type of management, $67.1 \%(n=149)$ were managed by diet; $14.4 \%(n=32)$ by Vitamin D supplements; and $56.3 \%(n=125)$ were managed with other methods, most commonly the management of risk factors and other diseases including: cardiovascular problems, diabetes, dyslipidaemia or anaemia. Less frequent managements were haemopoetic agents, dialysis, advice about fluids, and avoidance of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.
The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.


# SAND abstract number. 115 from the BEACH program 2007-08 <br> Subject: Type 2 diabetes among general practice patients 

## Organisations supporting this study: National Prescribing Service Ltd

Issues: The prevalence of Type 2 diabetes among patients attending general practice, their most recent HbA 1 c level and the duration since their last test; their current blood pressure level; the proportion of these patients currently taking aspirin and/or clopidogrel, an ACE inhibitor; the proportion who also have ischaemic heart disease (IHD), cerebrovascular disease (CVD), peripheral vascular disease (PVD), microalbuminuria/ proteinuria.
Sample: 2,784 respondents from 86 GPs; data collection period: 01/05/2007-04/06/2007.
Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.

## Summary of results

Of the 2,784 respondents, 215 ( $7.7 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 6.6-8.9) had Type 2 diabetes. Prevalence increased steadily by patient age from $0.4 \%$ of patients aged under 24 years to $18.8 \%$ of those aged 65-74 years. It then decreased slightly (though not significantly) to $15.3 \%$ among patients aged 75 years and over. Where patient sex was provided ( $n=2,758$ ), prevalence was estimated as $8.9 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 7.1-10.8) among males and $6.8 \% ~(95 \% ~ C I: ~ 5.6-8.1) ~ a m o n g ~ f e m a l e s, ~$ these results were not significantly different.
Of 192 patients with Type 2 diabetes for whom HbA1c levels were recorded, over half ( $54.7 \%$ ) had an optimal HbA1c level of $<=7.0 \%$ ( $n=105$ ), with the remaining $45.3 \%(n=87)$ having levels considered high (>7.0\%). Of the patients who had a HbA1c level > 7.0\%, one-third had a $\mathrm{HbA1c}$ level greater than $8.0 \%$.
The time since the last HbA1c was provided for 169 patients, 146 ( $86.4 \%$ ) of whom had been tested in the previous 6 months and $18(10.7 \%)$ in the previous $7-12$ months, with 5 patients $(3.0 \%)$ having not had a HbA1c test for more than 12 months.
Blood pressure (BP) was recorded for 192 of the 215 patients with Type 2 diabetes. Two-fifths ( $40.6 \%$ ) of these had BP defined as high-normal according to the National Heart Foundation classification, $16.7 \%$ had normal BP, $34.4 \%$ had isolated systolic hypertension and $8.3 \%$ had high BP.
Of 205 respondents with Type 2 diabetes who provided medication information, half (48.3\%) were taking aspirin only ( $39.0 \%$ prescribed; $9.3 \%$ OTC), and $5.9 \%$ were taking clopidogrel only. There were four patients ( $2.0 \%$ ) who were taking both prescribed aspirin and clopidogrel. Overall, $56.1 \%$ of patients were taking aspirin, clopidogrel or both medications.
Two-thirds ( $n=136$ ) of patients for whom ACE inhibitor status was provided $(n=206)$ were taking an ACE inhibitor medication. Eighty-one patients (39.7\%) were taking an ACE inhibitor with aspirin or clopidogrel, and 53 patients were taking the ACE inhibitor alone.
Almost half ( $47.6 \%$ ) of 206 respondents with Type 2 diabetes also had at least one of the four listed cardiovascular related conditions/symptoms (IHD 33.5\%, CVD 9.2\%, PVD 15.1\% and microalbuminuria/ proteinuria $18.9 \%$ ).
The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.


# SAND abstract number 116 from the BEACH program 2007-08 

## Subject: Schizophrenia and bipolar disorder among general practice patients

## Organisations supporting this study: Janssen-Cilag Pty Ltd

Issues: The proportion of patients attending general practice who had a history of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder; the management plans these patients were on (shared care plan with a community mental health centre (CMHC), private psychiatrist, treatment order or a discharge plan from hospital or CMHC); number of GP visits by these patients in the previous 3 months; management of general health risk factors in these patients.

Sample: 3,374 respondents from 116 GPs; data collection period: 5/06/2007-16/07/2007 and $30 / 10 / 2007-31 / 11 / 2007$.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.

## Summary of results

Patient sex was provided at 3,353 encounters, with $60.7 \% ~(95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 57.9-63.6)$ being female patients, which is slightly higher than the proportion in the BEACH 2006-07 data ( 56.3 95\% CI: 55.5-57.1). Patient age was provided at 3,349 encounters. The age distribution of patients was similar to that reported for all 2006-07 BEACH encounters.
Of the 3,374 respondents, 50 had a history of schizophrenia (schizophrenia/ schizoaffective/ schizophreniform/paranoid psychosis) ( $1.5 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 1.0-1.9), and 36 had a history of bipolar disorder ( $1.1 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 0.6-1.5), with no differences in age- and sex-specific rates.
Of the 48 patients with schizophrenia who responded, $20(41.7 \%)$ were being managed as part of a shared care program with a CMHC; 11 ( $22.9 \%$ ) with a management plan with a private psychiatrist, and over one-third with none of the listed plans. Of the 32 patients with bipolar who responded, nearly half ( $n=15,47 \%$ ) were using none of the listed plans, onethird $(n=11,34 \%)$ had a management plan with a private psychiatrist, and $5(16 \%)$ had a shared care plan with a CMHC.
Of the 46 patients with schizophrenia who responded, the median number of visits to a GP in the previous 3 months was 5.0, and for the 40 who responded, the median number of visits where schizophrenia was managed was 3.0. Of the 34 bipolar patients who responded, the median number of visits was 2.5 , and for the 31 who responded the median number of treatment visits was 1.0. Nearly half of the bipolar patients $(n=14,45 \%)$ did not have their bipolar treated in the previous 3 months.

Of the 49 schizophrenia patients who responded, 27 ( $55 \%$ ) had their cardiovascular risks/ hypertension checked or managed; 25 ( $51 \%$ ) had obesity/high BMI checked or managed; and 24 (49\%) had diabetes/high blood glucose checked or managed, either at the current encounter or in the previous 3 months. Of the 33 bipolar patients who responded, 23 ( $70 \%$ ) had their cardiovascular risks/hypertension checked or managed; 12 ( $36 \%$ ) had obesity/ high BMI checked or managed; and over half had diabetes/high blood glucose checked or managed ( $n=20,61 \%$ ), either at the current encounter or in the previous 3 months.

[^0]Available support

| Available support |
| :--- |
| In managing patients with mental heath |
| problems, do you have support in your |
| practice from any of the following sources? |
| if none are available, please tick the box |
| labelled 'none of the above'. |

Doyou have support in your practice from:
Please note: In each category we
are asking for the number of nurses
and how many dlays (or part days)
per week they work so that we can
calculate the full-time equivalents
for these.

PLEASE READ CAREFULLY
The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about SCHIZOPHRENIA and BIPOLAR DISORDER. You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.
INSTRUCTIONS
Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions in the
order in which the patients are seen.
Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being investigated.

## Frequency of management

Please write in the spaces provided the approximate number of times the patient has visited a GP for any reason in the past 3 months. Use patient recall, your notes or knowledge, to give the best estimate Please also write the approximate number of GP visits at which their schizophrenia/ bipolar disorder was managed during to know the number for either, please tick the box labelled 'don't know'

## Health screening




# SAND abstract number 117 from the BEACH program 2007-08 <br> Subject: Lipid management in patients with high-risk conditions 

Organisations supporting this study: Merck, Sharp \& Dohme (Australia) Pty Ltd and AstraZeneca Pty Ltd (Australia).
Issues: Prevalence of selected high-risk conditions among patients attending general practice; current lipid levels; whether target levels were met; lipid lowering management; proportion who had cholesterol test in conjunction with current encounter; proportion ever managed by a specialist for dyslipidaemia; type of specialist; future management plan.
Sample: 8,834 patients from 301 GPs; data collection period: 06/06/2006-14/08/2006, 05/06/2007-16/07/2007 and 30/10/2007-03/12/2007.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>. High-risk conditions listed: coronary heart disease (CHD), diabetes, hypertension, familial hypercholesterolaemia, elevated cholesterol, family history of CHD and peripheral vascular disease. This abstract is an update of SAND abstract number 99, as additional data were collected in 2007-08.

## Summary of results

The age and sex distributions of respondents were similar to the distributions for all BEACH encounters, with the majority ( $59.1 \%$ ) of patients being female.
From the 8,834 encounters, $3,725(42.2 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 40.2-44.1) patients had at least one of the listed high-risk conditions, the most common being hypertension and elevated cholesterol ( $24.6 \%$ and $18.1 \%$, respectively). Age-specific rates increased with age to $79.7 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 77.0-82.5) among patients aged 75 years and over. One-fifth of patients ( $21.7 \%$ ) indicated they had only one of the listed high-risk conditions and $20.5 \%$ had two or more. The rest of these analyses are limited to the 3,725 encounters with patients with at least one listed high-risk condition.
Total cholesterol (TC) level was provided for 2,928 patients; the average TC level was 5.1 $\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$. Female patients had a significantly higher average level (5.3, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 5.2-5.3$ ) than males (4.9, $95 \%$ CI: 4.9-5.0). GP opinion was $55.5 \%$ of 2,600 respondents had reached target TC levels. Average high density lipoprotein (HDL) level was $1.5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ (among 2,448 respondents), $82.8 \%$ (of 2,139 respondents) having reached target HDL level. Average low density lipoprotein (LDL) level was $2.9 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ (among 2,367 respondents), $59.7 \%$ (of 2,069 respondents) having reached target level. Average triglyceride (TG) level was $1.7 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}$ (among 2,783 respondents), $73.8 \%$ (of 2,364 respondents) having reached target TG level.
Of 3,410 patients for whom information on current lipid medication was available, 1,442 (42.3\%) were currently taking 1,471 lipid medications. Atorvastatin accounted for $47.7 \%$, simvastatin for $31.5 \%$ and pravastatin for $9.7 \%$ of these. Of 2,527 respondents, $57.7 \%$ indicated diet and/or exercise advice was a current lipid management strategy.
Of the 3,506 respondents to the question on cholesterol monitoring, $32.1 \%$ were tested in conjunction with the current consultation. Specialists had at some time managed $11.3 \%$ of 3,387 patients for dyslipidaemia, usually a cardiologist ( $63.1 \%$ of 287 patients for whom specialist type was recorded). Of the 3,462 respondents, changes to medication were planned for $15.1 \%: 2.9 \%$ to increase the dose of the same medication; $2.1 \%$ to add a new medication.

The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.
PLEASE READ CAREFULLY
The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about PATIENT LIPID LEVELS and MANAGEMENT. You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { FOR THE DOCTOR } \\
& \text { Please use the tick boxes } \\
& \text { to indicate whether this } \\
& \text { patient has any of the } \\
& \text { listed risk factors. } \\
& \text { Tick as many as apply. } \\
& \text { If the patient has none of } \\
& \text { these conditions please } \\
& \text { end the questions here. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Peripher. vasc. disease

# SAND abstract number 118 from the BEACH program 2007-08 

## Subject: Risk factors for osteoporosis among general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: National Prescribing Service Ltd

Issues: The proportion of patients on medication for osteoporosis; type of medication taken: bisphosphonate, raloxifene, hormone replacement therapy, teriparatide, strontium, vitamin $D$, calcium; risk factors and history of fracture after minor trauma; proportion with history of fracture referred for bone mineral density (BMD) scan or x-ray; proportion diagnosed with osteoporosis.

Sample: 2,613 patients from 89 GPs; data collection period: 17/07/2007-20/08/2007.
Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>. Osteoporosis risk factor test from International Osteoporosis Foundation: <www.iofbonehealth.org>.

## Summary of results

The age-sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with the majority of patients $(60.0 \%)$ being female.
Of 2,218 respondents to the medication question, $343(15.5 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 12.7-18.3)$ were using at least one of the listed medications for osteoporosis: 204 patients ( $9.2 \%$ ) used a calcium supplement; 142 ( $6.4 \%$ ) a bisphosphonate, 84 patients ( $3.8 \%$ ) a vitamin D supplement, and 52 patients ( $2.3 \%$ ) used hormone replacement therapy.
There were 617 patients ( $23.8 \%$ of 2,592 respondents) who had at least one risk factor and/or had suffered a fracture after minor trauma, and the incidence was significantly higher for female patients ( $28.9 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 25.1-32.7) than for male patients ( $15.9 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 12.4-19.3). The likelihood of risk factor and/or fracture after minor trauma rose significantly with age of patient: $11.0 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 8.0-14.1) among those aged $25-44$ years, $30.2 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}: 25.2-35.2$ ) among those aged 45-64 years, 39.9\% (95\% CI: 33.9-45.8) among those aged 65-74 years, and a marginally higher rate, $52.9 \%$ ( $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 45.8-60.0), among patients aged 75 years and over.

More than half $(51.9 \%)$ of the 617 patients who had at least one of the risk factors and/or fracture had been referred previously for screening. Of the 293 patients for whom screening method was known, $47.8 \%$ were referred for bone mineral density scan, $37.2 \%$ for both x-ray and BMD, and $15.0 \%$ for an x-ray only. A significantly greater proportion of female patients were referred for screening compared with male patients: of 446 female patients, $59.4 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 53.0-65.9) had been referred for screening, while among 163 male patients, 31.3\% (95\% CI: 21.7-40.8) had been referred.

Of 312 respondents who had been screened, just over half ( $n=162,51.9 \%$ ) were diagnosed with osteoporosis. Over half ( $54.1 \%$ ) of the 159 patients whose aged was known were aged 75 years and over. There was no significant difference between screened male and female patients in the likelihood of diagnosed osteoporosis. Fracture information was available for 154 of the 162 osteoporosis patients, with over two-thirds ( $68.2 \%$ ) having had a fracture. Of 156 respondents with osteoporosis, $92.3 \%$ were taking at least one of the listed medications.

[^1]

# SAND abstract number 119 from the BEACH program 2007-08 <br> Management of diabetes among general practice patients 

Organisation supporting this study: Sanofi-Aventis Australia Pty Ltd
Issues: The prevalence of Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes in patients attending general practice; frequency and type of referrals given in past year for patients with diabetes; proportion of patients taking insulin or other medications for diabetes management; type of insulin used.

Sample: 5,989 patients from 204 GPs; data collection period: 21/08/2007-24/09/2007 and 01/12/2007-21/01/2008.

Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.

## Summary of results

The age and sex distribution of respondents was similar to the distributions for all BEACH encounters. Of the 5,989 respondents, 561 ( $9.4 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 8.3-10.4) had either Type 1 or Type 2 diabetes. The majority of patients had Type 2 diabetes $(8.5 \%$ of respondents, $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : $7.4-9.5, n=506$ ), and 55 patients ( $0.9 \%$ of respondents, $95 \%$ CI: $0.6-1.3$ ) had Type 1 diabetes.

The proportion of patients with Type 2 diabetes rose significantly with age of patient, to $17.7 \%$ of those aged $65-74$ years. Males ( $10.6 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 9.1-12.0) were significantly more likely than females ( $6.8 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 5.7-7.9) to have Type 2 diabetes. Age and sex did not influence the prevalence of Type 1 diabetes.

Of the 55 patients with Type 1 diabetes, 47 responded to referral questions and 42 ( $89.4 \%$ ) had received referrals in the previous year. Patients with Type 1 diabetes were most often referred to ophthalmologists ( $63.8 \%$ of patients, $n=30$ ), endocrinologists $(59.6 \%, n=28)$ and diabetes nurses $(38.3 \%, n=18)$. Of 481 respondents with Type 2 diabetes, at least one referral had been given to $86.9 \%$ of patients in the previous year $(n=418)$. The majority of referrals were to ophthalmologists ( $63.0 \%$ of patients), followed by podiatrists ( $35.1 \%$ ), diabetes nurses (34.9\%), dietitians (34.1\%) and endocrinologists (23.1\%).
Of the 47 patients with Type 1 diabetes who responded to medication use questions, insulin use was reported by $59.6 \%(n=28)$. Of these, 25 patients $(53.2 \%)$ were using basal insulin, 5 (10.6\%) used intermediate-acting insulin and 15 (31.9\%) used fast-acting insulin. Twenty patients $(42.6 \%)$ were taking 27 diabetes medications other than insulin. Of these medications, metformin was taken by 11 patients ( $40.7 \%$ ), gliclazide by $8(29.6 \%)$ and glimepiride by 2 ( $7.4 \%$ ).
For patients with Type 2 diabetes, 488 responded to medication use questions, and $70(14.3 \%)$ were using insulin. Basal insulin was used by 49 patients ( $10.0 \%$ ), intermediate-acting insulin by $17(3.5 \%)$ and 16 used fast-acting insulin ( $3.3 \%$ ). Medications other than insulin were taken by 341 patients $(69.9 \%)$. Of these, more than half were taking metformin ( $53.3 \%$ ) and 145 gliclazide (29.1\%).

The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.


# SAND abstract number 120 from the BEACH program 2007-08 <br> Management of asthma among general practice patients 

Organisations supporting this study: AstraZeneca Pty Ltd (Australia)

Issues: The prevalence of asthma in the general practice population; severity of asthma; frequency of general practice visits by patients with asthma; frequency of general practice visits where asthma is managed; time since last asthma visit; medications taken for the management of asthma; type and provider of asthma management at the current encounter.
Sample: 2,987 patients from 101 GPs; data collection period: 30/10/2007-03/12/2007.
Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>. For this study, severity classes for children and adults were adapted from the National Asthma Council Asthma Management Handbook (1998).

## Summary of results

The age distribution of respondents was similar to the distribution for all BEACH encounters, with patients aged 45-64 years accounting for $27.0 \%$ of encounters. There were significantly fewer male patients in this study ( $39.0 \%, 95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ : 35.7-42.3) compared with all BEACH encounters ( $43.7 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 42.9-44.5).

Of the 2,987 respondents, 403 ( $13.5 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 11.9-15.1) had been diagnosed with asthma. Prevalence among children ( $0-17$ years, $n=398$ ) was $17.1 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 12.7-21.4), and among adults ( $n=2,577$ ) was $13.0 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI: 11.2-14.7). The age-specific rate of asthma was highest for those aged $15-17$ years ( $33.3 \%$ ), steadily declining to $9.9 \%$ of those aged 75 years and over. There was no difference in the prevalence of asthma between males ( $12.4 \%$ ) and females (14.2\%).
For $80.6 \%$ of children with asthma who answered the severity question $(n=67)$, severity was 'infrequent'. Of the 330 patients aged 18 years and over with asthma, severity was 'very mild' for $42.7 \%$, 'mild' for $29.4 \%$, 'moderate' for $22.1 \%$ and 'severe' for $5.8 \%$.
Of 392 respondents with asthma, $10.2 \%$ had not visited a GP for any reason in the previous 12 months, $9.4 \%$ had visited once, $28.8 \%$ had between 2 and 4 visits, and $51.5 \%$ had more than 4 visits. For 396 respondents, $46.2 \%$ had not had asthma managed in the previous 12 months, $23.5 \%$ once, and $30.3 \%$ twice or more. Of 171 respondents who had not had asthma managed in the previous 12 months, $70.2 \%$ stated that it was more than 2 years since their asthma had been managed by a GP.
Of 392 respondents who answered the question about medication use, $77.3 \%$ were taking at least one of the medications listed; over half ( $53.6 \%$ ) a short-acting beta agonist (SABA); and $30.1 \%$ a combination inhaled corticosteroid/long-acting beta agonist (ICS/LABA). More than one in five patients ( $22.7 \%$ ) were not taking any asthma medication.
Asthma had been managed at 76 of the encounters. Management of asthma by the GP most often involved general questions about asthma ( $72.4 \%, n=55$ ). Asthma symptoms were discussed with the GP at $65.8 \%$ of encounters ( $n=50$ ) and therapy was reviewed at $55.3 \%$ $(n=42)$. Practice nurses were rarely involved in asthma management at these encounters.

The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.

## Severity of asthma reference card

## Children

| Severity* | Common features |
| :--- | :--- |
| Infrequent <br> episodic | Episodes 6-8 weeks or more apart and from 1to 2 days up to 1-2 weeks duration; usually <br> triggered by URTI or environmental allergen; attacks generally not severe; symptoms rare <br> between attacks; normal examination and lung function except when symptomatic. |
| Frequent <br> episodic | Attacks <6 weeks apart; attacks more troublesome; minimal symptoms such as exercise induces <br> wheeze between attacks; normal examination and lung function except when symptomatic; <br> commonly troubled through winter months only. |
| Persistent | Symptoms most days; nocturnal asthma > 1/wk with sleep disturbance; early morning chest <br> tightness; exercise intolerance and spontaneous wheeze; daily use of beta2 antagonist; <br> abnormal lung function; history of emergency room visits or hospital admissions. |

## Adults

| Severity* | Common features |
| :---: | :---: |
| Very mild | Episodic |
| Mild | Occasional symptoms (up to $2 / \mathrm{wk}$ ); exacerbations >6-8 weeks apart; normal $F E V_{1}$ when asymptomatic |
| Moderate | Symptoms most days; exacerbations <6-8 weeks apart which affect day-time activity and sleep; exacerbations last several days; occasional emergency room visit. |
| Severe | Persistent; limited activity level; nocturnal symptoms > $1 / \mathrm{wk}$; frequent emergency room visits and hospital admission in past year; FEV 1 may be significantly reduced between exacerbations. |

* The severity classes are adapted from the NAC Asthma Management Handbook 1998 edition, updated March 2002



## SAND abstract number 121 from the BEACH program 2007-08

## Subject: Gastrointestinal symptoms and management among general practice patients

Organisation supporting this study: Janssen-Cilag Pty Ltd

Issues: The proportion of patients who have had listed gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms: heartburn or epigastric pain, acid regurgitation, early satiety, nausea/vomiting, bloating, belching; severity of symptoms; the proportion of patients with GI symptoms who had sought treatment and the source of treatment; whether GP was the source of treatment, diagnosis and regimen of medication prescribed/advised.

Sample: 3,293 patients from 112 GPs; data collection period: 4/12/2007-21/01/2008.
Method: Detailed in the paper entitled SAND Method 2007-08 available at <www.fmrc.org.au/publications/SAND_abstracts.htm>.

## Summary of results

There were some differences in the age-sex distribution, with fewer patients aged 5-14 years and fewer males ( $40.0 \%$, $95 \%$ CI: $37.3-42.8$ ), compared with all 2006-07 BEACH encounters (43.7\%, 95\% CI: 42.9-44.5).

Of the 3,293 respondents, 990 ( $30.1 \%, 95 \%$ CI: 27.0-33.2) had experienced heartburn, reflux or other GI symptoms, and over two-thirds of these patients indicated the problem was current or in the previous 12 months. GI symptoms were significantly more common in the older age groups ( $40.6 \%$ of those aged $65-74$ years and $39.5 \%$ of those aged 75 years and over). Heartburn or epigastric pain was indicated for $79.3 \%$ of 986 respondents, and acid regurgitation for $41.0 \%$. Early satiety was the least common symptom, indicated for only $5.5 \%$ of patients. For the majority of patients the severity of GI symptoms was defined as mild or moderate. However, for $20.2 \%$ of patients with heartburn or epigastric pain, and for $17.2 \%$ of patients with bloating the symptoms were severe.
Of 980 respondents to a multiple response question on treatment, 768 ( $78.4 \%$ ) had sought treatment. Of these, $28.5 \%$ had sought treatment from a supermarket/pharmacy, and, of 166 supermarket/pharmacy medications recorded, mylanta accounted for $41.0 \%$ and quick-eze for $25.9 \%$.
Treatment had been sought from a GP by 654 patients ( $85.2 \%$ of those who sought treatment), and a diagnosis was recorded for 562 of these respondents: 437 ( $77.8 \%$ ) were diagnosed with oesophageal disease, and for $89.9 \%$ of these patients the management was medication. Esomeprazole accounted for over one-quarter ( $26.9 \%$ ) of the 581 initial medications prescribed by the GP, followed by omeprazole ( $20.7 \%$ ) and pantoprazole (13.6\%).

Medication review status could be calculated for 502 patients. For 296 patients ( $59.0 \%$ ) on an initial medication, there was no change after review. Medication was ceased after review for $13(2.6 \%)$ patients. For 104 patients ( $20.7 \%$ ), the medication was changed to a new medication after review. Information was available for 308 patients on the approximate number of months into treatment when the initial medication was first reviewed. Of these, $47.4 \%$ were reviewed 1 month into treatment with an initial medication.

[^2]\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{PLEASE READ CAREFULLY} \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{The shaded section of the following forms asks questions about PATIENTS WITH GASTROINTESTINAL SYMPTOM You may tear out this page as a guide to completing the following section of forms.} \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
INSTRUCTIONS \\
Ask ALL of the next 30 PATIENTS the following questions in the order in which the patients are seen. \\
Please DO NOT select patients to suit the topic being investigated.
\end{tabular}} \& \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Medication \\
Please write the name and regimen of any advised or
\end{tabular} \& \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Heartburn or reflux symptoms \\
Please use the tick boxes to advise whether this patient has experienced heartburm or reflux symptoms under the circumstances nominated. Tick as many as apply. \\
If 'no' you should end the questions here. \\
If 'yes' to any of the options, please answer the following questions about the patient's heartburn or reflux symptoms.
\end{tabular}} \& \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Treatment sought \\
Please advise whether the patient sought treatment, either as self-medication from a supermarket or pharmacy, or via specific advice from a phamacist \\
Please advise the name of any medication purchased for treatment of these symptoms, and the approximate duration of its usage in weeks or months per episode.
\end{tabular}} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
for management of their Gl symptoms, and the approximate duration of its use (in weeks or months per episode). \\
If the medication was changed for any reason at review, please write the same details for the post review (current) medication in the space provided.
\end{tabular} \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Type \\
Please listed and wh (1) (p symp Beside a num where \(1=\mathrm{mi}\) pleas
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
and severity of symptoms \\
use the tick boxes to indicate which ymptoms arewere experienced by ether they were considered the prim dominant) symptom or a secon m. Tick as many as apply \\
each symptom experienced, please er to indicate the severity of the s \\
\(2=\) moderate; \(3=\) severe; \(4=\) see definition card in your research
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
f the he patien ry \\
y (2) \\
rite in ptoms, \\
severe kit).
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
GPm \\
If treat GP, ein encoun diagno If the diad (e.g. if consult write ' provided
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
gement \\
was sought from a today or at a previous please write the in the space provided osis is unknown patient previously another GP) please own' in the space
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
If no change was made to the initial medication, please tick the box labelled 'as above' \\
Please also advise the approximate no. of months at which the review occurred. \\
If a review has not yet taken place (e.g if the patient has recently commenced taking the medication) please tick the box labelled 'n/a' (not applicable).
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
PPI use \\
If the patient was prescribed a proton purmp inhibitor please advise how often it was taken after the first two months of treatment (even if treatment has since stopped). \\
If two months has not yet lapsed, or a PPI was never prescribed, please tick the box labelled 'n/a not applicable'
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Has this patient experienced heartbum or reflux symptoms? \\
\(\square\) No \(\rightarrow\) End questions  \\
Yes

<br>
Currently <br>
past 12 mths <br>
(trick all <br>
that eppob <br>
In the past 12 mths <br>
Symptoms resolved

 \& 

If 'yes' symptoms and severity were: Sumptom fhat apply) $\underline{L}^{\circ} \underline{2}^{\circ}$ Severity Heartburn or epigastric pain Acid regurgitation Early satiety <br>
Nausea/vomiting Bloating Belching
see comy

 \& 

Did the pat $\square \mathrm{No} \rightarrow \mathrm{E}$ <br>
$\square$ Yes w sup <br>
…....... <br>
$\square$ Yes-with <br>


 \& 

eatment? <br>
armacy $\qquad$ <br>
whes/mths duration of use tadvice $\qquad$ <br>
whs/mus duration of nse)

 \& 

(contimued) <br>
$\square$ Yes - from a GP <br>
The diagnosis was: <br>
pplease specion <br>
GP management was: <br>
$\square$ Medication $\rightarrow$ cont <br>
$\square$ Advice only $\rightarrow$ End

 \& $|$

Initial GP medication is/was: \& Duration <br>
\hline (medication name \& *egimen) \& (whesimhts) <br>
Post-review medication is/was: $\square$ as above

 \& 

If a PFI ishas taken, how often was it taken after the first two months? <br>
$\square>$ once daily
<br>
once daily <br>
$5-6$ days per week <br>
$\square 2-4$ days per week <br>
$\square \leq$ once per week <br>
$\square$ not <br>
applicable <br>
$\square$ when symptomatic (prm)
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}


[^0]:    The following page contains the recording form and instructions with which the data in this abstract were collected.
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