

Better information and statistics for better health and wellbeing

Towards national indicators of safety and quality in health care

September 2009

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare Canberra

Cat. no. HSE 75

The Australian Institute of Health and Welfare is Australia's national health and welfare statistics and information agency. The Institute's mission is better information and statistics for better health and wellbeing.

© Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2009

This work is copyright. Apart from any use as permitted under the *Copyright Act 1968*, no part may be reproduced without prior written permission from the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare. Requests and enquiries concerning reproduction and rights should be directed to the Head, Media and Communications Unit, Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, GPO Box 570, Canberra ACT 2601.

A complete list of the Institute's publications is available from the Institute's website <www.aihw.gov.au>.

ISBN 978 1 74024 961 4

Suggested citation

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare 2009. Towards national indicators of safety and quality in health care. Cat. no. HSE 75. Canberra: AIHW.

Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

Board Chair Hon. Peter Collins, AM, QC

Director Penny Allbon

Any enquiries about or comments on this publication should be directed to: Earl Dudley Health Care Safety and Quality Unit Australian Institute of Health and Welfare GPO Box 570 Canberra ACT 2601 Phone: (02) 6244 1058 Email: Earl.Dudley@aihw.gov.au

Published by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare

Please note that there is the potential for minor revisions of data in this report. Please check the online version at <www.aihw.gov.au> for any amendments.

Contents

Acl	xnowledgementsvi
Ab	breviationsvii
Sui	nmaryviii
1.	Introduction1
	1.1 Background
	1.2 Purpose of this report2
2	Methods
	2.1 Consultation
	2.2 Scope
	2.3 Definitions of safety and quality
	2.4 Framework for the indicators
	2.5 Support projects
	2.6 How the indicators were selected11
3	Recommended indicators: a summary15
	3.1 List of recommended indicators
	3.2 Alignment with the indicator framework
	3.3 Measurability, data sources and international comparisons
4	Taking the indicators forward25
	4.1 Reporting of indicators – public reporting, levels and frequency, reporting formats 25
	4.2 Priorities for further development
Ap	pendix 1: Recommended indicators41
	Enhanced primary care services in general practice
	General practices using a register and recall system for patients with chronic disease44
	People with moderate to severe asthma who have a written asthma action plan48
	Management of hypertension in general practice
	Management of arthritis and musculoskeletal conditions
	Mental health care plans in general practice
	Annual cycle of care for people with diabetes mellitus
	Cervical cancer screening rates
	Immunisation rates for vaccines in the national schedule73
	Eye testing for target groups77
	Quality of community pharmacy services
	Developmental health checks in children
	People receiving a medication review
	Assessment for risk of venous thromboembolism in hospital

Pain assessment in the Emergency Department	92
Reperfusion for acute myocardial infarction in hospitals	95
Stroke patients treated in a stroke unit	100
Complications of transfusion	103
Health Care Associated Infections acquired in hospital	107
Staphylococcus aureus (including MRSA) bacteraemia in hospitals	112
Adverse drug events in hospital	116
Malnutrition in hospitals and residential aged care facilities	122
Pressure ulcers in hospitals and residential aged care facilities	125
Falls resulting in patient harm in hospitals and residential aged care facilities	
Complications of anaesthesia	135
Accidental puncture/laceration in hospital	139
Obstetric trauma - third and fourth degree tears	142
Birth trauma - injury to neonate	148
Postoperative haemorrhage	152
Postoperative venous thromboembolism	155
Unplanned return to operating theatre	158
Unplanned re-admission to an Intensive Care Unit	161
Hospital Standardised Mortality Ratio (HSMR)	165
Death in low mortality DRGs	170
Independent peer review of surgical deaths	172
Discharge medication management for acute myocardial infarction	175
Timely transmission of discharge summaries	178
Mental health admitted patients having seclusion	180
Post-discharge community care for mental health patients	
Quality of palliative care	
Functional gain achieved in rehabilitation	191
Multidisciplinary care plans in sub-acute care	195
Oral health in residential aged care	197
Unplanned hospital re-admissions	200
Inappropriate co-prescribing of medicines	204
Selected potentially preventable hospitalisations	207
End-stage kidney disease in people with diabetes	212
Lower-extremity amputation in people with diabetes	215
Cancer survival	219
Failure to diagnose	223
Potentially avoidable deaths	225
Patient experience	228

Presence of appropriate incident monitoring arrangements	231
Accreditation of health care services	233
Appendix 2: Consultation Process	239
National Indicators Advisory Group	239
Key messages from stakeholder consultation	240
Proposed indicators that were removed or revised and new indicators added	242
Consultation Feedback – in detail	245
Appendix 3: Types of indicators and how they are used	256
Appendix 4: Alignment with the indicator framework	259
Appendix 5: Support Projects	266
OECD patient safety indicators	266
Measuring and reporting mortality	267
Primary care	269
Appendix 6: National Hospital Morbidity Database	270
References	272
List of tables	275
List of figures	276

Acknowledgements

The Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care funded this work and has been closely involved in the production of this report.

The report was prepared by staff of the Health Care Safety and Quality Unit at the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare with valuable assistance provided by other AIHW staff. Many people provided helpful comment including staff from the Commission and members of the project's National Indicators Advisory Group.

Abbreviations

ACHS	Australian Council on Healthcare Standards
ACSQHC	Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care
AGPN	Australian General Practice Network
AHMAC	Australian Health Ministers' Advisory Council
AHMC	Australian Health Ministers' Conference
AHRQ	Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality
AHS	Australian Hospital Statistics
AIHW	Australian Institute of Health and Welfare
AR-DRG	Australian Refined - Diagnosis Related Group
BEACH	Bettering the Evaluation And Care of Health
COAG	Council of Australian Governments
DGP	Division of General Practice
DRG	Diagnosis Related Group
HCQI	Health Care Quality Indicators
ICD-9-CM	International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification
ICD-10	International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision
ICD-10-AM	International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision, Australian Modification
MBS	Medicare Benefits Schedule
NCCH	National Centre for Classification in Health
NHHRC	National Health and Hospitals Reform Commission
NHMD	National Hospital Morbidity Database
NHMRC	National Health and Medical Research Council
NHPA	National Health Priority Area
NHPC	National Health Performance Committee
NICS	National Institute of Clinical Studies
NIAG	National Indicators Advisory Group
NHMD	National Hospital Morbidity Database
NMDS	National Minimum Data Set
NPS	National Prescribing Service
NPDC	National Perinatal Data Collection
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
RACGP	Royal Australian College of General Practitioners

Summary

This report sets out recommendations for a set of 55 national indicators of safety and quality in health care (Table 1). The report concludes the National Indicators Project, a major project funded by the Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care (the Commission) and undertaken by the Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (AIHW) in close consultation with the Commission and a wide range of clinical and other stakeholders.

What does the indicator set cover?

The scope of this indicator set is the safety and quality of clinical care provided to patients across the Australian health care system. Thirteen indicators apply to primary and community health services, 25 to hospitals, six to specialised health services, and five to residential aged care. Eleven indicators apply to multiple or all types of health services. Established indicators were not identified for services such as ambulance, dental services and community pharmacy.

The focus is on safety and four quality domains: appropriateness, effectiveness, continuity, and responsiveness. Most (42) of the indicators in the set reflect appropriateness of care, responding to the growing emphasis on evidence-based health care and best practice guidelines; and 25 relate to safety.

The indicators cover the national health priority areas and major burden of disease and injury groups. However, this coverage is uneven with some areas currently under-represented (for example, cancer), and others possibly over-represented (for example, cardiovascular disease).

There are some indicators in common between this proposed indicator set and the COAG National Healthcare Agreement Performance Indicators. The purpose of the two sets, however, is distinct. While this set serves specific purposes around the improvement of safety and quality, the COAG set aims more broadly to measure the performance of governments. The National Health Agreements include 70 indicators, including 16 indicators that relate to health care safety and quality and are reflected in the indicator set proposed here.

Are the indicators ready for use?

Most of the indicators (40 out of 55) can be reported immediately. Just over half of these (21 out of 40) require some more work so that reporting can be fully in line with the recommended specification.

The other 15 indicators cannot be reported immediately. For seven of these, decisions need to be made about the indicator definition, and information development will be necessary because data for calculating the indicator are not currently available. For the other eight of these indicators, a concept has been proposed but further investigation and consultation would be required before a robust indicator could be developed.

How might public reporting of these Indicators make a difference?

The AIHW suggests that, broadly, public reporting on these indicators could serve two main purposes: to provide transparency and to inform decision-making about overall priorities and system-level strategies for safety and quality improvement; and to inform quality improvement activities of service providers. Reporting to serve these purposes may not only be national but also at the level of states, territories and individual facilities and organisations. All of the recommended indicators are suitable for national public reporting, and most are also suitable for use at other levels. The ability to act directly to improve health care safety and quality arguably lies primarily at the facility and organisation level.

			Ite	-	SU	ve
Service Category/Indicator		Safe	Appropriate	Effective	Continuous	Responsive
Primary	are and community health services					
1 Enhance	primary care services in general practice		•		•	
2 General p disease	ractices with a register and recall system for patients with chronic		٠		•	
3 People w plan	th moderate to severe asthma who have a written asthma action		٠		•	
	ent of hypertension in general practice		•	•		
5 Managen	ent of arthritis and musculoskeletal conditions		•	•	•	•
6 Mental he	alth care plans in general practice		•		•	
7 Annual cy	cle of care for people with diabetes mellitus		•		•	
8 Cervical of	ancer screening rates		•		•	
9 Immunisa	tion rates for vaccines in the national schedule		•		•	
10 Eye testir	g for target groups		•		•	
11 Quality of	community pharmacy services		•			•
12 Developm	ental health checks in children		•			
13 People re	ceiving a medication review	•	•			
Hospital						
	ent for risk of venous thromboembolism in hospitals		•			
	ssment in the emergency department		•			•
	on for acute myocardial infarction in hospitals		•			
	tients treated in a stroke unit		•			
	ions of transfusion	•	•			
	e associated infections acquired in hospital	•				
	occus aureus (including MRSA) bacteraemia in hospitals	•		•		
	lrug events in hospitals	•	•		•	
	l self-harm in hospitals	•	•	•		
	on in hospitals and residential aged care facilities	•	•			
	ulcers in hospitals and residential aged care facilities		•	•		
	Iting in patient harm in hospitals and residential aged care facilities	•				
	ions of anaesthesia	•				
	I puncture/laceration in hospitals	•				
	trauma - third and fourth degree tears	•	•			
	na—injury to neonate	•				
	tive haemorrhage	•	•			
	tive venous thromboembolism	•	•	•		
	d return to operating theatre	•	•	•		
	d re-admission to an intensive care unit	•	•	•		
34 Hospital s	tandardised mortality ratio (HSMR)	•	•	•		
	ow mortality DRGs	•		•		

Table 1.1: Health care safety and quality indicators by quality domain

Servi	ce Category/Indicator	Safe	Appropriate	Effective	Continuous	Responsive
	Hospitals (continued)					
36	Independent peer review of surgical deaths	•		•		
37	Discharge medication management for acute myocardial infarction		•			
38	Timely transmission of discharge summaries		•	•	•	
	Specialised health services					
39	Mental health admitted patients having seclusion	•	•			•
40	Post-discharge community care for mental health patients		•		•	
41	Quality of palliative care		•	•		
42	Functional gain achieved in rehabilitation		•		•	
43	Multi-disciplinary care plans in sub-acute care		•		•	
(5)	Management of arthritis and musculoskeletal conditions		•	•	•	•
	Residential aged care					
44	Oral health in residential aged care		•	•	•	
(13)	People receiving a medication review	•	•			
(23)	Malnutrition in hospitals and residential aged care facilities	•	•			
(24)	Pressure ulcers in hospitals and residential aged care facilities		•	•		
(25)	Falls resulting in patient harm in hospitals and residential aged care facilities	•				
	Multiple service categories					
45	Unplanned hospital re-admissions	•	•	•	•	
46	Inappropriate co-prescribing of medicines	•	•			
47	Selected potentially preventable hospitalisations		•	•		
48	End stage kidney disease in people with diabetes			•	•	
49	Lower-extremity amputation in people with diabetes			•	•	
50	Cancer Survival		•	•	•	•
51	Failure to diagnose	•	•			•
52	Potentially avoidable deaths	•	•	٠		
	All service categories					
53	Patient experience			•		•
54	Presence of appropriate incident monitoring arrangements	•		•		
55	Accreditation of health care services			•		